

PRA Requests

Received From: 3/1/2018

Received To: 3/31/2018

Total: 232

Request ID	Request or Last Name	Request for First Name	Received Date	Request Description	Request for Business Name	Request Status	Responder	Response Summary
PRA-2018-00397	Vaquera	Trisha	3/1/2018	I would like property ownership and occupancy records for the property listed below. 3550 Christian Valley Road Auburn, CA 95602 Hello, I am a California native going to grad school in Canada. The address I listed for myself is my mother's home and my permanent address. I am currently shopping for a home in California and have found one that I love. Unfortunately, at the moment I am hesitating to put in an offer after using the Megan's Law website. I found that there is a registered sex offender living within a 15-minute walk. I would like to confirm who owns the property at 3550 Christian Valley Road Auburn, CA 95602 Additionally, if you could send any information regarding who currently lives at the address above, that would be helpful to guide my decision as to submit an offer on this property or not.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-00398	Shardlow	Jonathan	3/1/2018	Any and all documents concerning the "Golden State Environmental Alliance" and the "Golden State Environmental & Social Justice Alliance," including but not limited to, all Notices of Commencement of CEQA actions, and the related CEQA Petitions for Writ of Mandate, existing from June 1, 2017 to the present.		Completed	Scott De Medeiros	Attached, please find copies of all documents responsive to your request. Pursuant to the phone call of March 6, 2018, your secretary stated that a response to this request via electronic mail would be sufficient to your needs and further would not trigger any duplication fees related to this request. Should you have any difficulty in opening or viewing these documents, please feel free to contact me at any time.
PRA-2018-00399	Heo	Elizabeth	3/1/2018	Documents served on the Attorney General's Office pursuant to California Insurance Code section 10134, et seq. from (02/01/2018 through 02/28/2018). Please contact me to arrange a time to inspect and copy these records.		Completed	Howard Wayne	We will provide you with such documents. Please contact Nancy Quach at 415-703-5648 to schedule time for you to review these records. If you wish to copy these records, you will need to provide your own scanning equipment.
PRA-2018-00400	Cliff	Gerald	3/1/2018	I am requesting the number of homicides per year, where the weapon used was a rifle or a shotgun, committed by a person under the age of 21 but at least 18. I would like the data organized per year for the most recent 10 years you have. In addition to the number of homicides, I would like to see the number of murderers (using a rifle or a shotgun) in that age group (18, 19, and 20 year olds) per year as well. Page 30 (table 23) of the document below is formatted perfectly for the first part of my data request but unfortunately it groups 18,19, and 20 year olds with people 29 and younger. https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/downloads/pdfs/hm16.pdf		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the California Department of Justice (DOJ) does not collect the information that you are requesting. Note, table 30 (Page 23) displays the victim's age by weapon type not the suspect's age by weapon type. We do not have the suspect information. You may want to request this information from each county agency. The California DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00402	Valencia	Moises	3/1/2018	I would like to request, if possible, a copy of 'The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.'		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The Peoples Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018." This completes our response to your request.

PRA-2018-00401	Rosero	Jacqueline	3/2/2018	Marriage license between: Jacqueline Barriga & Cesar Rosero	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA-2018-00404	Kizer	Kathleen	3/2/2018	Documents received from and sent to John Muir Health, a California nonprofit public benefit corporation, and/or Tenet Healthcare Corporation and/or San Ramon Medical Center, in connection with the transaction in 2013 under which John Muir Health acquired 49% of San Ramon Medical Center. In particular, documents reflecting, discussing, analyzing, or appraising the value of San Ramon Medical Center.	Completed	Wendi A. Horwitz	With respect to your request, our office does not have any documents responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-00405	St John	Paige	3/2/2018	Under the California Public Records Act, we seek a copy of all memorandums of understanding and other agreements from 1/1/2013 to the current date regarding access to data within the Automated Criminal History System and/or the Offender Based Transaction Statistics System and/or the extract of disposition data from ACHS. We seek a copy of the current technical manuals for the ACHS and OBTS report files. If it is not included in the technical manuals, we seek the physical description, file composition, file size, data elements and code definitions for the ACHS and OBTS databases. And we seek a copy of the research proposals submitted since 1/1/2008 for access to data or data extracts involving ACHS or the OBTS databases, and the corresponding response by the California Department of Justice or its divisions. I make this request on behalf of the Los Angeles Times and The Marshall Project. We seek these records in order to form an understanding of DOJ's collection and public use of criminal justice data as part of our purpose of informing the public on important public policy measures and public safety in California. If portions of this request are denied, please provide the statutory exemption allowing denial as well as your reason that release is not in the greater public interest. If records can be released with only portions of the file redacted, please do so rather than withhold the entire document. If there are questions that we can answer or clarifications that might simplify the response to this public record request or reduce the burden of response, please do not hesitate to contact us. Deepest regards, Paige St. John/Los Angeles Times paige.stjohn@latimes.com < mailto:paige.stjohn@latimes.com > Tom Meagher/The Marshall Project tmeagher@themarshallproject.org < mailto:tmeagher@themarshallproject.org >	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Extension sent 03-12-18 The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to item (1), on the enclosed CD, you will find a memorandum of understanding providing access to the Automated Criminal History System (ACHS) and the language (as of 2010) of our California Law Enforcement Telecommunication System Subscriber Agreement that is executed between the DOJ and law enforcement and criminal justice agencies that seek access to any DOJ database. In regard to item (2), the DOJ does not have a technical manual for the ACHS. However, on the enclosed CD are two documents: the File Format Specification and the Researcher User Guide documents, which are currently sent to researchers if and when their requests are approved. These serve as an aid in understanding and interpreting the data. In regard to item (3), on the enclosed CD, you will find responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00406	Petrus	Nicholas	3/2/2018	Police report for a home break at 1635 Ignacio possible case number is 0666 including report of items stolen from garage and officers involved. Not entirely sure of the case	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)

PRA-2018-00407	Ji ka	Miranda	3/2/2018	number I believe that was what the officers had told me. Request is urgent for court			Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim	<p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p> <p>All documents that are matters of public record regarding Helpers Community, Inc. are available for viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: http://rct.doj.ca.gov/MyLicense/Verification/Search.aspx?facility=Y. You could search by using the "Organization Name" (Helpers Community, Inc.) or by using "State Charity Registration Number" (0035 12).</p> <p>To the extent your request seeks records that are subject to the exemptions listed below, we must decline your request.</p> <p>Some of the records you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)</p> <p>Some of the records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, the attorney work-product privilege. The attorney work-product exemption absolutely exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It also is incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Other attorney work-product information is also exempt unless a court determines that denial of the records will unfairly prejudice a party seeking the records.</p> <p>Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning (specify subject matter) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege is applied to the Public Records Act through Government Code section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.)</p> <p>In the present case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning a particular complaint and/or investigation, communication, document, comment, or letter would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255. To the extent that the records you seek fall into this category, we deny your request for any such documents.</p>
----------------	-------	---------	----------	--	--	--	-----------	------------------	--

PRA-2018-00441	Garcia	Armando	3/2/2018	Will you please provide me with the following record under the Public Records Act (Gov. Code, section 62501 et seq: "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018.		Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The Peoples Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018." This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00460	Valdez-Araiza	Javier	3/2/2018	Deportation orders		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . If you are wanting the information for a civil matter and need certified copies, he suggest that you direct your request to the Federal Bureau of Investigation.
PRA-2018-00467	Cerniglia	Steven	3/2/2018	In 1983 and 1988, the Sacramento Superior Court clerk, as required by California Rules of Court, Title 4 - Criminal Rules, Division 4 - Sentencing, Rule 4.320, Records of Criminal Convictions, forwarded to your office, sentencing documents related to the below stated Case Numbers. 1983 - Case Number 65500. Please provide all sentencing documents in your possession related to this case. 1988 - Case Number 86595. Please provide all sentencing documents in your possession related to this case.		Completed	A. Kay Lauterbach	All of our case file records are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Pro law. After an exhaustive search of Pro law, we were unable to locate any records pertaining to case number 65500. As a result, we do not have any records to provide to you in response to your request for records in that case. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the District Attorney's Office and/or state courthouse where the offense(s) were committed. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public. We have, however, located sentencing documents related to your 1988 matter, case no. 86595. Please find enclosed the following documents: 1) 1-25-89 sentencing minute order 2) 1-25-89 abstract of judgment 3) 1-25-89 probation report
PRA-2018-00617	Chavez (SacBee)	Nashelly	3/2/2018	1. Any correspondences to or from staff at the California Department of Justice between October 2017 and February 2018 regarding parolee Christopher Lawyer, DOB [REDACTED]. On April 17, requester followed up: I'm wondering if I should expect any responsive records being sent my way today?		Rolling Production	Jaimie Tackett	On March 16, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On March 30, 2018, our office responded: In conducting our search for records responsive to your request, we have checked with knowledgeable persons and searched logical places. While we have identified some responsive records, we have not yet completed this process. As a result, we will produce responsive nonexempt documents on a rolling basis. Attached is the first production, we anticipate providing the next production by April 16, 2018. We have redacted sensitive personal information from records based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) For example, in our inquiries to Colorado Judiciary staff, we sought information about Mr. Lawyer and another individual. We have redacted the information pertaining to the other individual. We have also redacted Mr. Lawyer's date of birth, social security number, and the identification numbers assigned to him by law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. April 17, 2018 response: Enclosed is the second production of non-exempt records responsive to your records request received in the Attorney General's Office on March 2, 2018. As with the last production, we have redacted sensitive personal information from records based on considerations of personal privacy. (Art. I, sec. 1 of Cal. Con. as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) For example, we have redacted the date of birth, social security number, and the identification numbers assigned to Mr. Lawyer by law enforcement and criminal justice agencies. Similarly, in our inquiries to Colorado Judiciary staff, we have also redacted the information pertaining to another individual. We anticipate completing our review of records within the next week. If there are any more non-exempt or partially non-exempt records, we should provide them to you by April 23, 2018. April 25, 2018 response: I apologize for the delay. The individual responsible for reviewing the documents has been out. We expect to have a final response to you later this week.

PRA-2018-00408	Danvers	Tasha	3/4/2018	Death record for Rhonda kennerson Armstrong Clarify Rhonda kennerson Armstrong death 46 from Moreno Valley California		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA-2018-00410	Admire	Elijah	3/5/2018	Oct 31, 1967 Case # 1967-10766 1967 Los Angeles john doe This John doe was identified recently, but I can not find a name for this former john doe. I am requesting either 1 his name Or 2 if name can not be released, the reason why. Would also like to know any other information about this person (i.e. where he's from, last known location, his family's last known location, race, etc) I have attempted to contact the LA county coroner but to no avail. I am requesting this info because It is believed that NOK could not be located is the reason why his name isn't released. If that is true, there are many people in the unidentified people community I ke myself that would do whatever they can to find nok so they can be notified of does death.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the case number provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00411	Smith	Stacy	3/5/2018	This request appeared to be in two parts: 1) "Please disclose any records related to Law Enforcement contacting 2yr old toddler in presence of adult parent without parent permission. Consider this a CPRA." 2) I am looking of information about Community Policing Policies/Conduct as it relates to law enforcement officials formally contacting the public, specifically contact with minors in the presence of supervising adults without gaining permission from said adults present. Please direct me to any source within the California Attorney Generals Office or otherwise that will clarify the above request.		Completed	Shannon Patterson	The procedures governing the conduct of the DOJ law enforcement agents are contained in the Law Enforcement policy and procedures manual. Here is the link: https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/...doj-law-enforce-policy-procedures-manual.pdf
PRA-2018-00412	Hadley	Elizabeth	3/5/2018	Raul Rodriguez A16607 at Calipatria State prison Facility C. Looking to obtain the inmates violations he has received since being incarcerated at Calipatria State Prison.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html .
PRA-2018-00414	Talia	Vasudha	3/5/2018	1. Records identifying the databases containing California DMV information that are accessible by law enforcement agencies, including DI-IS and its subcomponents, and further identifying the content and scope of each database: 2. Blank screen shots (i.e., without anyone's personal information displayed, or with personal information redacted) of all database screens used to convey DMV information through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS), Nlets, or any similar electronic network, including those	ACLU	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	On March 15, 2018, an extension letter was sent. On March 29, 2018., Jaimie Tackett responded: On March 19, 2018, we sought clarification of the request and you narrowed the scope of the request to only include the DOJ databases that interface directly with the Department of Motor Vehicles (DMV), information within those databases that pertain to the DMV, and the information obtained directly from the DMV. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. In regard to items (1), (2), (4), (5), (6), (7), (9), (10), (11), (14), (15), and (20), please

			<p>maintained by private companies; 3. Any records describing the information available through CLETS, Nlets, Cal-Photo about AB60 driver's licenses or the holders thereof; 4. Records identifying the databases, networks, or systems with which CLETS, Nlets, or Cal-Photo is linked or interoperable, including but not limited to those operated by federal, state, county, and local governmental entities and/or private entities;</p> <p>5. Any records describing the interaction between CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 6. Screen shots (with personal information redacted) showing the type of information that is available or transmitted through administrative messages in CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; Screen shots (with personal information redacted) of all database screens in Cal-Photo and showing all fields that may be populated, including the Cal-Photo Image Record and the DMV Record response;</p> <p>8. All restriction codes that appear in Cal-Photo, including the meaning of each restriction codes; 9. Records describing the local, state, and federal law enforcement agencies that have user accounts or access to CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, including current and pending memoranda of understanding or other agreements entered into by the State of California and/or its agencies, including the DMV, with DI-IS and/or its subcomponents;</p> <p>10. Any records regarding different levels, degrees, or types of access to DMV information available through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, including any limits imposed on the sharing of DMV information or photographs; 11. Blank screen shots of the forms or request DMV information or records through CLETS, Nlets, Cal-Photo and any similar electronic network, and the responses thereto; 12. Records describing any ability available to DI-IS and/or its subcomponents to engage in bulk download of information from CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 13. The permissible "reason codes," "purpose codes," or other explanations of individual inquiries that law enforcement agencies, including DHS and/or its subcomponents, may provide in order to obtain DMV information or records through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 14. Any records regarding the approval process followed by the State of California and/or its agencies to determine whether the reasons provided by law enforcement agencies are sufficient to obtain information or records from the DMV through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 15. Any records regarding the criteria for approving and rejecting law enforcement agency requests to obtain information from the DMV through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo; 16. Any records relating to audits conducted of the access to and use of DMV information available through CLETS, Nlets, and/or Cal-Photo, including by DHS and/or its subcomponents; 17. Records documenting all DMV transactions in Cal-Photo by DHS and/or its subcomponents between January 1, 2017 and present, including any DMV Audit Searches performed through Cal-Photo; 18. Records documenting all DMV database searches or access to DMV information in CLETS by DHS and/or its subcomponents between January 1, 2017 and present, including any journal searches or other queries in CLETS; 19. Records of any Nlets Monthly Statistical</p>			<p>see attached responsive documents. Note, for item (2), although the DOJ provided the entire manual previously, the attached document has since been developed which is specific to what is being requested.</p> <p>In regard to item (3), the DOJ does not have responsive documents to this request as nothing in the DOJ's systems indicate which individuals are AB 60 driver's license holders.</p> <p>In regard to item (5), the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS) interacts with Nlets according to Nlets specifications. Attached you will find the Nlets User Policy, as well as the Nlets Monthly Statistical Reports for October 2017 through February 2018. You can also refer to the Nlets Wiki Page at http://www.nlets.org/wiki.nlets.org/index.php/Main_Page, the Nlets website at http://www.nlets.org, or the Nlets Help Desk at 1-800-528-4020. Note, CLETS and Cal-Photo do not interact with each other; they are independent of one another. In regard to items (8), (12), and (21), the DOJ does not have responsive documents for these requests.</p> <p>In regard to item (13), the "Reason for Access" field is a mandatory field required in Cal-Photo. However, it is a text field, so there are no standard reason or purpose codes. See attached, specifically, #2 notes that the user has to enter the "Reason for Access."</p> <p>For item (14), the purpose of the CLETS is to provide an efficient law enforcement telecommunications network to all law enforcement agencies. (Gov. Code, section 15151.) Thus, once agencies are given CLETS user status, the DOJ does not screen data inquiries although some programs require a "purpose code" as part of the inquiry. However, the DOJ does provide recommendations of permissible reason codes for accessing the DMV information, see attached responsive documents.</p> <p>In regard to item (16), see attached. Specifically, Section VII. Cal-Photo Confidentiality, # 1.</p> <p>In regard to items (17) and (18), the information you have requested is exempt from disclosure under the PRA. CLETS is a telecommunication system created exclusively for the use of law enforcement agencies, and is not available to members of the public. (Gov. Code, sections 15152-15153.) These confidentiality provisions are incorporated into the PRA through section 6254, subdivision (k) which incorporates confidentiality provisions contained elsewhere in state or federal law into the PRA. Similarly, web Cal-Photo or direct interface DMV connections created for CLETS users by the DOJ are similarly exempt as access to these databases is provided exclusively to law enforcement agencies for the conduct of official business. The Legislature has already made its determination regarding the confidentiality of CLETS activity and thereby has established that the public interest in nondisclosure of both the data acquired by the law enforcement agencies and DOJ's records of those same transactions regarding the same users under the same circumstances clearly outweighs the public interest in disclosure. (See Gov. Code, section 6255 [records exempt where public interest in nondisclosure clearly outweighs public interest in disclosure] .) Because your request seeks confidential law enforcement information that is exempt from disclosure, we must decline your request. For item (19), see response to item (5).</p> <p>April 16, 2018 response: The requested requests were sent to you via email on March 29, 2018 and again on April 10, 2018, pursuant to your follow-up below. Would you prefer that we mail a hard copy?</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

PRA-2018-00415	Dumett	Tania	3/5/2018	<p>Reports created for the months of January 2017 through present; 20. All CLETS, Niets, and/or Cal-Photo user manuals that pertain to accessing and sharing information between the DMV and law enforcement agencies, including DHS and/or its agencies, including the CLETS Operating Manual; the CLETS Policies, Practices and Procedures; the DMV Manual for CLETS; the Niets User and User Policy Manual; and the Cal-Photo User Guide; and 21. All records, including policies, guidance documents, and audits or reports, concerning the access or interoperability of commercial Automated License Plate Reader ("ALPR") databases with CLETS, Niets, and/or California law enforcement agencies' ALPR data.</p> <p>April 19, 2018 follow up to request: I am writing regarding my February 28, 2018 request under the California Public Records Act ("PRA"), received by your office on March 5, 2018. My PRA request seeks records regarding the circumstances in and processes through which information held by your agency about driver's licenses, vehicle registration, and license plates may be shared with other law enforcement agencies.</p> <p>On March 15, 2018, you invoked a fourteen-day extension to respond to the PRA request beyond the ordinary 10-day statutory deadline for responses. The deadline, thus extended, was March 29, 2018. Over ten additional days have passed since March 29, 2018 and we have received no substantive response to the PRA request.</p> <p>Please send me the requested records without further delay or contact me to explain why you have not done so, either by email at vtalla@aclunc.org or mail at the address shown below. Again, we request that you waive any fees that would be normally applicable to a Public Records Act request. In addition, if you have the records in electronic form you can simply email them to me without incurring any copying costs. See Gov't. Code § 6253.9. Thank you in advance for your timely cooperation.</p> <p>April 16, 2018 follow up: Please find attached a letter regarding my February 28, 2018 PRA request, which DOJ received on March 5, 2018. We await a response from DOJ on this request.</p> <p>Seeking my divorce records.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Referred to family court via telephone.
PRA-2018-00416	Mourad	Ayman	3/5/2018	<p>A certified copy of the entire investigative and/or prosecutorial file prepared by the Department of Justice regarding Case Name, Opdyke, Alicia, Matter ID. LA2015104919, including but not limited to: (1) the names and addresses of witnesses or persons involved in the incident; (2) the statements of all witnesses, including any audio recorded or video-taped interviews; all diagrams or graphic representations created for investigative purposes; all medical records, medical opinions, or medical examinations related to the incident; and any other related writings.</p>	Completed	Susan E. Melton	In response to this request, we are providing all of our investigative reports. The investigative reports being provided are the only records in our possession responsive to the request. However, we were not the prosecutorial agency and therefore, cannot produce any records outside of our investigative reports. Any prosecutorial documents are with the Los Angeles District Attorney's Office.
PRA-2018-00418	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED].</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal

				<p>Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request on November 13, 2017. It is now March 2018 and I wish to know why you have not processed this registration.</p>			<p>information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for</p>
PRA-2018-00419	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request last November and it is now March. I am interested in knowing why you have not processed this transaction. Thanks in advance!</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for</p>

PRA-2018-00420	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November, 2017, and it is now March 2018 and this request has still not been processed. I wish to understand why that is. Thanks in advance.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p> <p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].)</p> <p>Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ..." to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.)</p> <p>State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p>
PRA-2018-00421	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November 2017 and it is now March 2018 and this transaction has still not been addressed. I wish to understand the process so that I will know why my transaction has not been processed. Thanks in advance.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].)</p> <p>Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ..." to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits</p>

PRA-2018-00422	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November 2017 and it is now March 2018 and it still has not been processed. I wish to understand the process so that I will know why this is the case. Thanks in advance.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p> <p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency]) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105" (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p>
PRA-2018-00423	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November 2017 and it is now March 2018 and it still has not been processed. I wish to understand the process so that I will know why this is the case. Thanks in advance.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of</p>

PRA-2018-00424	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>registration has not been processed. I wish to understand the process so that I will know why.</p> <p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request in November 2017 and it is now March 2018. I wish to understand the process and hopefully will know why this transaction has not been addressed.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p> <p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, 19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].) Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p>
----------------	--------	--------	----------	--	-----------	---------------	---

PRA-2018-00425	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>Record Description: All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. Apologies - this is a repeat of my previous request that includes the full CRIS transaction number.</p>		Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].)</p> <p>Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p>
PRA-2018-00426	Wrigley	Kevin	3/5/2018	<p>Case 111029-5 - 598 A Cruelty to Animals</p> <p>On 10-6-1998 I attended a dog show at the Antioch California Fairgrounds because my them girlfriend and now wife and I are animal lovers. At the time we had a small cattle dog and if we put the rear split seat down to the truck he would go back in it as a cave. When it was time to have him get out he did not want to come. As it was a cold October day we left him in the car. On returning to the car he was barking as the sheriff and many people were around the car including a vet. The dog was found to be in good health and we left with the dog and a citation. I appeared for the citation, they charged me with animal cruelty.</p> <p>During the judge trial. I showed the many things I had done with animals in the community as such the Judge Belachi, sentences me to 50 hours community service at a local facility owned by Tony La Russ know as Arf. I arrived for my work service and the employees at Arf indicated that they "would not allow someone charged with animal cruelty to work there. I went back to court to explain. Judge Belachi has a heart attach and was out. The Commissioner that I was assigned indicated he could not change the judges order and that I was to go back and tell them they had to take me. I did this. They again rejected me. I did not complete my service and in time and officers came to my house and arrested me. I</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records filed with the local court, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>

PRA-2018-00427	O'Flaherty	Patrick	3/5/2018	<p>spent my work detail time in county jail. I was released with time served. If there is any way you could email me the court documents in the next few days that would be wonderful.</p> <p>I made a call to 911 on Friday March 2nd 2018 around 10:58 am from the phone number [REDACTED]. I had someone attempting to break into my home in Reseda and requested assistance. I was wondering if I could get a copy of the transcript of that call.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-00436	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All records pertaining to or related to California Reporting Information System (CRIS) transaction number [REDACTED].</p> <p>[REDACTED] for firearm serial number [REDACTED]. Electronic files and database screen captures via email are acceptable. Please include all process documents, emails, notes, inquiries, and correspondence related to this transaction. I submitted this registration request on November 13, 2017 and it is now March 3, 2018. I submitted a CRIS issue report and got a canned answer. I wish to know what the status of this transaction is and why it has not been processed. Thanks in advance.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].)</p> <p>Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ..." to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law." (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.)</p> <p>State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p>
PRA-2018-00437	Miller	Steven	3/5/2018	<p>All CA DOJ records pertaining to or related to the administration of the assault weapons registration process pursuant to California Code of Regulations Title 11, Division 5, Chapter 39 - Assault Weapons and Large-Capacity Magazines. Specifically, any and all training documents for employees, instructions to employees, standard operating procedures, and/or instructional correspondences with employees tasked or responsible for administering the California Registration Information System (CRIS) bullet button assault weapon online registration process. Electronic files and/or database screen captures via email are acceptable.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>Under the PRA, government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) The Department does not release personal information through the Public Records Act process because, in doing so, it makes the information available to the public at large. Not only might that create adverse consequences for you, but it also increases the potential for an attack on the Department's information technology systems. (See Gov. Code, § 6254.19 [Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency].)</p> <p>Moreover, Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ..." to the officers referred to in Section</p>

PRA-2018-00470	Galvan	Eric	3/5/2018	<p>I am requesting information regarding the number of active concealed carry weapons (CCW) permits that have been issued to reserve police officers and judges in the city of Los Angeles, as well as the County of Los Angeles.</p> <p>-Los Angeles Police Department would have total number for the city.</p> <p>-Los Angeles Sheriff Department would have total number for the county.</p> <p>Referencing Penal Code 26225(a)(c):</p> <p>"(a) A record of the following shall be maintained in the office of the licensing authority."</p> <p>"(c)(1) Commencing on or before January 1, 2000, and annually thereafter, each licensing authority shall submit to the Attorney General the total number of licenses issued to peace officers pursuant to Section 26170, and to judges pursuant to Section 26150 or 26155."</p> <p>"(c)(2) The Attorney General shall collect and record the information submitted pursuant to this subdivision by county and licensing authority."</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein "or as provided by law."" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 111 OS is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105. As such, we must deny your requests.</p> <p>Enclosed are the CCW statistics by county. Please note that this report reflects the current number of CCW permits in our system. As such, these numbers are subject to change on a daily basis as permits expire and others are issued.</p>
PRA-2018-00480	Huxley	Iain	3/5/2018	<p>I attempted to request the minute orders for the following case from the courthouse and was told that they had been destroyed and I needed to contact the CA DOJ. Please could you supply the minute orders for the following case:</p> <p>Defendant Name: Iain Huxley</p> <p>DOB: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Docket Number: 506516</p> <p>Date Of Arrest: 01/06/2005</p> <p>Date Of Conviction: 04/27/2005</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate records based on the information provided.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>We are interpreting the term "CPA" to mean "certified public accountant." You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another</p>
PRA-2018-00489	Blumenfrucht	Israel	3/5/2018	<p>I am trying to receive a roster of active CPA's along with their email and phone number. I was told that emails and phone numbers are private but I believe that the law does not exclude them.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	

PRA-2018-00490	Hagen	Golsima	3/5/2018	<p>I am interested in obtaining court records (non-certified) for the following matters handled in the Ventura County Superior Court:</p> <p>Case Filing Date: 11/14/1992 Court Disposition Date: 04/23/1993 Case Number: 92S006506 Case Filing Date: 11/01/1992 Case Number: 92C010510 Case Filing Date: 04/07/1991 Case Number: 91S004101</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to certified public accountant, you may wish to direct your request to the California Board of Accountancy. More information can be found on their website at http://www.dca.ca.gov/cba/.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-00505	Miller	Greta	3/5/2018	<p>This is a request for information concerning Phillip C. Pelzel Senior Criminalist. /would like to know when exactly he was fired/dismissed from employment. Did he check round of ammunition from your supply department ... when and where the 9mm Bryco Jennings serial number [REDACTED] was located at the DOJ when it was found, and how long was it lost? Did Pelzel put the gun under a different case number, like he did the spent shell casing?</p>		Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>On March 15, 2018, Shannon Patterson sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On March 29, 2018, Shannon Patterson replied: We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below.</p> <p>You have requested personnel information from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).</p> <p>In the case of <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal 4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)</p>
PRA-2018-00506	Wynder	William	3/5/2018	<p>[A] copy of any and all records and writings relating to the pending dispute between the City and CSU and OPR's related Designation of Lead Agency for the California Environmental Quality Act ("CEQA") Review of the CSUDH Campus Master Plan ("Master Plan Update") from the last two (2) years. By way of clarification, the City requests any and all records and writings documenting or evidencing:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Any and all records (including, but not limited to, forms, documents, electronic records which are written or otherwise) of any official of QPR, CSU, CSUDH or State AG, which constitute, refer or relate to, any dispute between City and CSU over Lead Agency status for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; 2. Any and all records (including, but not limited to, forms, documents, electronic records which are written or otherwise) of any official of QPR, CSU, CSUDH or State AG, which constitute, refer or relate to, any dispute between City and CSU over Lead Agency status for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; 3. Any and all records, including ex parte communications and records evidencing ex parte communications between State Agencies, or counsel thereof, which constitute, refer or relate to any dispute between City and CSU over Lead Agency status for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; 4. Any and all records, including ex parte communications and records evidencing ex parte communications between State Agencies, or counsel thereof, which constitute, refer or relate to OPR's designation of CSU as Lead Agency for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; 5. Any and all communications, text messages, or other 		Completed	Julia K. Forgie	<p>We have determined that our Office possesses records responsive to your request. We expect to be able to provide you with copies of non-exempt responsive records by April 2, 2018. Many of the non-exempt responsive records are identical copies of documents that OPR will include in the administrative record for the City of Carson v. The Governor's Office of Planning and Research, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BSI 72187. Please advise if you would like duplicative copies of those records. Also, other non-exempt responsive records will include records that you or others in your law firm sent to the Office of the Attorney General, or were sent by this Office to you or others in your firm, or for which you were cc'd. We assume you already have copies of those records; please advise if you are requesting duplicate copies of those records as well. If you do request copies of records you already have, we will promptly begin gathering them for you.</p> <p>Other records that would otherwise be responsive are subject to one of the following exemptions from disclosure:</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); <i>Roberts v. City of Palmdale</i> (1993) 5 Cal 4th 363.) In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to The Governor's Office of Planning and Research, and its Director, Kenneth Alex (collectively, OPR).</p> <p>Accordingly, all communications between attorneys in the Attorney General's Office and OPR concerning the CSUDH Master Plan lead agency dispute are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal App 4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney</p>

				public records located on any personal or other communication device, including personal or other cell phones, of any official of OPR, CSU, CSUDH or State AG, which constitute, refer or relate to, any dispute between City and CSU over Lead Agency status for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan; and, 6. Any and all communications, text messages, or other public records located on any personal or other communication device, including personal or other cell phones, of any official of OPR, CSU, CSUDH or State AG, which constitute, refer or relate to OPR's designation of CSU as Lead Agency for the Master Plan Update for the CEQA Review of the Master Plan.						General's Office are subject to the work product privilege and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Finally, agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code § 6254 subd. (b).) The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.) As you know, the Attorney General's Office is counsel of record in pending litigation involving the subject matter of the request. We hope this information has been of assistance. If you have additional questions, please contact Julia Forgie by email at julia.forgie@doj.ca.gov or regular mail at 300 S. Spring Street, Suite 1702, Los Angeles, California 90013. On April 11, 2018, Julia Forgie sent a final confirmation letter stating:
PRA-2018-00430	Deley	Ashley	3/6/2018	Prison and Correctional Record for Roger Lee Hazher (b. [REDACTED] d. [REDACTED]). He was at Terminal Island. I would like to request the record for Roger Lee Hazher, my mother's father. I'm mostly interested in why he was in prison, as my mother has no idea either.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html . To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .			
PRA-2018-00432	Cave	Maya	3/6/2018	Marriage license of a Calin Fisher Cave. Seeking proof of a marriage involving Calin Fisher Cave.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .			
PRA-2018-00433	Misztis	Lina	3/6/2018	This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I am seeking ALL records, reports, police reports, incident reports, and RAP sheets kept by the Attorney General for Kevin Moriarty (DOB [REDACTED]). In order to help to determine my status to assess fees, you should know that I am a reporter affiliated with Amazon + Audible Original Content, and this request is made as part of news gathering and not for a commercial use.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request for the Record of Arrests and Prosecutions sheet of the named individual. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit			

PRA-2018-00434	Misitzis	Lina	3/6/2018	<p>This is a request under the Freedom of Information Act. I am seeking ALL records, crime reports, accident reports, police reports, incident reports, and RAP sheets kept by the Attorney General for Mercedes Grabowski (DOB [REDACTED]).</p> <p>In order to help to determine my status to assess fees, you should know that I am a reporter affiliated with Amazon + Audible Original Content, and this request is made as part of news gathering and not for a commercial use.</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review. In regard to police reports and incident reports, the DOJ does not receive or maintain these reports. You may want to check with the arresting agency.</p> <p>Apart from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in the DOJ's possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System. Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request for the Record of Arrests and Prosecutions sheet of the named individual. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records.</p> <p>California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review.</p> <p>In regard to police reports and incident reports, the DOJ does not receive or maintain these reports. You may want to check with the arresting agency.</p> <p>Apart from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in the DOJ's possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System.</p> <p>Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00435	Ettema	Kaslin	3/6/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to (inspect/obtain a copy of) the following, which I understand to be held by your agency:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Original bond measure language of Proposition 1A for the California high speed rail project -any documents about when it was first originally proposed, when it was passed, and any follow up measures <p>I ask for a determination on this request within 10 days of your receipt of it, and an even prompter reply if you can make that determination without having to review the record[s] in question.</p>	Completed	Sharon O'Grady	<p>In responding to your first request, we interpret your request as asking for the original text of Proposition 1A as presented to the voters in 2008, and have identified the responsive record, which is the Supplemental Official Voter Information Guide for the November 4, 2008 General Election, a copy of which is attached.</p> <p>Your second request is unspecified and unfocused in that it seeks any kind of document over an unspecified period, and in that the phrases "about when it was first originally proposed" and "any follow up measures" are not specific or focused. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>Your second request also is unduly burdensome. The Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. A complete response to your request for "any document. ." would require us to canvass each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau, and then require each individual deputy attorney general or head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review their files for possible responsive documents. Such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus requiring a more extensive search for such documents.</p>

PRA-2018-00439	Smith	Allen	3/6/2018	Under the Public Records Act (Gov. Code section 6201 et seq.): Will you please send me a copy of: The Initiative, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018."	Completed	Emily Gargiulo	<p>We are therefore unable to provide you with records in response to your second request as it is currently framed. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more focused request would reasonably describe a set of identifiable records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)</p> <p>We also note that detailed information relating to proposed and enacted legislation is publicly available at the California Legislative Information website, http://leginfo.ca.gov/faces/home.xhtml.</p> <p>If you wish to consult with us to determine if a more focused request would reasonably describe a set of identifiable records (Gov. Code, § 6253.1), please contact me at the address above, or by telephone at 415-510-3834.</p> <p>Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046, "The Peoples Fair Sentencing & Public Safety Act of 2018."</p> <p>This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00461	Navratil	Randy	3/6/2018	I would like the list of the Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations (CAFO's) in the state of California.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Concentrated Animal Feeding Operations, you may wish to direct your request to the California State Water Board's office. Information on how to contact their office regarding public records can be found at https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/resources/public_records_requests.html.</p>
PRA-2018-00462	Medina	Sadie	3/6/2018	<p>I am looking for the Divorce records for my dad - Joe Falvey Smith and Hellen Brown Smith I believe they married on [REDACTED] in Kern County, California my father was born [REDACTED] in Cleveland, Texas and was in the USAF at the time</p> <p>My email address is [REDACTED] my phone number is [REDACTED] thank you for your help</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA-2018-00463	McArthur	David	3/6/2018	<p>Any records containing information about the late Nikolai Peter Shumsky. Also known as Nicholas or Nick. He passed away on [REDACTED] I have only just learned he was an uncle of mine and am trying to learn what happened to him and also track down any family members he may have. Death records, criminal records, etc.</p> <p>Name: Nikolai Peter Shumsky Date of birth: [REDACTED] Date of death: [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history</p>

PRA-2018-00518	Olson	Heather	3/6/2018	<p>Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of public records that relate to prosecution under California Penal Code 365.7, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Number of individuals cited under California Penal Code 365.7 • Number of individuals prosecuted under California Penal Code 365.7 • Penalties imposed under California Penal Code 365.7 • Any other prosecution data available regarding this code section 	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00522	Hermina	John	3/6/2018	"[A]ny and all complaints made against Spirit Airlines from January 2013 through the present . . ."	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 "[W]hile there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).")</p>
PRA-2018-00438	Mider	Zachary	3/7/2018	<p>Please send me all documents from the California Attorney General's investigation into pension fund kickbacks that pertain to Elliott Broidy or Markstone Capital. I believe the investigation began around 2009 and continued for one or more years thereafter. To help you locate the records, here is a contemporaneous news account discussing the attorney general's investigation: http://articles.latimes.com/2009/may/23/local/me-pensions23</p> <p>I am a reporter for Bloomberg News and I am making this request as part of my news-gathering duties. Please conduct all communications with me, including delivery of the requested records, via email rather than postal mail.</p>	Completed	Daniel O'Donnell II	<p>On March 19, 2018, Daniel O'Donnell sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On April 2, 2018, we responded: To the extent any such records exist, we consider them to be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints. (<i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> [1993] 5 Cal. 4th 337, 354...55. Note: the time limitation on the exemption for investigative files under <i>Williams</i> is impossible to reconcile with the language and history of section 6254[f]). Thus, the Attorney General's Office will not produce documents subject to the exemption for confidential law enforcement records. Nor will we produce documents subject to the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work product doctrine or the deliberative process privilege.</p> <p>Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in the law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Government Code section 6254, subdivision [k]; <i>Roberts v. City of Palmdale</i> (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 363.) The attorneys in this department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees.</p> <p>The attorney work product doctrine protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories. (Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Government Code section 6254, subdivision [k]; <i>County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court</i> (2000) 82 Cal.App. 4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with the policy making process. (<i>Times Mirror v. Superior Court</i> (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 5255. In response to your request, however, we are providing prior public records act</p>

							requests relating to Broidy and/or Markstone along with responses that have been provided by this Office.
PRA-2018-00440	Booker	Karen	3/7/2018	The state has two current contracts for bulk fuel 1. Contract 1-14-91-02A with Pinnacle Petroleum 2. Contract 1-14-91-02B with Falcon Fuels Please provide the bid tabulations for these bulk fuel bids.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Our research into the matter shows that these contracts are with the Department of General Services. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to DGS, you may wish to direct your request to their office by visiting their website at https://www.dgs.ca.gov/dgs/Home/feedback.aspx .
PRA-2018-00442	Steven	Linda	3/7/2018	A copy Form 1023 submitted by the California Art Education Association, most likely in 2008. Our EIN # is 94-1663056.	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	This office received your email dated March 7, 2018, requesting a copy of the IRS Form 1023 for California Art Education Association FEIN number 94-1663058. This office does not have these records. I attach the founding records on file with the Registry of Charitable Trusts. This organization registered with the Registry of Charitable Trusts in 1968 and we are not certain whether the IRS form 1023 existed at the time. You may want see if the IRS can locate these records by contacting the IRS Customer Account Services at (877) 829-5500; or write to Internal Revenue Service, TE/GE Division Customer Service, P.O. Box 2508, Cincinnati, OH 45201.
PRA-2018-00443	Lepore	Antonio	3/7/2018	3-7-18: Hi, can you help me with a problem with social network ? 3-15-18: The social network LinkedIn delete my account with all my data ... I believe. 3-15-18: Ok ... but I'm having trouble getting support alone so I contacted you ... Can you help me ?	Completed	Erin Nickless	There was no record description included with your contact information to identify which records you are seeking. As there were no records identified in your request, we are unable to provide records that are responsive. If you can provide more information as to which documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the documents that are within the control and custody of DOJ. Follow up response 1: The California Department of Justice is not affiliated with LinkedIn. You may wish to contact LinkedIn directly regarding your account status. Follow up response 2: To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. If you would like to make a complaint about LinkedIn, you may do so https://oag.ca.gov/consumers . The Public Records Unit is unable to assist you any further with this matter.
PRA-2018-00444	Lynch	Patricia	3/7/2018	1. Any and all records related to the design, construction, maintenance of Old State Highway 395 between Highway 76 and Reche Road, near Falbrook, CA, including but not limited to grading plans, specifications, asphalt paving, guardrails, striping and other items of design, construction and maintenance for the period from 1/1/1980 to the present. 2. Any and all records related to any motor vehicle collisions, pedestrian accidents which have occurred on Old Highway 395 in an area between Highway 76 and Reche Road, including any traffic collision reports created or maintained by the California Highway Patrol, records related to prosecution of individuals related to motor vehicle collisions which occurred in that area. 3. Any and all records related to the design, construction, installation, repair, removal, replacement of guardrails on Old Highway	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to highway construction and maintenance, which is overseen by the California Department of Transportation (DOT or CalTrans), you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to request records from CalTrans can be found on their site at http://www.dot.ca.gov/paffairs/cpra/ . Since you are seeking collision reports from the California Highway Patrol (CHP), you may wish to direct your request to their office. CHP's website is chp.ca.gov .
PRA-2018-00465	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/7/2018	1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any person or persons believed to be family members, relatives, friends, childhood friends, or others persons believed have knowledge or opinions (false, fabricated, or otherwise) regarding Parvin Olfati. 2. Names and address/contact information for each person or entity who received or provided any of the	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.

PRA-2018-00466	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/7/2018	<p>communications or documents, records and/or writings referenced in Request Item Number 1 above.</p> <p>3. Each and every report, analysis and/or memorandum that mention, refer to, and/or relate to any of the communications, documents, records and/or writings referenced in Request Item Numbers 1 and/or 2 above.</p> <p>4. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communications with any federal government agency (including but not limited to the Department of Homeland Security) that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>5. For any document in Request Items 1, 2, 3 and/or 4 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.
PRA-2018-00497	K'hundkar	Imran	3/7/2018	<p>1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any Parvin Olfati account or safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union, including but not limited to any document referring to any "joint account" (nonexistent or otherwise).</p> <p>2. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communication that any Parvin Olfati access to safe deposit box W6067 or any other safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union should be restricted or otherwise treated differently than safe deposit access requests made by other persons.</p> <p>3. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communications with any federal government agency (including but not limited to the Department of Homeland Security) that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>4. For any document in Request Items 1, 2 and/or 3 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p> <p>Please provide access to and copies of the following public records and all WRITINGS related thereto:</p> <p>1. Any and all documents regarding the Department of Justice's responses to applications for Dangerous Weapons Licenses/Permits since January 1, 2016.</p> <p>2. Any and all documents regarding the Department of Justice's internal procedures on how applications for Dangerous Weapons Licenses/Permits are investigated pursuant to Cal. Code. Reg. § 4138-39.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act (PRA) government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>Confidential Records Regarding Dangerous Weapons</p> <p>The Department has determined that we are unable to comply with your request. The records you have requested about dangerous weapons permits are confidential. To the extent that some information within those records is not confidential, it would be so difficult to isolate and segregate that information from the confidential information that it would be unduly burdensome to comply with your request.</p> <p>California Penal Code sections 33310, 32660, and 23020 establish three such exemptions to the PRA. Section 33310 describes permits issued by DOJ for the possession, transportation, manufacture or sale of short-barreled shotguns and short-barreled rifles. Section 32660 describes permits issued by DOJ for the possession, transportation, manufacture or sale of machine guns. Section 23020 describes</p>

						<p>permits issued by DOJ for the possession and transportation of tear gas and tear gas weapons. (Pen. Code, § 23000). All three sections provide that information about those permits "shall be open to inspection by any peace officer, or any other person designated by the authority issuing the permit." (Pen. Code, § 33310, subd. (a), 32660, 23020). DOJ, the entity that issues permits for short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles, machineguns, tear gas, and tear gas weapons, does not designate any persons outside of DOJ other than any peace officer to inspect the permits. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 4125, et seq.) Therefore, the maxim of "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Because state law severely restricts the dissemination of information about permits for short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles, machineguns, tear gas and tear gas weapons and does not authorize its disclosure to the public in response to a PRA request, the information is not subject to disclosure pursuant to the PRA. Section 31005, subdivision (b) provides another exemption to the PRA for records of assault weapon and .50 BMG rifle permits issued by DOJ. DOJ issues permits for the importation, possession, manufacture and sale of assault weapons and .50 BMG rifles. (Pen. Code, § 31005, subd. (a).) State law strictly limits the manner in which information about ownership of assault weapons and .50 BMG rifles may be disseminated. (See Pen. Code, § 30900-30965.) For example, a peace officer or dispatcher may not broadcast over a police radio that an individual has registered an assault weapon or a .50 BMG rifle with DOJ. (Pen. Code, § 31105, subd. (a).) Such information may only be transmitted via law enforcement computers when those computers are accessible only to peace officers or other authorized personnel. (Id., at subd. (c).) State law also provides that "the keeping and inspection" of DOJ permits for assault weapons are subject to the same limitations that apply to the "keeping and inspection" of machinegun permits. (Pen. Code, § 31005, subd. (b).)</p> <p>Clearly, the legislature intended information about permits for assault weapons and .50 BMG rifles to be confidential and exempt from disclosure in response to a PRA request, just as it intended information about permits for short-barreled shotguns and short-barreled rifles, machineguns, tear gas, and tear gas weapons, to be exempt from disclosure in response to a PRA request.</p> <p>Likewise, DOJ issues permits for the importation, exportation, possession, transportation, manufacture and sale of destructive devices. (Pen. Code, § 18900, subds. (a) and (b).) State law does not specifically provide for "the keeping and inspection" of destructive device permit information, which appears to be a legislative oversight. Federal law, however, severely limits the disclosure and use of such information. For example, a federal record regarding a destructive device (considered to be a "firearm" under the federal National Firearms Act (NFA), just like short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles, silencers and machineguns is considered to be a confidential tax record that may not be disclosed by any federal employee with access to the record. (26 U.S.C. § 6103(a), United States v. Freed (1971) 401 U.S. 601, 605-606.)</p> <p>The records cannot even be released to a state law enforcement agency that is conducting a criminal investigation. (United States v. Freed, supra 401 U.S. at p. 605.) In fact, no information or evidence obtained from an application, registration, or records required to be submitted or retained in compliance with the NFA may be used, directly or indirectly, as evidence against the person who registered the weapon in a criminal proceeding with respect to a violation of law occurring prior to or concurrently with the filing of the application or registration, or the compiling of the records containing the information or evidence. (26 U.S.C. § 5848 (a).)</p> <p>There are several public safety and policy reasons why information about permits and licenses to possess, manufacture and sell destructive devices should not be subject to public disclosure. First, as their name suggests, these are weapons that are extremely dangerous. They are included within the "two narrow, precisely defined groups of highly dangerous weapons" are "so amenable to antisocial use by private parties as to justify the strictest regulation" because they have "no legitimate private use and constituted a substantial threat to public safety" under federal law. (US. v. Oba, supra, 448 F.2d at pp. 897-898.) Second, they are not only dangerous to the public, but also attractive to criminals. (See Suter v. City of Lafayette (1997) 57 Cal.App.4th 1109, 1135 ["]Although persons desiring to steal firearms might attempt to</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

						<p>obtain them from private citizens, there usually is no way to learn if a particular person owns a firearm, or owns the desired firearm. It therefore is not irrational to assume that persons desiring to steal firearms would target dealerships.".) Therefore, information about those businesses (some of which may not be open to the public) that manufacture, import, export, sell and use destructive devices is understandably not appropriate for public disclosure. Even if the name and address of a permit holder were redacted from all application materials, a reasonable person could still determine the identity of the applicant because of the unique details of the justification and business plan set forth in the application and the other supporting documentation in the application.</p> <p>Considering that "destructive devices are just as dangerous as short-barreled shotguns, short-barreled rifles and machine guns (See United States v. Oba (9th Cir., 1971) 448 F. 2d 892, 897 ["destructive devices" subject to regulation under the NF A are "items of heavy military ordnance that had no legitimate private use and constituted a substantial threat to public safety, like the gangster-type weapons theretofore covered by the Act"]) and treated similarly to those other weapons in terms of disclosure of records under federal law, criminal penalties for unlawful possession, transportation, importation, and manufacture under state law, and are subject to the same regulatory scheme under state law (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 4125, et seq.), there is no reason to conclude that they should not also be subject to the same strict rules regarding disclosure of records of permits.</p> <p>Some of the information you have requested is contained within DOJ records of issuance of dangerous weapons permits. (See Cal. Code Regs., tit. 11, § 4125, et seq.) Given the explicit limitations on the release of information about permits for short-barreled shotguns and short-barreled rifles, machineguns, assault weapons, tear gas and tear gas weapons, the extremely strict limitations in federal law upon the release of records regarding "firearms" (including destructive devices), and the public policy considerations for the confidentiality of permits for destructive devices, it is clearly reasonable to conclude that the Legislature did not intend for records of applications for any of these dangerous weapons permits to be released to the public in response to a PRA request. Therefore, the Bureau of Firearms denies your request for those records.</p> <p>Unduly Burdensome Request</p> <p>A government agency may withhold "any record by demonstrating that the record in question is exempt under the express provisions of this chapter or that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of the record." (Gov. Code § 6255, subd. (a).) In weighing the competing interests, it is appropriate to consider the expense and inconvenience involved in segregating nonexempt from exempt information (American Civil Liberties Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-53), as well as the strength of the public interest in disclosure of the information that is requested. (CBS v. Block (1986) 42 Cal.3d 646, 654-55.)</p> <p>One factor to consider is the time period of the request. A request for five years of the Governor's calendars and schedules, for example, is unreasonable according to the California Supreme Court. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1345.) In Times Mirror, the court observed that "whatever merit disclosure might otherwise warrant in principle is simply crushed under the massive weight of the [Los Angeles] Times' request." (Ibid.)</p> <p>The breadth of a request is even more significant than the time period of the request. According to one California appellate court, "[i]t is the nonspecific and unfocused nature of [a] request which is dispositive, not its time period." (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 480.) In Rogers, a newspaper reporter "requested the wholesale production of all City-reimbursed telephone records of all City Council members over a one-year period." (Ibid.)</p> <p>The reporter offered to make a more focused request to the trial court so that the court could then intelligently review the telephone records in camera. The appellate court rejected that suggestion and stated that it is the responsibility of the person seeking public records to submit a focused request. "Petitioner should have presented a specific and focused request to the City, with which it then would have an opportunity to comply. It makes no sense to permit an individual to make a general, unfocused request for records to the public agency which will then be compelled to deny it, thereby ensuring litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

						<p>specific." (Rogers v. Superior Court, supra, 19 Cal. App.4th at p. 481.)</p> <p>Finally, it is appropriate to consider the time and expense necessary for an agency to comply with a request. Government Code Section 6255 speaks broadly of the "public interest," a phrase which encompasses public concern with the cost and efficiency of government. (American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452 -53.) In American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, the ACLU requested certain index cards and computer printouts held by DOJ. The California Supreme Court upheld DOJ's refusal to provide the documents, even in a redacted form, because "segregation of personal identifiers, confidential information, and information which might reveal confidential sources will be so burdensome, and will so reduce the utility of disclosing the documents to the ACLU, that the public interest will not be served by requiring disclosure of the index cards." (Id., at p. 444.)</p> <p>The court observed that "to refuse to place [the burden to an agency of compliance] on the section 6255 scales would make it possible for any person requesting information, for any reason or for no particular reason, to impose upon a governmental agency a limitless obligation. Such a result would not be in the public interest." (Id., at p. 453.)</p> <p>The request for any and all documents pertaining to the categories of documents you identified imposes an unduly burdensome task on the Department given the scope of the request.</p> <p>Deliberative Process Privilege</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Attorney-Client Privilege</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning regulations are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Attorney Work Product Privilege</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act. Government Code 6254.19 provides that "Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to require the disclosure of an information security record of a public agency, if, on the facts of the particular case, disclosure of that record would reveal vulnerabilities to, or otherwise increase the potential for an attack on, an information technology system of a public agency." (Gov. Code, § 6254.19.)</p> <p>Public Interest Exemption</p> <p>Section 6255, the public interest exemption, also precludes the public disclosure of information when the public's interest in keeping the information confidential outweighs</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	---

PRA-2018-00549	Nygren	James	3/7/2018	<p>The records that we are asking to inspect and copy are any expenditures, incurred, paid or owed made by the Secretary of State. California State Senate or Attorney General's office to draft and defend in court the following pieces of legislation both SB 117 and SB 96.</p> <p>I request copies of any invoices from law firms as well as payments made to law firms regarding the July 20 lawsuit filed by the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association against the Secretary of State challenging the provisions in SB 96 as well as the August 24 lawsuit filed by the Howard Jarvis Taxpayers Association against Secretary of State Padilla seeking to block the enforcement of SB 117. Both court challenges were filed California's third district court of appeal.</p> <p>The law firm of Strumwasser and Woocher, 109400 Wilshire Blvd, Suite 2000, Los Angeles, CA 90024 helped defend both measures in court.</p> <p>If they are available, I would also like to know the hours and costs of the legislature's in-house attorneys to draft and defend both SB 96 and SB 117.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>the public's interest in disclosure. We have redacted policy and procedure documents to preclude the possibility of an attack on the dangerous weapons permit system. It is the Department's conclusion that avoiding such an attack clearly supports the public interest in keeping the information confidential and that that interest outweighs the public interest in disclosure - especially where dangerous weapons are concerned. In addition to the above exemptions, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code § 6255.)</p> <p>Accounting for these exemptions, we have enclosed the non-privileged documents we have that are responsive to your request.</p> <p>We have searched our legal indices and logical places, and consulted knowledgeable persons, and have found no responsive records. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the California State Senate and Secretary of State, you may wish to direct your request to their offices.</p>
PRA-2018-00551	Perch	Marcus	3/7/2018	<p>In accordance with the California Public Records Act (Gov't Code §6250-6268), I'm requesting copies of the following records:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Copies of all communications from July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2013 sent or received by the California Attorney General's Office from email addresses ending in @lamayor.org. 2. Copies of all communications received by the California Attorney General's Office since January 1, 2013 from Antonio Villaraigosa. 	Completed	Julia Zufelato	<p>Your request covers communications sent and/or received by anyone in the Department over a time period of more than 12 years. Email communications not maintained in a file relating to a distinct matter are automatically deleted from our system on a periodic basis. With respect to retained communications in general, they are maintained in multiple separate files relating to distinct matters. As a result, locating such retained communications without knowing the specific department employee or officer who sent or received the communication or the distinct matter to which the communication relates would require that we search every file.</p> <p>The California Attorney General's Office, located in six different cities with approximately 5,000 employees, handles hundreds of matters each year. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each Department division, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual Department employee or official, or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, to review each and every file for each individual matter assigned to that employee or official, or to that section, branch, unit or bureau, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Consequently, we are not able to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>Nevertheless, in an effort to be responsive to your request, we conducted a search for communications from Antonio Villaraigosa received by either Attorney General Xavier Becerra, or former Attorney General Kamala Harris, since January 1, 2013. We searched logical places and contacted knowledgeable people, but have not been able to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information about the</p>

PRA-2018-00449	Eddo	Mark	3/8/2018	Record # C185311 In 1997, it was for me. Mark A Eddo Trying to locate a final outcome from this case that was against me. The local court that it was at no longer has the record. Too old		Completed	Erin Nickless	documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of the records within the care and custody of our department. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-00450	Shepherd	Amy	3/8/2018	Obtaining probate/will copies. Decedent's name is James Leon Shepherd, DOB: [REDACTED] DOD: [REDACTED]. Unable to find online info on how to obtain those records of a deceased family member who was living in San Luis Obispo County at the time of his death in 2003.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice, therefore we must deny your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). Please note that our office is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records regarding a probate matter. You may wish to contact the local court in which the probate matter would be heard. If you are seeking unclaimed property linked to an estate, you may wish to contact the State Controller's office.
PRA-2018-00531	Picard	Alexis	3/8/2018	The number of arrests for property crimes (i.e. motor vehicle theft, larceny-theft, burglary, etc.) from Long Beach PD, Fresno PD, and Sacramento PD for the year 2016. Nicole Amaiz assisted me with a similar request to the one listed above, it would be great to work with her again! Thank you.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00542	Montecastro	Hendrix	3/8/2018	All records, motions, briefs, documents, exhibits with respect to class action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. 1983 with respect to Board of Pardons v. Allen (1987) 482 U.S. 309.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We are unable to locate records based on the information provided. If you could provide more specific information, we might be able to conduct a more directed search, but as it is currently cast, we were unable to locate any records. In general, we do not maintain, index or categorize our files based on specific statutes or case law. As a result, your request is too broad and we must decline your request for records. If you can provide more specificity, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. However, as it is currently cast, we must decline your request.
PRA-2018-00673	Davis	Clarence	3/8/2018	People v. Clarence, California Court of Appeal Case No. B 116591: 1. Probation Officer's Report dated 7/18/97; 2. Appellate Court Opinion; and 3. Appellant's Opening Brief.		Completed	Irene Chavez	With regards to your request to document entitled, "Exhibit A of the Amended Federal Petition" the service copy that is in our file does NOT have the attachment "Exh bit A." Finally, I have requested the above file from storage and am waiting for it to arrive. Once it arrives, I will search for the other two items you requested which are: 1. Amended Information; and 2) Minute Order reflecting the jury's finding of guilt, etc. If available, I will get them to you.
PRA-2018-00811	McCall	Joanna	3/8/2018	1. A log of all FOIA requests received by the OAG or if a log is not available, such other records OAG may have that would identify such requests. 2. With respect to Request No. 1, to the extent not included in the log or other responsive documents, the date of the request, the name of the requester, the requested information, the resolution of the request, and the tracking number assigned to the request.	National Corporate Research, LTD	Rolling Production	Erin Nickless	On March 19, 2018, our office responded: We are sending via U.S. pdf copies of our logs between the dates March 1, 2015 through and August 31, 2017. The files are too voluminous to send via e-mail. We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process. On April 24, 2018, our office responded: On March 18, 2018, we sent via U.S. Mail copies of our logs between the dates March 1, 2015 through and August 31, 2017. We are sending via U.S. Mail pdf copies of our logs between the dates September 1, 2017 through and October 31, 2017. The files are too voluminous to send via e-mail. We need additional time to generate and redact personal information contained in the PRA database for the remaining time frame denoted in your request. We anticipate reviewing and redacting this data to take a minimum of 12 weeks. The logs will be produced to you as they are completed in a rolling production process.

PRA-2018-00452	Zelenay	James	3/9/2018	<p>1. All documents filed in a qui tam False Claims Act case filed by Roger Hayes and C. Talbot Heppenstall in Sacramento County Superior Court on September 11, 2007, including, but not limited to, the complaint, notice of voluntary dismissal, and any order dismissing the case.</p> <p>2. The docket from a qui tam False Claims Act case filed by Roger Hayes and C. Talbot Heppenstall in Sacramento County Superior Court on September 11, 2007.</p>	Completed	Brendan Ruddy	<p>March 19, 2018: As to the records requested in paragraph 1 of your request, copies of all responsive Judicial Council forms MC-060, which could be located through reasonable efforts, will be disclosed. Disclosure is estimated to occur within five to ten business days from the date of this letter.</p> <p>Otherwise, disclosure of the information requested in paragraph 1 of your request is exempted and prohibited pursuant to California law, (see Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k)), because the request calls for the Superior Court records of a sealed qui tam action. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rules 2.570 et seq.)</p> <p>Additionally, the attorney work product doctrine (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030), the official information privilege (Evid. Code, § 1040) and the investigative law enforcement records exemptions apply to parti~ular documents requested. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subds. (i) & (k).)</p> <p>Records such as the declarations of AGO attorneys filed in camera with the court discuss the nature and extent of the government's investigation, including information that reflects an attorney 's impressions, conclusions, and opinions, information obtained in confidence, and information reflecting the government's deliberative and decisional processes. These documents are confidential and exempt from disclosure as work product, containing official information and confidential investigative material, and reflecting the government's deliberative and decisional processes.</p> <p>The exemption under Section 6255 of the Government Code also applies to the sealed court records. The public interests served by the AGO not disclosing Superior Court records of a sealed case absent a court order unsealing such a case sufficiently outweigh the public interest in the AGO's disclosure of the same.</p> <p>As to the records requested in paragraph 2 of your request, after researching the request and all reasonable efforts, we have determined that the AGO has no documents that are responsive.</p> <p>In responding to your request under the California Public Records Act, the AGO does not waive any rights, privileges or claims as to the disclosure or protection of any information.</p> <p>March 23, 2018 response: Please find enclosed copies of all responsive Judicial Council forms MC-060 that could be located through reasonable efforts, as discussed in my letter of March 19, 2018.</p>
PRA-2018-00453	Smith	Annette	3/9/2018	<p>I would like to request a copy of my father's death certificate. His name is Gerald Turner. There was a child support case. I was born [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA-2018-00454	OBrien	Shiela	3/9/2018	<p>Albert Torres currently occupying [REDACTED] [REDACTED] I currently am trying to evict from my mother's home</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to</p>

PRA-2018-00516	North	Lily	3/9/2018	<p>All documents and communications, including without limitation electronic mail, related to People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • All communications with Rent-A-Center, Inc. related to People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.). • All communication with anyone outside of the Attorney General's Office regarding People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.). • All communications with Rust Consulting related to People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.). <p>All documents and communications referencing or referring to Rust Consulting related to People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.).</p> <p>All documents and communications concerning or describing the Settlement Administrator's distribution and efforts to distribute restitution payments to RAC consumers in California pursuant to the Final Judgment in People of the State of California v. Rent-A-Center, Inc., Case No. CGC-06-457887 (Cal. Super. Ct., S.F. Cty.), including without limitation, the Settlement Administrator's communications with and efforts to contact RAC consumers.</p>	Dechert LLP	Completed	Joseph A. Rogazzo	<p>confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>Joseph Ragazzo sent an extension on March 19, 2018.</p> <p>On April 2, 2018, Ragazzo responded: While this Office will produce records and publicly available information in response to your request, please be advised that we must decline your request as to certain documents.</p> <p>First, many of the records you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure records of complaints to and investigations conducted by the Office of the Attorney General and the Department of Justice. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (f).) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status after conclusion of the investigation. (Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354-355.)</p> <p>Your request also seeks documents exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney work product doctrine or the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, and the work product exception contained in Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030, are incorporated into the Public Records Act as exemptions from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363; County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Your request also seeks documents exempt from disclosure pursuant to the deliberative process privilege. The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Finally, some of the records you are seeking contain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, e-mail addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information. This information is protected from disclosure. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>Enclosed are non-exempt documents that are responsive or related to your request. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, based on considerations of personal privacy. We have also redacted portions of documents based on the attorney work product exception. For your convenience, we have provided this information to you in electronic format. The Department of Justice is authorized to charge for postage and the direct cost of duplication for copying records under the Public Records Act. If you would like these documents in hard copy, please contact our office.</p>
PRA-2018-00523	Hahn	Jill	3/9/2018	<p>Mark E. Wright, date of birth [REDACTED] I work for Family Court Services, and am reviewing personal and legal history. I appreciate any information you're able to forward to me. Sincerely, Jill Hahn</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital</p>

							Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-00455	Kistler	Nolie	3/11/2018	Rental history	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking rental history records, you may wish to direct your request to your credit reporting agency. If you seek eviction records, you would need to direct your request to the local superior court in which the case was filed.
PRA-2018-00456	Gruse	Brian	3/12/2018	Marriage records	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA-2018-00457	Grutz	Jerry	3/12/2018	Can I get a public records for Terry Allen Armstrong. DOB [REDACTED] SS# [REDACTED]. He applied for a job at my co. and am looking to see if he will be able to work with customers.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history

PRA-2018-00459	Jordan	Kirk	3/12/2018	<p>For the City of Long Beach, California, calendar years 2017 and 2018, e-mails and attachments from city employees of the Public Works Department, City Engineer, and office of Mayor Robert Garcia, in reference to the "Broadway Corridor Project" and the "Broadway Visioning Study", especially referring to numbers of parking spaces to be created or lost by the project. Pertinent e-mail addresses:</p> <p>Sean.Crumby@longbeach.gov<mailto:Sean.Crumby@longbeach.gov>; Craig.Beck@longbeach.gov<mailto:Craig.Beck@longbeach.gov>; Eric.Widstrand@longbeach.gov<mailto:Eric.Widstrand@longbeach.gov>; Paul.VanDyk@longbeach.gov<mailto:Paul.VanDyk@longbeach.gov>; Onofre.Ramirez@longbeach.gov<mailto:Onofre.Ramirez@longbeach.gov>; Alvin.Papa@longbeach.gov<mailto:Alvin.Papa@longbeach.gov>; Mayor@longbeach.gov<mailto:Mayor@longbeach.gov></p> <p>Vincent Guerrero D.O.B. [REDACTED] Case No. 2017-64.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the City of Long Beach, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>
PRA-2018-00464	Angulo	AI	3/12/2018	<p>Vincent Guerrero D.O.B. [REDACTED] Case No. 2017-64.</p>	Completed	Nancy Kaiser	<p>You did not state any specific records in your request. Per our telephone conversation today, you stated that the Stipulated Settlement and the Accusation in Case No. 2017-64 and Respondent's license applications upon which the Accusation is based will satisfy your request. Additionally, we decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemptions:</p> <p>Attorney-Client Privilege</p> <p>Records exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege.</p> <p>Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954, which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client; are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.) Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and any client agency are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>Attorney Work Product</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>Privilege Log Not Required</p> <p>Under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v. Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074. Nor is the agency to describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption. Providing such a log would be unduly time-consuming and burdensome under the public interest balancing test. (Id. at 1074-75; Gov. Code § 6255.)</p> <p>Enclosures: Stipulated Settlement, Accusation, Respondent's license/registration applications submitted to SPCB, dated April 28, 1998, October 27, 1998, July 8, 2002, October 2, 2002, and May 27, 2003.</p>
PRA-2018-00487	Huerta	Lisa	3/12/2018	<p>State complaint, journal entries from plea and conviction, and sentencing order documents in State v. Alex Bogroff, case number CR32270. Bogroff was convicted of the</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records based on the information provided. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a</p>

PRA-2018-00491	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/12/2018	<p>principal crime, Possession of Controlled Substance, on February 24, 1988; and he was convicted of Escape from Prison on May 30, 1989.</p> <p>Comments: Our office is currently prosecuting Alex Michael Bogroff, D.O.B. [REDACTED], for Domestic Assault and two counts of Terroristic Threats in Buffalo County, Nebraska, as well as being a Habitual Criminal. Please provide our office with a court certified copy of the state complaint, plea, conviction, and sentencing order documents in the above-referenced case. If you have any questions or require additional information, please contact me at luherta@buffalocounty.ne.gov <luherta@buffalocounty.ne.gov>. Time is of the essence in this matter.</p>			<p>search of our records within the care and custody of our office. We note that you mention charges incurred by Alex Michael Bogroff in Buffalo County Nebraska. If this case was handled by the Nebraska Department of Justice or a local District Attorney's Office, you would need to direct your request to that office.</p> <p>To the extent you are seeking criminal history records, we are unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as a Public Records Act Request, as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>	
PRA-2018-00492	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/12/2018	<p>1. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any Parvin Olfati, Olfati, Olfat-Namin and/or Namin Tehran University diploma, Iran high school transcripts, and/or Iranian educational documents from any safe deposit box maintained by Parvin Olfati at SAFE Credit Union, including but not limited to boxes W1120 and/or W6067.</p> <p>2. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any communication that any Parvin Olfati access to safe deposit box W6067 or any other safe deposit box at SAFE Credit Union should be restricted or otherwise treated differently than safe deposit access requests made by other persons.</p> <p>3. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that constitutes, mentions, refers to and/or relates to any communications with any federal government agency (including but not limited to the Department of Homeland Security) that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>4. For any document in Request Items 1, 2 and/or 3 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.</p>	Completed	Completed	Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.	Erin Nickless

				2. Each and every electronic mail message, text message, memorandum, letter, document, writing and/or record that mentions, refers to, and/or relates to any placement of or release of any arsenic or toxic that has any effect on the nerves, spine or brain or is intended to cause injury or brain, nerve and/or spinal damage to Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any residence believed to be used by Parvin Olfati; directed at or in any place where Parvin Olfati has believed to have escaped to get away from being poisoned, becoming ill, or otherwise affected; and/or directed at or in any automobile believed to be used by Parvin Olfati. 3. For any document in Request Items 1 and/or 2 above that DOJ claims has been destroyed or is otherwise unavailable, please provide all records of any destruction or other reasons for non-availability, together with applicable records retention policies.			
PRA-2018-00527	Ponton	Thomas	3/12/2018	Please provide any documents from the Department of Fish and Wildlife pertaining to 1989-1991 permit obtained by the Big Bear Municipal Water District involving Mallard Lagoon or Canvasback Cove. Documents requested include permits, applications, letters, agreements and completion sign offs. They would likely involve lake, or stream alteration. One possible Notification number for partial documents might be 5-165-89, but others may exist.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request is directed to the California Department of Justice, but seeks documents from the Department of Fish and Wildlife. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to the Department of Fish and Wildlife, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to submit a Public Records Request to their office can be found on the Department of Fish and Wildlife's web page at https://www.wildlife.ca.gov/General-Counsel/Public-Records-Requests .
PRA-2018-00528	Legarreta	Melissa	3/12/2018	Investigation/ any documents regarding the murder of Daniel Subia Rodriguez in 1982. Shot to death. For the purpose of personal investigation	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)
PRA-2018-00537	Isetti	Ronald	3/12/2018	I am an historian who is presently writing the history of Stockton California. On July 4, 1970, a police incident with young Mexican Americans led to charges of brutality. As a result the local chief, Jack O'Keefe, resigned from office. At the request of the local district attorney, Joseph H. Baker, two members of the Attorney General's office came to the city to lead an investigation of the local police department. Baker could not do this because he was involved in two high profile cases involving fraud at Avco Thrift and the bribery of a superior court judge. A scouring of the local newspaper could not find any story on he AG's final report. It would have been made sometime between August of 1970 and February of 1971. My question is this: can it be found in the files of the AG office? Is it possibly on line?	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)

PRA-2018-00550	Jacobo	Salvador Baltazar	3/12/2018	I Salvador Baltazar Jacobo would like to receive any police record or court record on my behalf if any. I am willing to send payment via mail being that I do not live in the state of California any longer. If this is possible for any record under my name could be mailed to me to the address mentioned above. I understand that there may be a fee for these services and I am willing to pay to the appropriate authority. If you require more personal information and or document on my behalf please send notice to me as soon as possible. I look forward to a response from your department.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking court records, you may wish to direct your request to the local court in which the case you are interested in was heard.
PRA-2018-00559	Dancel	Clarice	3/12/2018	1. PERMANENT RESIDENCE OF CALIFORNIA NOT of texas, please see CALIFORNIA state rules and regulations. 2. Proof of legitimate TOXICOLOGY REPORT. IMMEDIATELY. 3. Return of ALL property damage done in transference to holding cell and there of, IMMEDIATELY. 4. RESTORATION of ALL property unlawful destroyed and taken by suggesting officer ('). IMMEDIATELY. 5. Update case notes. IMMEDIATELY 6. Proper due diligence is requested IMMEDIATELY 7. Cease and desist of all unlawful discriminatory charges. IMMEDIATELY 8. Cease and Desists of ALL harassing threats from The state of TEXAS, IMMEDIATELY. 9. CEASE AND DESIST all actions leading to "DEFAMATION of CHARACTER" currently in process and done by the State of TEXAS, IMMEDIATELY. WE are requesting a full original POLICE REPORT (NOT a copy of finger prints TAKEN without consent), IMMEDIATELY. DUE to the hardships caused by suggesting arresting officer of JULY 2,2017 over the 4th of July		Completed	Erin Nickless	We must deny your request for the reasons set forth below, and because it lacks clarity and specificity. You have stated that "information needs to be updated." You have also indicated that case notes need to be updated, however no case name, number, or court information has been provided. Therefore, we have been unable to search for these records. If you are seeking a correction to a criminal record, you will need to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . To the extent that you are seeking police reports, we must deny your request. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place. Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Since you include requests directed to the State of Texas in your request, you would need to direct your request to them.
PRA-2018-00471	Frazin	Alan	3/13/2018	All complaints for the past five years for Fair Credit Reporting Act matters, and matters related to inaccurate credit reporting, identity theft, and refusal to correct credit reporting inaccuracies, for the following entities: Discover Bank, Citibank and CitiMortgage, including related Services, Discover Card Execution Note Trust, Discover Card Master Trust I, Discover Funding LLC, Discover Properties LLC, Citigroup Inc., Citibank, N.A., CitiMortgage, Inc., Citigroup Global Markets Inc, CEFOF GP I CORP., CELFOF GP CORP., Citigroup Alternative Investments LLC, Citigroup Capital Partners I GP I CORP., Citigroup Capital Partners I GP II CORP., Citigroup Private Equity (OFFSHORE) LLC, Citigroup First Investment Management.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].) We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have located one non-exempt complaint that falls within the time frame outlined in your request and that may relate to your subject matter. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00472	Kleinman	Gretchen	3/13/2018	All communications to or from employees of Oracle (individuals with the @oracle.com domain name) or individuals claiming to act on behalf of or claiming to represent Oracle, regarding, pertaining to, or concerning Rimini Street, Inc.		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.

PRA-2018-00473	Sokolik	Dixie	3/13/2018	Any records or written communications regarding Michelle Knuttila/HiCalber Horse Rescue from the CVMIB or Attorney General's office as can currently be allowed. I can be reached at [REDACTED] or phone number [REDACTED]. Thank you so much for your attention and time in this matter.		Completed	Caroline Hughes	For the reasons set forth below, we decline to provide records in response to your request. There are public records on the Attorney General's website that relate to your request, which you may find at http://rct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/ , click the link to search for an Organization, and then type in "Hicalber Horse Rescue, Inc." under the "Organization Name". Any additional records that may exist would be classified as confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. The Attorney General cannot respond on behalf of the California Veterinary Medical Board. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts investigatory and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints about unlawful practices. In the case of <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. To the extent that your request is for any other documents or information related to these records, Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the work product of an attorney. The attorney work-product exemption exempts from disclosure any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It is also incorporated into the Public Records Act by Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exemption, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office would be subject to this exemption, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.
PRA-2018-00474	Wiseman	Jill	3/13/2018	1) Want the attorney's name and if possible firm that won lawsuits against Mark Hooshmand - #194878 Hooshmand Law Group 22 Battery St Ste 610 San Francisco, CA 94111 2) Brief description of the lawsuits that were won against Mark Hooshmand		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may wish to contact the court in which the lawsuits would have been filed.
PRA-2018-00475	Morgan	Betty	3/13/2018	Owner of rental property at [REDACTED] [REDACTED] One owner is Anita Palowsky and the other is [REDACTED] her brother same last name I presume. Anita ma be living in Anaheim, CA. Her phone number is 714 area code.		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on the owner a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-00476	Clift	Gerald	3/13/2018	I would like the most recent ten years of data for homicide arrests by age of arrestee. The information in Table 32, of the homicide report I have provided a link to in the comments, has this information but I would like the information provided by year (for the most recent 10 years) and with an age group of 18-20 year olds. I am trying to determine the percent and number of homicide arrestees (ages 18-20) in comparison to the rest of the population. Thank you. https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/downloads/pdfs/hm16.pdf		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.
PRA-2018-00486	Combs	Brandon	3/13/2018	To assist in clarifying this request with the hope of avoiding unnecessary delay, I provide the following background followed by a description of the records I want to access. BACKGROUND: Jim Guy, "Agents seized 500 guns from a Clovis family. After a court fight, they got them back," Fresno Bee, April 17, 2017, at http://www.fresnobee.com/news/local/crime/article142449644.html Attorney General Becerra news release Oct. 19, 2017:		Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. Requests that are overly broad and unduly burdensome are exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law. As you are aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney

				<p>https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/california-department-justice-iss... Attorney General Becerra news release Feb. 6, 2018: https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-californ... Attorney General Becerra news release Feb. 21, 2018: https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-announce... Attorney General Becerra news release March 13, 2018: https://oag.ca.gov/news/press-releases/attorney-general-becerra-seven-ap... RECORDS REQUESTED: 1. Records that are on or about: A. "bump-fire" firearm stocks (sometimes known as, among other terms, "bumpfire" stocks, "bump fire" stocks, "bumpstocks," or "bump-stocks"); B. "slide fire" stocks (sometimes known as, among other terms, "slide-fire" stocks or "slidefire" stocks); or, C. "multi-burst trigger activators" (sometimes known as, among other terms, "multiburst trigger activator"). 2. Records on or about: A. Penal Code section 16590(q); B. Penal Code section 16930; C. Penal Code section 18010; D. Penal Code section 32900; or, E. Penal Code section 32900. 3. For the period of January 1, 2017, to March 13, 2018, inclusive, records regarding the APPS program: A. Generally; B. Property seized through the APPS program; and, C. Property seized through the APPS program that was or may be returned or released. 4. For the period of January 1, 2017, to March 13, 2018, inclusive, records on or about underground regulations (determined, held, or alleged).</p>				<p>general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. As currently drafted, your request for information is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Specifically, a search of Department communications and records under the parameters you identified would be unworkable. For example, it is appropriate to consider the time and expense necessary for an agency to comply with a request. Section 6255 speaks broadly of the "public interest," a phrase which encompasses public concern with the cost and efficiency of government. (ACLU, supra, 32 Cal.3d at pp. 452-453.) In American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, the ACLU requested certain index cards and computer printouts held by the Department of Justice. The California Supreme Court upheld the Department's refusal to provide the documents, even in a redacted form, because "segregation of personal identifiers, confidential information, and information which might reveal confidential sources will be so burdensome, and will so reduce the utility of disclosing the documents to the ACLU, that the public interest will not be served by requiring disclosure of the index cards." (Id. at p. 444.) The court observed that "to refuse to place [the burden to an agency of compliance] on the section 6255 scales would make it possible for any person requesting information, for any reason or for no particular reason, to impose upon a governmental agency a limitless obligation. Such a result would not be in the public interest." (Id. at p. 453.) For these reasons, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)</p>
PRA-2018-00488	Skovgard	David	3/13/2018	<p>Animal Care Service Bureau citations #'s 22783 1/11/18, 23877 3/12/18 and 23878 3/12/18. I would like to know who my accuser is since I am being fined and to see the evidence against me. The first citation was a warning. I will be appealing it as neither my wife or I was home at the time of the supposed incident.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records of citations issued by the Animal Care Service Bureau, you may wish to direct your request to their office.</p>	
PRA-2018-00498	Dorsey	Edwin	3/13/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to obtain copies of all consumer complaints referencing Care.com Inc. from January 1st, 2012 to March 13, 2018 made to the California attorney general's office. I would prefer to receive this information via email.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."])</p>	
PRA-2018-00541	Bort	Emily	3/13/2018	<p>Looking for a State Tax Lien from the Employment Development Department to Mr. John R Gallegos. Case number 1273098720 and the original case number is 1273000420685. Filed 2/1/2012.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but</p>	

PRA-2018-00477	Miller	Brianna	3/14/2018	Birth and death certificate of my great grand father Callelano Carrasco. I'm adopted so my original name is Brianna Carrasco		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>seeks records regarding tax liens and potentially records generated by the Employment Development Department. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the records you seek might be available through the Employment Development Department or the Franchise Tax Board; or the local county assessor's office for the county in which the property resides if the lien is property-related.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
PRA-2018-00478	Moore	Yohannes	3/14/2018	<p>Requesting Public Records for case regarding: Yohannes Julian Moore</p> <p>Case Number: P4636171336713</p> <p>Santa Barbara Courts, CA</p> <p>Charges filed on: 01/10/2010</p> <p>Category: Criminal/Traffic 834961667</p> <p>Requesting any/ all of the following documents: COPY OF THE ARRESTING OFFICER'S REPORT, COMPLAINT, INDICTMENT, TRIAL DISPOSITION, SENTENCE, APPEAL, PROBATION REPORT AND CERTIFIED COPY OF CONVICTION.</p> <p>Comments: Requesting these documents for Moral Character and Fitness Application to California State Bar</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Since you are seeking probation reports, you may wish to contact the probation office or the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html.</p>
PRA-2018-00481	McCulley	Matthew	3/14/2018	<p>Investigation Report from the Office of the Director, State of California, Department of Justice.</p> <p>Investigation Title: Oakland Police Department Sergeant of Police, Derwin Longmire; Date: February 26, 2009; Investigation</p> <p>Number: PS08-0035; Report Number: 1; Type of Report: Opening/Closing Case Agent: John Porbanic, SAS -- Request is for a Full, Unredacted Copy</p> <p>If this Investigation Report is considered exempt from the public records act, then please provide contact and procedural information to serve a duces tecum subpoena upon the California Department of Justice via email.</p>		Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below.</p> <p>You have requested an "investigation report" from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).</p> <p>In the case of <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id at p. 355.)</p>
PRA-2018-00482	Parfait	Jason	3/14/2018	Burroughs Allen Waltrip born in Texas in 1903 and last known location is San Bernardino, CA in 1953. He was my maternal great-grandfather.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital</p>

PRA-2018-00483	Fish	Nicole	3/14/2018	<p>I am a reporter at the community-based news site VoiceWaves and I am writing a story about parking tickets in Long Beach and the effects they have on different groups of people. In order to write this piece, I would like to have access to the cost of parking tickets in different areas, the cost of fines when dues are not paid and the consequences beyond fines that may occur.</p> <p>This is a request under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.)</p> <p>Specifically, I ask to obtain records of all parking violations in the city of Long Beach from January 1, 2013, until the present. By records I mean a description of the violation, cost of the fine, time, date and area in which it was incurred, including the license number, year and make and model of each vehicle. Additionally, I am requesting the average number of tickets and cost of tickets per resident per district, along with the average household income per district.</p> <p>Please include data on the percentage of fines paid both late and on time, the exact monetary penalties for late fines and any further consequences that may unfold.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>Parking ticket records are typically maintained by the local city police department that generated the citation. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department(s) for the region in which you seek this information.</p>
PRA-2018-00484	Blonien	Jarhett	3/14/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act. Government Code Section 6250 et seq., the California Constitution, and Business and Professions Code Section 19826, I am writing to request a copy of the following gaming activities as approved by the Bureau of Gambling Control. These records are in the possession of the Bureau of Gambling Control. The following gaming activities are approved by the Bureau and listed by the Bureau's Gaming Establishment Gaming Activity (GEGA) Number:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1.) GEGA-001751 2.) GEGA-001902 3.) GEGA-00163 4.) GEGA-003400 5.) GEGA-003403 6.) GEGA-004154 <p>Pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 19826 these records should be posted on the Bureau's website but are not currently posted.</p>	Completed	Riann Kopchak	<p>The Gambling Control Act ("Act") provides that the Bureau "shall maintain a file of all applications for licenses" and that the file is "open to public inspection." (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (b).) The Act specifies that except as provided therein, the records of the Bureau are exempt from disclosure under the California Public Records Act. (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code, § 19821, subd. (c).) Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d), further specifies that it unlawful for an official or employee of the Department to knowingly disclose or furnish records or information to a person not authorized by law to receive them, except as necessary for the administration of the Gambling Control Act.</p> <p>In response to your request, please note that the rules of gaming activities approved by the Bureau are not within the class of records that are subject to disclosure under the Public Records Act, as identified in Business and Professions Code section 19821, subdivision (d). Accordingly, your request for the specified records under the Public Records Act is denied.</p> <p>The decisions described herein with respect to the requested records have been made by Associate Governmental Program Analyst Kaylee Forthman and Deputy Attorneys General Robert L. Mukai and Jennifer T. Henderson.</p>
PRA-2018-00567	Stickel	Dianne	3/14/2018	<p>Any criminal records, small claims judgement, tax liens/warrants or civil filings on Gregory Steven Fulks, age 50.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no disclosable records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p>

PRA-2018-00581	Rafner	Douglas	3/14/2018	<p>(1) Any and all documentation in the Department's possession and control pertaining to any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942.</p> <p>(2) Any report made by any person concerning any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942.</p> <p>(3) Any photographs, films or videotapes depicting any place, object or individual concerning any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942.</p> <p>(4) Any diagram, reproduction or model of any place or thing concerning any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942.</p> <p>(5) Any written or recorded statement from any individual concerning any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking small claims, you would need to direct your request to the court in which the cases were filed.</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)</p>
PRA-2018-00493	Deir	Unknown	3/15/2018	Driving records for a client	Completed	Erin Nickless	Responded via telephone. Gave info for record review unit for criminal history and referred to DMV for driving records.
PRA-2018-00495	Freedberg	Sydney	3/15/2018	Please provide copies of records, electronically, if possible, of documents and information turned over by Medtronic regarding sales, marketing, clinical, and other information relating to the INFUSE bone graft product.	Completed	Laurel Carnes	For the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request. The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal. 4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.) Therefore, we are unaware of any public records within our possession, custody, or

PRA-2018-00496	Land	Erin	3/15/2018	<p>I am writing to request a copy of any and all criminal history records connected to the following individual between the years 1970 to present:</p> <p>Amanda Roseetta VanMeter (Merritt)</p> <p>Date of Birth: [REDACTED]</p> <p>SSN: [REDACTED]</p> <p>This request includes but is not limited to police reports, supplements, detectives' and officers' notes, audio/video recordings, 911 calls, radio transmissions, photographs, and any other material not listed on this request but that are included in any investigation concerning this individual. This request includes recent and archived records from the years 1970 to present.</p> <p>Should it be the case that no records are located, please provide a letter to that effect. In the event records existed and were destroyed, please provide us with a copy of the agency's records retention and destruction policies or provide the policies and procedures on agency letterhead. If you deem any part of my request to be exempt from disclosure, please include the full text citation from the California Public Records Act to support your denial.</p>	Office of Federal Public Defender	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>control that satisfy your request. For this and the above reason, we must decline your request for records.</p> <p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request for the criminal history records of the named individual. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review. In regard to police reports, supplements, detectives' and officers' notes, audio/video recordings, 911 calls, and radio transmissions, the DOJ does not receive or maintain such things. You may want to check with the arresting agency.</p> <p>DOJ from any California state summary criminal history records that may be in the DOJ's possession, the records that you request are considered confidential law enforcement record data and are accessed exclusively via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System.</p> <p>Access to the telecommunications system utilized by law enforcement agencies, including the DOJ, is restricted by law. (Gov. Code § 15152). Confidentiality provisions like these are expressly incorporated into the PRA. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (f)). As such, your request for records is denied.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00499	Gallardo Luna	Yanely	3/15/2018	<p>aggressor name Pedro Mayo Poblete name of victim Yanely Gallardo Luna month of November and year of 2006. Domestic violence incident occurred at the city of Pasadena I don't remember the exact day and the case name or number.</p>		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. You may be able to request a police report from the local law enforcement agency in which the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-00529	Harte	Julia	3/15/2018	<p>I am a reporter for Reuters. Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am writing to request copies of all email messages between Attorney General Xavier Becerra (or any other officials in his office) and the following individuals:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dan Reid, National Rifle Association State Liaison • Ed Worley, National Rifle Association State Liaison • Pete Brownell, National Rifle Association President • Allan Cors, National Rifle Association President (Former) • Wayne LaPierre, National Rifle Association Executive Vice President • Chris Cox, National Rifle Association Institute for Legislative Action Executive Director • Josh Powell, National Rifle Association Chief of Staff • Dana Loesch, National Rifle Association, Office for Public Communication • Any individuals not named above whose email addresses end in "@nrhq.org". <p>I am only seeking emails that were sent or received between January 1, 2017, and the present. For the individuals whose names I have listed, please search your office's correspondence records using their names. For the last category ('Any individuals not named above' whose email addresses end in "@nrhq.org") please</p>		Completed	Emmanuel Soichet	<p>Apart from Attorney General Becerra, your request does not name any particular individual within the California Department of Justice and instead appears to request we search the emails of all Department employees for responsive records. As the Department employs approximately 5,000 people, the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a broad and unfocused search for responsive documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Nevertheless, in an effort to respond to your request, we have conducted a search of email records of employees within the Attorney General's executive unit, including Attorney General Becerra. We searched for communications to or from the individuals at the National Rifle Association identified in your request, along with emails to or from email addresses with the domain name "nrhq.org." In addition, we have searched the records of the executive staff with the Department's Bureau of Firearms. These searches produced four responsive records, which I have enclosed with this letter. In producing these records, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and phone numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.) This completes our response to your request.</p> <p>If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.</p> <p>An extension letter was sent on March 26, 2018.</p>

PRA-2018-00530	Cruz	Fred	3/15/2018	search your office's correspondence records using that email domain name.	Records detailing the funding authority and approval to pay outside counsel, Eric Holder, to assist the State of California in filing law suits against the current administration's DOJ.	Completed	Tamar Pachter	The Department of Justice has no records responsive to your request.
PRA-2018-00543	Blutstein	Allan	3/15/2018	Records reflecting the use of state-owned aircraft by Attorney General Becerra since January 2017, including records indicating the costs of those flights.	I am requesting a copy of every request for records to California's sex offender registrations database information from January 1, 2017 to the date this request is processed. I am not looking for the records that were produced in response to these requests; just the requests themselves.	Completed	Catherine Brown	Extension sent by Catherine Brown on March 26, 2018 On April 9, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: The Department has no records responsive to your request. Please note that Attorney General Becerra has not traveled by state-owned aircraft during the period specified.
PRA-2018-00546	Strugar	Matthew	3/15/2018	I am requesting a copy of every request for records to California's sex offender registrations database information from January 1, 2017 to the date this request is processed. I am not looking for the records that were produced in response to these requests; just the requests themselves.	I am requesting a copy of every request for records to California's sex offender registrations database information from January 1, 2017 to the date this request is processed. I am not looking for the records that were produced in response to these requests; just the requests themselves.	Completed	Michael Dolida	Enclosed herewith are copies of all responsive PRA requests that we received during the applicable time frame. However, we have not included copies of any requests made by registrants seeking either a review of their personal records or a change in their registration status, because such records are confidential and exempt from disclosure. (Pen. Code, § 290.021; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).)
PRA-2018-00582	Anderson	Kristopher	3/15/2018	Under the California Public Records Act, I am requesting to obtain all records available to the general public in the possession of the California Department of Justice pertaining to the following: Personnel files and records for Katherine M. Porter	Under the California Public Records Act, I am requesting to obtain all records available to the general public in the possession of the California Department of Justice pertaining to the following: Personnel files and records for Katherine M. Porter	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.
PRA-2018-00500	Turner (Governor aircraft use)	Daniel	3/16/2018	Please accept this communication as a written request under California Public Records Act (CPRA) to the California Department of Justice for all records and related cost of former Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger's (between November 17th, 2003 to January 3rd, 2011) and Governor Jerry Brown's (between January 3rd, 2011 to present) use of state-owned aircraft operated by the state of California's Department of Justice.	Please accept this communication as a written request under California Public Records Act (CPRA) to the California Department of Justice for all records and related cost of former Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger's (between November 17th, 2003 to January 3rd, 2011) and Governor Jerry Brown's (between January 3rd, 2011 to present) use of state-owned aircraft operated by the state of California's Department of Justice.	Completed	Catherine Brown	On March 26, 2018, Catherine Brown sent an extension letter. On April 6, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: To protect the aircraft used for law enforcement investigations and operations, and the personnel who use the aircraft, we will not produce information specific to the identity of the aircraft. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (f), 6255.) To protect the personal security of the Attorney General and his staff, we also will not disclose any information about the Attorney General's security detail and law enforcement personnel. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (f), 6255.) Such information has been redacted from the records we identified as responsive to your request. Copies of the redacted responsive records are transmitted to you with the email transmitting this letter. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00501	Nieblas	Michelle	3/16/2018	911 calls from 1984-1987 time frame [REDACTED] . Persons involved Kathleen Eirstest, Kenneth Oller, Michelle Gomez. Domestic Violence.	911 calls from 1984-1987 time frame [REDACTED] . Persons involved Kathleen Eirstest, Kenneth Oller, Michelle Gomez. Domestic Violence.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Specifically, you are seeking a recording of a 9-11 call. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.
PRA-2018-00502	Wilson	Fire	3/16/2018	Fred Carroll Wilson senior is or was my ex husband. i'm somewhat sure of his social security number. I am not sure but it may be [REDACTED]. His birthday I'm not sure about. I think it is in October around the [REDACTED]. Could be around [REDACTED] as a guess. He was born in K.C. Mo. As far as I know, we married [REDACTED] in Clark county Nevada. He had a class A (truck driver), license from CA. While we were together. I have not seen him since 1986. I tried to get a divorce back then but I don't know if it worked or not. I didn't have funds for an attorney and tried do it yourself in Los Angeles court where I filed, but I never received any mail from the court, etc. Then life went on and I never wanted to confirm the issue it was avoiding the feeling I don't want to have. I liked his friend ship and caring for me, but he was a bit undependable and impulsive which was fun until we had to deal with everyday life . I would like to know the marital status and is there a way to speak with him	Fred Carroll Wilson senior is or was my ex husband. i'm somewhat sure of his social security number. I am not sure but it may be [REDACTED]. His birthday I'm not sure about. I think it is in October around the [REDACTED]. Could be around [REDACTED] as a guess. He was born in K.C. Mo. As far as I know, we married [REDACTED] in Clark county Nevada. He had a class A (truck driver), license from CA. While we were together. I have not seen him since 1986. I tried to get a divorce back then but I don't know if it worked or not. I didn't have funds for an attorney and tried do it yourself in Los Angeles court where I filed, but I never received any mail from the court, etc. Then life went on and I never wanted to confirm the issue it was avoiding the feeling I don't want to have. I liked his friend ship and caring for me, but he was a bit undependable and impulsive which was fun until we had to deal with everyday life . I would like to know the marital status and is there a way to speak with him	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to

PRA-2018-00503	Davidovich	Katerina	3/16/2018	<p>without having to have a private investigator find him? We parted as friends and I know he is not going to contact me first, because I was the one who ended it. His first wife lived off crescent heights Ave. possibly (dockworker) her name Mary in Los Angeles. Second wife Beverly MC Iain Arizona, Born, maybe. Mother name Helen Lee</p> <p>I appreciate the help if you can. he is about 19 years older than me, before we met, he had been to prison for theft of interstate shipment. He wasn't a criminal any more when we met.</p>	Completed	Michael Dolida	<p>confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. The Department of Justice is not able to conduct private investigative work on the behalf of individual citizens.</p> <p>Unfortunately, we must deny your PRA request for the following reasons: (l) Public access to confidential sex offender registration information is controlled by Megan's Law which, for database integrity reasons, limits such access to real time internet searches on the Megan's Law web site; and (2) Given the constantly-changing nature of the Megan Law's database, the public's interest is best served by limiting public access to confidential sex offender registration information that is accurate and up-to-date.</p> <p>Megan's Law Provides for Internet Access Only</p> <p>Most of the information provided by registering sex offenders during the registration process is confidential (i.e., not open to public inspection). (Pen. Code, § 290.021.) The same is also true for "criminal offender record information" kept in the Department of Justice's California Sex and Arson Registry ("CSAR").</p> <p>However, despite the confidential nature of this information, California provides members of the public with limited access to some of the sex offender registration information via the Megan's Law internet web site. Specifically, Penal Code section 290.46 provides that "the Department of Justice shall make available information concerning persons who are required to register pursuant to Section 290 to the public via an Internet Web site as specified in this section." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, subd. (a)(l), italics added.) The statute further requires the department to "update the Internet Web site on an ongoing basis." (Ibid.) As mentioned in the notes to this code section, the Legislature created the Megan's Law web site with the intent "that the Department of Justice [would] continue to maximize all available resources to ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats. 2004, ch 745, §. 2.) Additionally, the Legislature enacted Megan's Law with the intent that "California's infrastructure for collecting, maintaining, and disseminating information about registered sex offenders [would] be retooled to ensure that law enforcement and the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders." (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch. 337, § 2, subd. (c), italics added.) Thus, in order to help ensure and maintain the accuracy of this information, the Legislature limited the public dissemination of sex offender registration information to the Megan's Law web site.</p> <p>Government Code section 6254 sets forth various exceptions to the disclosure requirements under the PRA. Significantly for this matter, section 6254, subdivision (k), exempts from disclosure "Records, the disclosure of which is exempted or prohibited pursuant to federal or state law, including, but not limited to, provisions of the Evidence Code relating to privilege." (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k), italics added.) Thus, the PRA cannot extend the public's right of access to sex offender registration information beyond the limitations and restrictions set forth in Megan's Law.</p> <p>Consequently, the public's right of access to this information is limited to accessing the Megan's Law database.</p> <p>The Public Interest is Best Served by Limiting Access to Current and Accurate Information</p> <p>In your request, you state you are looking for offenders who are registered for a conviction pursuant to Penal Code section 286 (sodomy). You further request the Department to provide-at a minimum- the name, known aliases, date of birth, sex, last known address, offenses, and date of conviction (for each offense) for each registrant that are accurate at the time the search is conducted. Under Government Code section 6255, a government agency may withhold disclosure of a public record "by demonstrating ... that on the facts of the particular case the public interest served by not disclosing the record clearly outweighs the public interest served by disclosure of</p>
----------------	------------	----------	-----------	---	-----------	----------------	---

the record." (Gov. Code, §6255, subd. (a)). As a separate legal basis, we believe the circumstances in this case necessitate nondisclosure of the records that you seek from us.

The information that appears on the Megan's Law web site is processed through a thirdparty vendor. The purpose of the web site is to provide members of the public access to the most current sex offender registrant data. Thus, the site, which is updated daily to reflect any new additions, deletions, and modifications to the registrant database, stores and displays only the current day's data.

The Offender Registration Application Support (ORAS) Team is the internal unit within the Department of Justice that provides technology support and maintenance for CSAR, the State's repository for sex and arson registration information. Every day, ORAS creates a FTP file which contain a snapshot of the registration data for that day. Our vendor then downloads that file from our secure FTP site and stores the file on the hard drive of the server that creates the files used for the web site. Specifically, our vendor runs a program that takes that day's data and completely recreates the data files that are used by the web servers to provide the data to the public. Our vendor does not employ any SQL database or similar database for this process, only temporary ISAM files. No transaction or other record keeping measures are utilized. The previous day's data on the web servers is overwritten with the new data. The data is also overwritten on the computer where these daily data files are created.

On our end, ORAS keeps a backup for seven days of the extract file that is sent to our vendor. However, the information on the backup files is not a mirror image of what appears on the public web site because it contains information that is excluded from public view during our vendor's processing of the information. Additionally, even if our vendor reprocessed the information from one of the backup files, we could not ensure the accuracy of all the information because of the ever-changing nature of the registration database. In other words, because the registration database changes on a daily basis (i.e., newly added registrants, newly removed registrants, and changes to registrant's data) even a delay of one day would result in a report that contained inaccurate data. Such results do not comply with the Legislature's stated intent "that the Department of Justice ... ensure the highest degree of accuracy in the sex registration database" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2004 ch 745, §. 2) and "ensure that ... the public have access to accurate, up-to-date, and relevant information about registered sex offenders" (Pen. Code, § 290.46, Stats 2006 ch 337, §. 2, subd. (c)). While we understand and appreciate that you are not concerned with the ongoing accuracy of the information provided, the law requires us as discussed ante to provide the public updated and accurate information on an ongoing basis. Due to the constantly changing nature of the information in the registry, a "snapshot" view of the information for any given day of the Megan's Law web site would almost certainly be obsolete the following day. In other words, the day-old "snapshot" would contain some information that should have been removed, and it would be missing other information that should have been added. Given the sensitive nature of the information at issue, we cannot allow such inaccurate records to exist. The problem is further compounded by the fact that if we provide a "snapshot" for one member of the public we have to provide a "snapshot" to every member of the public that requests it. In doing so, we would be creating a situation wherein an untold number inaccurate "snapshots" of the database would exist.

Furthermore, given the highly sensitive nature of sex offender registration information, providing the public with inaccurate information could cause real public safety concerns. For instance, if registration information is disseminated about a person who is no longer required to register or be posted on the web site, that person may be subjected to undue harassment or even danger. Additionally, if a registrant moves, the person who occupies the registrant's old address may be mistakenly harassed or injured. And, as every day goes by, the obsolescence of the report and the inaccuracies contained within it continue to grow.

Finally, we receive a multitude of similar requests from members of the public, especially broadcast and print media outlets. Depending on the volume and requested frequency (i.e., daily, weekly, monthly) of such PRA requests if we were required to produce sex offender registration database information, the additional time and work required for responding to the requests could have a dramatic impact on our ability to successfully perform the core functions of CSAR, which in turn could affect public safety.

PRA-2018-00504)	Turner (NextGen)	Daniel	3/16/2018	<p>(1) All communications sent and received by Attorney General Xavier Becerra since January 24, 2017 that mention or refer to Tom Steyer or NextGen America, excluding news articles or news clippings;</p> <p>(2) Records of any meetings or events involving Attorney General Xavier Becerra and Tom Steyer or NextGen America since January 24, 2017;</p> <p>(3) All communications sent and received by David Zonana, Special Assistant Attorney General since May 5, 2017 that mention or refer to Tom Steyer or NextGen America, excluding news articles or news clippings;</p> <p>(4) All communications sent and received by Sean McCluskie, Chief Deputy Attorney General since May 5, 2017 that mention or refer to Tom Steyer or NextGen America, excluding news articles or news clippings; and</p> <p>(5) All communications sent and received by Bethany Lesser, Communications Director since May 5, 2017 that mention or refer to Tom Steyer or NextGen America, excluding news articles or news clippings.</p>	Completed	Catherine Brown	<p>On March 26, 2018, Catherine Brown sent an extension letter.</p> <p>On April 9, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: We will not disclose any responsive records that are exempt under the following exemptions and will redact any information that is exempt under these exemptions.</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analysis concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys included within the responsive records are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is also incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>In addition, in order to protect the personal security of the Attorney General and his staff, we will not produce documents or information about the Attorney General's security detail or other Department of Justice security-related information. (Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subd. (f); 6255.) Information to protect the security of the Attorney General will be redacted from documents that contain other non-exempt information. And in recognition of privacy concerns, we will not disclose information that is personal because that information is also exempt from disclosure under the Act. For example, we will redact information regarding personal phone numbers and contact information for the Attorney General and his staff, among other personal information. (Cal. Const., art. I, § 1; Gov. Code, §§ 6254, subds. (c), (k), 6254.3, 6255.)</p> <p>We are completing our review of the nonexempt responsive records and expect to make copies of the records available to you on or about April 16, 2018. It is possible that additional exemptions will be identified during the review and compilation of these records. If so, we will identify any additional exemptions when we send the records to you.</p> <p>On April 13, 2018, Catherine Brown responded: The Department extended the date for responding to your request to April 9, 2018. On April 9, 2018, we informed you that some of the records you requested were exempt from disclosure under the Act, and that certain information contained in some of the responsive records was also exempt and would be redacted. We also informed you that we would make copies of the nonexempt responsive documents available to you on or about April 16, 2018. Copies of the nonexempt responsive records are attached to the email transmitting this letter to you. This completes our response to your request.</p>
------------------	-------------------	--------	-----------	--	-----------	-----------------	--

PRA-2018-00507	Morvan	Sandra	3/16/2018	humboldt county ca			Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p>
PRA-2018-00515	Davis	Micaela	3/16/2018	<p>I am an attorney at the ACLU Foundation of Northern California. I write on behalf of the ACLU of Northern California ("ACLU NC") to confirm your reasons for withholding documents related to the Offender-Based Transaction Statistics ("OBTS") dataset, which the ACLU NC requested through a Public Records Act, Gov. Code § 6254 et. seq., ("PRA") request last November. You stated that you would not be providing the requested documents, because, you contended: OBTS dataset is derived from the Department's (DOJ) Automated Criminal History System and therefore considered Criminal Offender Record Information data; the California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records; and pursuant to Penal Code 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. See Letter from J. Tackett to A. Zamora, dated Jan. 8, 2018.</p> <p>This position is not supported by the law. The ACLU NC's PRA request did not request any identifying information in the OBTS data set, see PRA Request, dated Nov. 28, 2017, and thus the records are not subject to withholding under the criminal offender record information or state criminal history information statutes. See Pen. Code § 13202 (defining CORI; specifying that "[I]t shall not include intelligence, analytical, and investigative reports and files, nor statistical records and reports in which individuals are not identified and from which their identities are not ascertainable."); Pen. Code § 11105 (describing the DOJ's duties in collecting and maintaining state criminal history information; specifying under 11105(g) that "[i]t is not a violation of this section to disseminate statistical or research information obtained from a record, provided that the identity of the subject of the record is not disclosed."); Pen. Code § 11144(a) (same). Because there is no law prohibiting disclosure of the nonpersonally identifiable records, the records are not exempt from disclosure under Government Code § 6254(k). It is also our understanding that California's OBTS data from a number of years is already available to the public through the Inter-University Consortium for Political and Social Research ("ICPSR"); this further erodes any possible basis for withholding under the PRA. See Gov. Code § 6254.5 (disclosure to one party waives</p>	ACLU	Assigned			

PRA-2018-00519	Neal	Julius	3/16/2018	<p>exemptions). Because, there is no applicable exemption under the PRA, the agency is required to release the records. Gov. Code § 6253(b); see also City of Los Angeles v. Superior Court, 211 Cal. App. 4th 57, 63 (2012) (exemptions to the PRA "are to be narrowly construed, and the government agency opposing disclosure bears the burden of proving that one or more apply in a particular case"). Moreover, the agency must release the records without further requirements; the PRA does not allow agencies to require a requester to comply with extra-statutory conditions in order to obtain otherwise public records. City of Santa Clara v. Superior Court, 170 Cal. App. 4th 1301, 1335-36 (2009). Thus, there is no basis under the law for the agency to require ACLU-NC to submit a Research and Data Request and go through an approval process, as you suggest in your January 8 letter. See Gov. Code § 6257.5 (PRA "does not allow limitations on access to a public record based upon the purpose for which the record is being requested, if the record is otherwise subject to disclosure").</p> <p>The purpose of this letter is to request information pertaining to a laboratory test that was requested by California State Prison Calipatria where I Julius Neal #G19807 was the subject, the data of the alleged offense was 6/12/14, however CSP-Calipatria claims to have received the BFS report back on 8/2/17, well over 3 years after it was submitted therefore pursuant to the California Public Records Act and Government Code section 6250 et seq. (CBS v. Block (1986) 42 Cal 3d 646, 651.) I am requesting an acknowledgement or denial on the lengthy time that Calipatria alleges that you (BFS) took to return the controlled substance report.</p>	Completed	Shannon Patterson	<p>We must decline your request for the reasons set forth below. You have requested "Laboratory test" from this office. To the extent that these records exist, they are investigative files of the Attorney General and the DOJ that are expressly exempt from disclosure pursuant to Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f).</p> <p>In the case of Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354 the California Supreme Court held that investigative records in the possession of law enforcement agencies are exempt from disclosure. The Court also ruled that investigation documents did not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355.)</p>
PRA-2018-00545	Cook	Thomas	3/16/2018	<p>"Any legislation or initiatives, amendment, penal code changes concerning The Calif "Three Strikes Law (3XXX)" for the 2018 ballot.</p>	Completed	Emily Gargiulo	<p>With respect to your first request for "any legislation" regarding an "amendment [to the] penal code" or "changes concerning the California Three Strikes Law," we note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice but seeks records from other departments. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>With respect to your second request for any initiatives regarding "amendment [to the] penal code" or "changes concerning the California Three Strikes Law," our records show we produced these records on December 29, 2017. We are enclosing them again as a courtesy.</p> <p>Enclosed are copies of the following active initiatives related to your request:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17-0044, Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018" • 17-0046, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018" <p>This completes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00547	Horner (Eleanor Blume)	Christopher	3/16/2018	<p>I. Copies of all correspondence dated from August 1, 2017, through the date you process this request, inclusive, and its accompanying information 1, including also any attachments, which was sent to or from or copying (whether as cc: or bcc:) Eleanor Blume which are also sent to or from or copy (again whether as cc: or bcc:):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) elizabeth.klein@nyu.edu 2) ek3041@nyu.edu 3) david.hayes@nyu.edu 4) davidjhayes01@gmail.com 5) djh466@nyu.edu and/or 	Competitive Enterprise Institute	Anna Ferrari	<p>On March 26, 2018, Anna Ferrari sent an extension letter. April 9, 2018 response: After conducting a reasonable search, we have found no records responsive to your request.</p>

PRA-2018-00548	Horner (Harvard)	Christopher	3/16/2018	<p>6) Daniel Firger (note that Firger addresses include both those identifying him, e.g., daniel.firger@bloomberg.org, and not, e.g., daniel@bloomberg.org) Please consider as responsive entire email "threads" containing any information responsive to this request, regardless whether any part of that thread falls outside the cited search parameters.</p> <p>II. Also, please provide us copies of any agreement that includes both your Office and New York University, New York University's School of Law, and/or the (NYU) State Energy & Environmental Impact Center, that was in effect at any time during 2017 or during 2018. This includes but is not limited to, e.g., 1) any agreement to hire, house, second or otherwise place any attorney or attorneys or staff in your Office; 2) any purported common interest agreement with any of those entities; and/or 3) any confidentiality agreement with any of those entities.</p> <p>III. Also, please provide us copies of any agreement of any sort with any individual staff or attorney(s) who to your Office's knowledge came to your employ or secondment through any of the groups named in II, or who is otherwise placed in and/or works for or in your Office through any of those groups, which agreement(s) was in effect at any time during 2017 or during 2018.</p>	Competitive Enterprise Institute	Assigned	Anna Ferrari	<p>On March 26, 2018, Anna Ferrari sent an extension letter. On April 9, 2019, Anna Ferrari responded: Clarification of Scope of Request We have identified records that are associated with the term Harvard but do not otherwise relate to the subject matter of your request. Records that are representative of this category include, for example, resumes of Department attorneys who obtained undergraduate degrees from Harvard College, email communications from the Harvard Alumni Association to its entire membership about association events, and communications to individuals with "post.harvard.edu" email addresses that are purely personal in nature. We have interpreted your request not to include these records. Please let us know if you have any questions about this determination.</p> <p>Records to be Produced</p> <p>We have identified records that are responsive to your request and not otherwise exempt from production. We expect to make copies of such records available to you on or before April 23, 2018.</p> <p>Exempt Records</p> <p>We have determined that certain responsive records are exempt from production for the following reasons:</p> <p>Attorney-Client Privilege (§ 6254, subd. (k)/Evid. Code § 952)</p> <p>Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The attorney-client privilege is contained in Evidence Code section 952 and protects confidential communications between an attorney and his or her client. Section 6254, subdivision (k), expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney-client privilege. (Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370.) Public entities may assert the attorney-client privilege. (Ibid.) The privilege "applies to communications in the course of professional employment that are intended to be confidential."</p> <p>(Id. at p. 371.)</p> <p>Attorney Work Product Privilege (§ 6254, subd. (k); see also Code Civ. Proc. § 2018.030)</p> <p>Code of Civil Procedure section 2018.030 exempts from disclosure the work product of an attorney. The attorney work product privilege applies to any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that are maintained as confidential. It is incorporated into the PRA by section 6254, subdivision (k). (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Under the attorney work-product exception, records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed in the Attorney General's Office constitute attorney work product that is exempt from public</p>
----------------	------------------	-------------	-----------	---	----------------------------------	----------	--------------	---

							disclosure under the PRA. Investigative Records (§ 6254, subd. (f)) Some of the records responsive to your request are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].) Deliberative Process Privilege (§ 6255) The deliberative process privilege is applied to the PRA through section 6255. It exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency’s decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency’s ability to perform its functions, such that the public interest in maintaining this privilege outweighs any public interest in disclosing the information in question. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325, 1342.) On April 23, 2018, Anna Ferrari sent a status update: In a letter to you dated April 9, 2018, we indicated that we have identified records that are responsive to your request and not otherwise exempt from production that we expect to make available to you on or before April 23, 2018. As an update, we now expect to make responsive records available to you on or before May 7, 2018. May 7, 2018 response: In a letter to you dated April 23, 2018, we indicated that we have identified records that are responsive to your request and not otherwise exempt from production that we expect to make available to you on or before May 7, 2018. As an update, we now expect to make responsive records available to you on or before May 21, 2018.
PRA-2018-00583	Pickett	Urian	3/16/2018	I would like to check my record regarding any negative actions held against me.	Completed	Erin Nickless	We were unable to locate any records based on the information provided. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General’s website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-00508	Shuck	Robert	3/19/2018	I am looking for a previous address in Redondo Beach, CA for one Vincent Neil Wharton, who was arrested for drunk driving and vehicular manslaughter in the area of Sapphire Street and the Esplanade of the same city circa December of 1984 (’85?).	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department’s purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to

PRA-2018-00509	Williams	Mk	3/19/2018	Military records for my deceased father- Wm G Yoder ADDRESS [REDACTED] Thank you	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p> <p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the branch of the military in which your father served.</p>
PRA-2018-00510	Probasco	Lori	3/19/2018	Under the California Public Records Act § 6250 et seq., I am requesting an opportunity to inspect or obtain copies of all public records pertaining to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Any registration of firearms by Katherine Lauren Hill currently residing at the following address: [REDACTED] Any registration of firearms by Kenneth Heslop currently residing at the following address: [REDACTED] 	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd. (b).) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application ... to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 ... that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void." (Ibid.)</p> <p>State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ's retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction "expressio uni us est exclusio alterius" applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105.</p> <p>In addition, the Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) In pertinent part, Civil Code section 1798.24 prohibits the Department from disclosing any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed to the individual to whom the information pertains, or with his or her prior written voluntary consent - but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent.</p> <p>Inasmuch as you are not the individual to whom the information pertains, the</p>

PRA-2018-00511	Blake	Robert	3/19/2018	<p>Entitled: Deed of Conveyance and Assignment and Bill of Sale Dated: December 31, 2012</p> <p>Executed by: Venoco, Inc., a Delaware Corporation and Vintage Production California LLC, a Delaware LLC</p> <p>Recording Date: July 10, 2013</p> <p>Recording No.: 2013-0172770-00, of Official Records</p> <p>Purchasing a property and saw this restriction on my title. I would like to know what it entails.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Department cannot release it to you absent the statutorily required consent. As such, the Department cannot provide the information you requested.</p> <p>You appear to be seeking records or forms that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.</p>
PRA-2018-00512	Grayer	Annie	3/19/2018	<p>I am a reporter for CNN. I am reaching out to see if you have any public police reports that Stephanie Clifford has filed as a result of threats and attacks being made against her recently.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-00513	Walker	Steven	3/19/2018	<p>I am currently investigating an open CPS investigation in Washington State on parent Kashana McKinney AKA Kashana Lee Cox (DOB [REDACTED]) and would like to see her involvement with LE in CA. Kashana also resides with a Avram, Tanners (DOB [REDACTED]) and I would like to see his involvement with LE in CA as well.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have searched our legal indices and were unable to locate any disclosable records based on the information provided. To the extent you are seeking criminal history records, we are unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as a Public Records Act Request, as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. California law authorizes certain governmental and private organizations to conduct criminal offender record information background checks to help determine the suitability of a person applying for a license, employment, or a volunteer position working with children, the elderly, or the disabled. Law enforcement agencies, public and private schools, non-profit organizations, and in-home supportive care agencies are some of the organizations authorized to conduct these fingerprint-based background checks. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-00514	Lusi	Masika	3/19/2018	<p>I would like to obtain a copy, possibly via email, of all the information you have about me. Thank you.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure</p>

PRA-2018-00517	McRae	Courtney	3/19/2018	<p>1) Reports, studies, white papers or presentations created by your office relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>2) Reports, studies, white papers or presentations created on your behalf relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>3) Reports, studies or presentations in your possession created by third parties relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>4) Any agreements, including but not limited to retainer agreements, common interest agreements or consulting agreements, with third parties, including but not limited to experts or consultants, relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>5) Requests for proposal issued by your office relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>6) All communications relating to requests for proposal issued by your office relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control, including but not limited to all responses to the any request for proposal.</p> <p>7) Any communications with third parties relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>8) Notes of meetings with third parties relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control.</p> <p>9) Documents relating to meetings held with third parties relating to firearm safety, firearm violence, firearm violence prevention, gun reform, or gun control, including but not limited to notes, agendas, and attendance rosters.</p>	Assigned	<p>pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>May 1, 2018. Apart from the expert contracts, we have produced other non-exempt records responsive to this request on a CD enclosed with this letter.</p> <p>Request No. 5: We have not located any records responsive to this request.</p> <p>Request No. 6: We have not located any records responsive to this request.</p> <p>Requests Nos. 7, 8, and 9: You have requested all Department communications with any third party relating to five broad firearms-related topics, as well as all "notes of" and "documents relating to meetings with third parties" on these five broad topics. As with your first three requests, these are insufficiently focused and specific. Rogers, supra, 19 Cal.App.4th at p. 481, and the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a broad and unfocused search for responsive documents over a three-year period would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation, supra, 32 Cal.3d at pp. 452-453.)</p> <p>For these reasons, we must decline these three requests for records as they are currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, § 6253.1.)</p> <p>On April 12, 2018, our office responded: Request Nos. 1, 2, and 3: These requests are general and unfocused, and thus do not meet the California Public Records Act requirement that requests to an agency be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) For instance, among other records, your requests seek all "reports" in the Department's possession relating to five broad firearms-related topics, without specifying or limiting the types of "reports" this would include. Read broadly, this could include any type of newspaper, newsletter, magazine, or other publication in the Department's possession that contains a report discussing firearm safety, firearm violence, "gun reform," or gun control. In addition, the requests, as written, appear to request a search of all Department employees for responsive records. As the Department employs over 4,500 people, the expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a broad and unfocused search for responsive documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>Nonetheless, in an attempt to provide responsive records, we have searched the records of employees likely to have responsive records and have located a number of analytical studies and reports potentially responsive to these requests. Several studies and reports were collected by the Department's attorneys for purposes of litigation and are privileged and exempt from disclosure on the basis of attorney work product. (See Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k).) Other responsive studies and reports, while coming in the context of litigation, are matters of public record or were not privileged. As you requested, we have produced these records on a CD enclosed with this letter. In addition, the Department maintains a public list of firearms-related publications on its Web site, available here: https://oag.ca.gov/publications#firearms and https://oag.ca.gov/firearms/forms.</p> <p>Request No. 4: We have located a number of records responsive to this request. Some of these records are expert contracts relating to pending litigation that may be exempt from disclosure under the Act. (Gov. Code, § 6255; see also Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (b).) We are reviewing these contracts and anticipate producing non-exempt records by</p> <p>On 3/29/18 Rob Wilson sent an EOT letter.</p>
----------------	-------	----------	-----------	--	----------	---

PRA-2018-00520	Hamblen	Carol	3/19/2018	My son Scot Pinkerton is in desperate need of two documents from the January 1985 Sentencing Hearing in the above-entitled matter and requests your assistance in obtaining these documents. They are the Sentencing Transcript and, most importantly, the Sentencing Minutes. Scot is filing some papers with the court by the end of March and must attach at least the Sentencing Minutes to the documents he files. I will be happy to pay for the copies, any charges incurred in this matter. Please contact me by telephone at [REDACTED] to obtain credit card information to that end and to let me know that you are working on this matter.	Completed	Michael Dolida	All of our case file records are organized and maintained electronically in a case management software application called Prolaw. After an exhaustive search of Pro law, we were unable to locate a file pertaining to case number A533550. As a result, we do not have any records that are responsive to the request submitted by your mother on your behalf. If you have not done so already, you may try contacting the District Attorney's Office and/or state courthouse where the offense(s) were committed. These public agencies may have additional information in case files or court files that can be disseminated to members of the public.
PRA-2018-00521	Herman	Elaine	3/19/2018	I want to get a complete court electronic record of the murder trial of Kirkland O'Hara. July 8, 1995-1997. I have a hard copy, but need to know if it has been transferred to digital and can be purchased. I am assisting Mr. O'Hara in parole issues and hope you have available a digital copy. Thank you	Completed	Cynthia S. Lumley	Our records pertaining to Kirkland O'Hara are not stored electronically.
PRA-2018-00524	Love	Jordan	3/19/2018	Annulment of marriage to Amber Perkinson. Marriage and annulment were same year 6 months apart around yrs 2004 2005.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA-2018-00534	Glichriest	Judith	3/19/2018	My brother who has mental issues has been arrested and send to a mental hospital. The mental hospital was shut down with no rewarding number. He was ordered two year stay by judge I was evicted from my house of 22 years I don't know where they sent the patients from this hospital now do I find out	Completed	Erin Nickless	Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation and/or the Department of State Hospitals. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html and at http://www.dsh.ca.gov/About_Us/Contact_Us.aspx .
PRA-2018-00538	Ianford	Kathy	3/19/2018	Divorce record or papers Ruben anthony Ianford Vs Kathy Ianford Married in sonoma county in 2000. Im trying to find out if papers were ever filed for divorce. I was told he filed for divorce in sonoma county but i never was served any papers to sign. I am in the process of being remarried but need to make sure im divorced first.	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA-2018-00694	Cunningham	Lorenzo (Askia S.)	3/19/2018	1. All Arrest/Conviction Records of (Brooks/Franklin) 2. All Federal/State Probation Records (Brooks/Franklin) 3. All Federal/State Prison Records(Brooks/Franklin) 4. Attorney/Client Files (Keith Bowman/Askia Ashanti 1995-1996) 5. All State Bar Complaints/Disposition (Keith Bowman) 6. All Alias Names/Birthdates (Roshanna Franklin) includes Arrest/Convictions under all alias names	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent you are seeking arrest and conviction records, they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed by contacting the Record Review Unit at:

			(Perjury/Fraud) Investigation via alias names by Fed/St. Gov't.				<p>California Department of Justice Record Review Unit P.O. Box 903417 Sacramento, CA 94203-4170</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking prison or probation records, your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. In the instance of seeking records in the possession of the California State Bar, you would need to contact the California State Bar.</p> <p>It is unclear from your request, but it appears that you are indicating that Keith Bowman was your attorney. As such, we must decline your request. You would need to contact Mr. Bowman directly as those files would not be in our custody or control. If you are seeking records between our office and our client, the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law. The attorney-client privilege is contained in Evidence Code section 954 and protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client. In the case of <i>Roberts v. City of Palm Dale</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, the Supreme Court held that Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k) expressly exempts from disclosure matters privileged under the Evidence Code, which includes the attorney-client privilege.</p> <p>We hope the information we have provided is of assistance.</p>
PRA-2018-00525	Schroeder	Kirby	3/20/2018	I am seeking any records available on a person named Ryan Francis McCloskey, date of birth [REDACTED]. I have SSN as well if that would be helpful.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-00526	Lundquist	Aleigh	3/20/2018	I would like to see copies of emails between Jane Rozanski and Ralph Ferguson. They are in a lawsuit against Camarillo Health District for embezzling over 400k from tax dollars. She is my aunt and she also	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to locate records based on the information provided. If this is a case between two private parties, our office may not have been involved and would therefore not have records. If you have additional information on the documents you</p>

PRA-2018-00532	Bouma	Christine	3/20/2018	<p>embezzled thousands from my mother and I could use the information as proof.</p> <p>I am looking for court records for allegations Shannon E Bouma has made against her husband Joshua Wayne Bouma. I do not have the case number or name, but was contacted recently by a substance abuse counselor for the court asking me questions about our marriage. Josh and I share custody of our daughter who is almost 12 now and I am concerned about what allegations Shannon is filing against him.</p> <p>Can someone please send me a report with the details?</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>are seeking, such as the case name, number, or approximate dates, we can conduct a more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>Referred to local court via telephone.</p>
PRA-2018-00535	Risley	Dawnmarie	3/20/2018	<p>I would like to have the "DNR" (Do Not Return) list for California Department of Corrections listing my name: Dawn Marie Risley, D.O. I would also like any personnel records from CDCR. I have worked on and off as a contractor beginning 2003 until 2012. I would like any memos or correspondence regarding me sent from Corcoran State Prison to Headquarters. I would like any memos or correspondence from Karen Higgins, M.D. which places me on this "DNR" list.</p> <p>CDCR has repeatedly told me that they have no "records" of me and send me on a goose chase from one office to another. I have had to make over 20 telephone calls getting transferred to each dead end in an attempt to gain these records. They also deny that such a "DNR" list exists. However, I have evidence from MHM that I am on such a list and have never had due process regarding this procedure. They also deny that they have any file on me. Please provide to me any and all records pertaining to me.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html.</p>
PRA-2018-00536	Powell	Melody	3/20/2018	<p>Prison record please. Is he still living?</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Your request does not identify the subject of the records that you are seeking. Additionally, we note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html.</p>
PRA-2018-00539	Henrie	Shane	3/20/2018	<p>Pursuant to the state's California Public Records Act, I request access to and copies of any Software Agreements for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dynatrace software products • New Relic software products • Computer Associates "Introscope" (Formerly "Wiley Introscope") software products 	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00540	Belme	Kyrie	3/20/2018	<p>I would like to request a status report for Visiting Care & Companions, Inc. Entity Number C2512521. This has been requested of me by Area Agency on Aging for a Grant Renewal.</p>	Completed	Sandra Barrientos	<p>Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>Your request for a "status report" is vague and unintelligible in the context of a public records request. For this reason, we must decline your request for records as it is currently cast. However, we are willing to consult with you to determine if a more specific request would be likely to generate additional records. (Gov. Code, section 6253, 1.) In addition, to the extent that you are seeking the status of a non-profit entity, information regarding non-profit organizations registered in the State of California is</p>

PRA-2018-00599	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/20/2018	<p>1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2018 that constitute mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, unauthorized entry to any automobile, house, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any placement of any nerve agent, substance, toxic, poison, or any substance/toxic/poison that works as or the effect of a nerve agent, in any automobile, house, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any Honda or Ford automobile parked in the curb or driveway at [REDACTED] and/or any residence believed to be used at any time of the day or night by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>2. Any and all communications, payments, and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any placing or hiding of any heavy meals, nerve agent, poison, substance, or toxic, and/or any substance/toxic/poison/heavy metals that works as or has the effect of a nerve agent, in any automobile, house, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any Honda or Ford automobile parked in the driveway of or at the curb of [REDACTED] and/or any residence believed to be used at any time of the day or night by Parvin Olfati.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>available at oag.ca.gov/charities. If you wish to discuss this matter further, please contact me at (213) 269-6551.</p> <p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>
PRA-2018-00603	Spearing-Bowen	Jasmine	3/20/2018	<p>I am seeking like data in electronic .excel form on complaints against businesses of violations of the single-use carryout bag ban since the law was implemented. Ideally, I would like the date, business, location, and nature of complaint.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)</p>
PRA-2018-00613	James	Jesse	3/20/2018	A copy of Initiative 17-0046 Amdt #1.	Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0046A1, "The People's Fair Sentencing and Public Safety Act of 2018." This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00614	Thomson	Kenneth	3/20/2018	"I would like to request a initiative filed with your office. Prop. 57 also known as the public safety and rehabilitation Act of 2016."	Completed	Emily Gargiulo	Enclosed is a copy of the text of Initiative 15-0121/Proposition 57 as it was submitted to our office. This completes our response to your request.
PRA-2018-00544	Seidman	Jesse	3/21/2018	Can we please obtain the letter sent out to all state Attorney General's this week from Facebook?	Vice News Tonight	Erin Nickless	Our office has been unable to locate any formal letters from Facebook. We did receive two e-mails from Will Castleberry, which we have attached in the event that this is the correspondence that you are looking for. This completes our response to your request for records.
PRA-2018-00552	South	Randal	3/21/2018	I request a certification that your office sent no less than 4 notices requesting registration for Del Norte General Relief in 2016, and also confirm who the acting board members were that didn't register.	Completed	Elizabeth S. Kim	<p>All documents that are matters of public record regarding Del Norte General Relief are available for viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website: http://rctt.doj.ca.gov/MyLicenseVerification/Search.aspx?facility=Y. You could search by using the "Organization Name" (Del Norte General Relief) or by using "State Charity Registration Number" (CT0245157).</p> <p>In addition, in response to your request, we enclose: Certification by the Registrar of</p>

PRA-2018-00553	Browne	Anne	3/21/2018	I hope you are doing well. I am doing research regarding obtaining the number of new active charities in each state according to year (2017, 2016, and 2015). I tried to do a search on your website but unfortunately, it does not sort by year and I was wondering if you can help me. March 22, 2018 clarification: Yes, I am looking for a list of newly incorporated charities in 2015, 2016, and 2017.	Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	<p>the Registry of Charitable Trusts; Notice to Register dated March 24, 2016; Notice to Register dated May 17, 2016; Notice to Register dated June 30, 2016; Notice to Register dated August 5, 2016; and Confirmation of Registration dated December 8, 2016.</p> <p>As to your second request regarding acting board members, we must deny this request as we do not have documents responsive to this request.</p> <p>March 21, 2018 response: This office received an email from you requesting information on the new active charities in each state for years 2015-2017. We would like clarification. Are you only looking for newly incorporated charities for each year? Or do you want the total number of charities that are listed as active with the Registry of Charitable Trusts for years 2015, 2016 and 2017.</p> <p>March 27, 2018 response: I checked with Registry staff and we do not keep track of dates of incorporation when processing the registrations and as such we cannot give you a list of newly incorporated charities for 2015-2017. You may want to check with the Secretary of State that actually processes the articles of incorporation to see if they can give you a list.</p>
PRA-2018-00554	Marker	Tania	3/21/2018	<p>Officer Malcolm Miller of the Oakland Police Department basically wrote in his report that he refused to uphold my complaint against neighbor Joan Danenberg when residing at [REDACTED]. The report was read to a judge when pro bono attorney Jacob Sider represented me in a case I had a right to an extraordinary writ the county denied me. OPD has refused to include the report in my request for all calls to an address and did not provide a report evidencing knowing cocaine present by a felon breaking parole it then did not protect me from, stating a need for a pouch of cocaine or that all I had was noise, pot wasn't something that was regarded as a crime (even while it can be laced w/dangerous chemicals) proceeding to collaborate with a business owner successfully intent on a constructive eviction the rent board did not protect me from.</p> <p>When speaking with a representative, I was informed the matter could be put before your office once I've evidenced having presented to the DA. Michael O'Conner stated a civil matter does not involve the police though drug dealing is a criminal matter. Neighbors in fear or favor of the dealing successfully removed "the snitch", police found it easier to criminalize rather than protect me, also I understand my complaint to have been received by the grand jury - all of this I am able to evidence in written form. I understand and am reviewing my option to request judgement from more than one bench be investigated by the commission on judicial performance. Please guide in terms of a timeline or manner in which I might obtain assistance in receiving the report in request for justice through your office.</p> <p>Additional information provided by requester: Public Records Request #11468 Oakland, CA I am also able to evidence having presented to internal affairs, who deemed the officer's conduct, supporting a neighbor dispute and encouraging aggressive action toward me by Joan Danenberg i.e. telling her to swear at me - as conduct that did not constitute any rules violation.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are requesting police reports from the Oakland Police Department, we must decline your request. Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>You appear to be attempting to report information related to what you feel constitutes officer misconduct. To report misconduct, you would need to contact our Public Inquiry Unit. More information about reporting misconduct can be found at https://oag.ca.gov/sites/all/files/agweb/pdfs/civilrights/citizencomplaintpolicy.pdf</p>
PRA-2018-00555	Craggett	Kylee	3/21/2018	I, Kylee Craggett, Buckner Foster Care Kinship Specialist, would like to request the records of all service calls made from the home of Michael and Anita Blackmon since January of 2016. As a child placing agency, our contract	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody.</p>

				requires us to request records of all service calls made from the home of all our foster parent applicants for the previous two years. Below is the address where the Blackmon family resided from February 2010-March 2017.				(Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking phone records, you may wish to direct your request to a phone company, or contact the individuals who would have made the service calls referenced in your request.
PRA-2018-00556	Fiskin	Sarah	3/21/2018	I am interested in the data that you have collected in the Juvenile Court and Probation Statistical System. I was able to download the excel containing the raw data used in the published studies, but am unable to sort it by County. I am interested in the JCPSS data for a single county, Los Angeles.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the 2017 data will not be available until July of this year when the California Attorney General releases the annual California Justice Statistics Center Publications.	
PRA-2018-00604	Florian	Ingrid	3/21/2018	Any records of Jacob Guido Jr in San Jose CA DOB [REDACTED] I am requesting records for a upcoming paternity and visitation schedule court in WA statell on Jan 22,2019	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .	
PRA-2018-00611	Adams	LeighAnn	3/21/2018	Seeking Public Records for purposes of employment for the following individual: Ananya R Hoffman	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .	
PRA-2018-00635	Rambo	John	3/21/2018	Hanford Police Department Case # 15-5199 If like yo know what property they have in their possession that they no longer need.	Completed	Erin Nickless	Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another	

							state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the Hanford Police Department.
PRA-2018-00714	Bolin	Paul	3/21/2018	Affiant, Paul C. Bolin Declares and demands records/evidence under the Public Records Act as demanded herein, and request should be answered within 10 days upon receipt of this request/demand. Such request for information-confirmation, and or any and all transcripts record pages, supposedly corrected by assistant NG Newcomb, to include: altering--corrected-modified in any way-changed in any way-augmented or any and all changes from original. This demand pursuant to Penal Code § 1054.9, to be responded to/answered forthwith, without delay.	Completed	Dawn Stott	<p>We must deny your request for records on several grounds. First, as you certainly are already aware, no discovery is available in a federal habeas corpus proceeding absent good cause and an order issued by the presiding court. Habeas corpus is simply not a fishing expedition for petitioners to explore a case in search of its existence. (Rich v. Calderon, 187 F. 3d 1064, 1067 (9th Cir. 1999); Hayes v. Woodford, 301 F.3d 1054, 1065 n. 6 (9th Cir. 2002).) It is our understanding that a petitioner must first make a fact specific showing of good cause before he or she would be entitled to a discovery order by the court. (Stanford v. Parker, 266 F.3d 442,460 (6th Cir. 2001).) Additionally, in Roberts v. City of Palmdale, 5 Cal.4th 363 (1993), the California Supreme Court pointed out the PRA should not be used as a substitute for the normal procedures of discovery. (Roberts v. City of Palmdale, 5 Cal.4th at 372; see also, County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Kusar), 18 Cal.App.4th 588, 600-601, n. 17 (1993).) Thus, since your request under the PRA appears calculated to do precisely that, it is denied. Your request is also denied on the basis of multiple express exemptions under the PRA.</p> <p>Specifically, all the records you seek are part of pending litigation and, thus, are exempt under Government Code section 6254(b). Furthermore, portions of the requested records all further exempt from disclosure under the PRA as follows: documents that are preliminary drafts(§ 6254(a)); documents protected by the attorney-client privilege (§6254(k)); documents covered under the attorney work product rule (§6254(a)). Thus, your request for records under the PRA is denied for all of the aforementioned reasons.</p> <p>In addition to the PRA, you also rely on Brady v. Maryland, 373 U.S. 83, and it progeny, as well as Penal Code section 1054.9 as alternative authority for receiving copies of the requested records. Our Office acknowledges an ongoing duty to provide you with pertinent materials under Brady, as well as an obligation to comply with the mandates of Penal Code section 1054. At this point, we are satisfied that we have met all such obligations. Thus, no further disclosure is necessary.</p> <p>Based on the foregoing, our Office will not be providing any records at this time.</p>
PRA-2018-00557	Thonis	James	3/22/2018	Between 1982 and 1990: All memoranda, letters and other communications among Jamee Jordan Patterson (Deputy Attorney General), the California Coastal Commission staff including Mary Hudson (Staff Counsel), the City of Oxnard (including City Attorneys Gary Gillig and Paula Kimbrell) and the County of Ventura regarding Coastal Act jurisdiction over Channel Islands Harbor, Supplement to AG opinion 81-1113 and the County of Ventura's Public Works Plan for Channel Islands Harbor, Ventura County CA. Despite attorney involvement, I believe these are all public records as these items were previously provided to the County of Ventura but are no longer available in County files.	Completed	Daniel A. Olivas, Sup DAG	<p>After consulting with our client, the California Coastal Commission, we must decline your request. The records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363, 370 ["By its reference to the privileges contained in the Evidence Code, therefore, the Public Records Act has made the attorney-client privilege applicable to public records."].)</p> <p>The attorneys in our department provide legal advice to its client agency, the California Coastal Commission. The privilege is held by the Coastal Commission and, with respect to your request, the Commission has asserted the privilege and directed the Attorney General's office to communicate this assertion. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the Coastal Commission as described in your request are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p>
PRA-2018-00558	Carreiro	Peter	3/22/2018	Police report from Pasadena 02/03/18 case18-1532 Njimboua, Hamadicko	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Police report records are typically maintained by the local department that generated the report. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of a local or another state agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency, in this case, the local police department where the incident took place.</p>
PRA-2018-00560	Cohn	Gregg	3/22/2018	I would like a copy of the dissolution document for Joan Jim and Marcy L. Montgomery as relating to the marriages with Gregg Cohn.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death,</p>

PRA-2018-00561	Davis (dealers)	Jason	3/22/2018	<p>Please provide all writings, as that term is defined in Evidence Code section 250, that constitute records maintained and available pursuant to Penal Code section 26725, which states:</p> <p>The Department of Justice shall maintain and make available upon request information concerning all of the following:</p> <p>(a) The number of inspections conducted and the amount of fees collected pursuant to Section 26720.</p> <p>(b) A listing of exempted jurisdictions, as defined in Section 26720.</p> <p>(c) The number of dealers removed from the centralized list defined in Section 26715.</p> <p>(d) The number of dealers found to have violated a provision listed in Section 16575 with knowledge or gross negligence.</p> <p>This request is limited to responsive writings from or after January 1, 2015.</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p> <p>On April 2, 2018, BOF sent an extension</p> <p>On April 11, 2018, BOF Responded: The following responds to the above-listed requests:</p> <p>(a) There have been 568 inspections conducted since January 1, 2015. Additionally, \$702,679 has been collected in fees since July 1, 2015. As a note, you requested the amount of fees collected since January 1, 2015. However, the Department has provided the amount of fees collected since July 1, 2015 to avoid running duplicative reports and upsetting the workflow of Department staff.</p> <p>(b) Los Angeles County is the only jurisdiction that has adopted an inspection program to ensure California licensed firearms dealers are in compliance with the law.</p> <p>(c) 366 dealers have been removed from the Centralized List since January 1, 2015.</p> <p>(d) Since January 1, 2015, no gun dealers have been removed from the Centralized List for knowing or grossly negligent violations.</p>
PRA-2018-00562	Davis (assault weapons)	Jason	3/22/2018	<p>All writings, as that term is defined in Evidence Code section 250, that constitute the following records and/or information mandated by the California Legislature created on or after January 1, 2016:</p> <p>1. The Penal Code section 34200 report on the specific types of firearms used in the commission of crimes based upon information obtained from state and local crime laboratories.</p> <p>2. The Penal Code section 34205 pamphlet that summarizes California firearm laws as they pertain to persons other than law enforcement officers or members of the armed services.</p> <p>3. Any writings that are a product of the Penal Code section 31115 public education and notification programs regarding the registration of assault weapons and the definition of assault weapons as set forth in Penal Code section 30515.</p> <p>4. The protocol created pursuant to Penal Code section 29875 to facilitate the enforcement of restrictions on firearm ownership, including provisions for giving notice to defendants who are restricted, provisions for informing those defendants of the procedures by which defendants shall dispose of firearms when required to do so, provisions explaining how defendants shall provide proof of the lawful disposition of firearms, and provisions explaining how defendants may obtain possession of seized firearms when legally permitted to do so pursuant to any provision of law.</p> <p>5. Any information mandated relating to the number of inspections conducted and the amount of fees collected pursuant to Penal Code section 26720, as maintained by Penal Code section 26725.</p> <p>6. A listing of exempted jurisdictions, as defined in Penal Code Section 26720, as maintained by Penal Code section 26725.</p> <p>7. The number of dealers removed from the centralized list defined in Section 26715, as maintained pursuant to Penal Code section 26725.</p> <p>8. The number of dealers found to have violated a provision listed in Section 16575 with knowledge or gross</p>	Completed	Robert Wilson	<p>On April 2, 2018, BOF sent an extension.</p> <p>On April 12, 2018, Robert Wilson responded: The following responds to the above-listed requests:</p> <p>1. Enclosed is the 2016 Firearms Used in the Commission of Crimes report. This report can also be found online at: https://oag.ca.gov/publications#crime.</p> <p>2. Enclosed is the 2016 California Firearms Laws Summary report. This report can also be found online at: https://oag.ca.gov/firearms.</p> <p>3. Enclosed are the documents we have that are responsive to this request. In providing the records requested, we have redacted certain private identifying information, such as non-commercial addresses, email addresses, and telephone numbers, along with other sensitive personal information such as social security numbers and credit card numbers based on considerations of personal privacy. (Cal. Const., art. I, §1, as incorporated into the Public Records Act by Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Gov. Code, § 6255.)</p> <p>4. The Department is continuing to search for all information responsive to this request and will provide you a response once we compile the responsive information.</p> <p>5. There have been 227 inspections conducted since January 1, 2016. Additionally, \$702,679 has been collected in fees since July 1, 2015. As a note, you requested the amount of fees collected since January 1, 2016. However, the Department has provided the amount of fees collected since July 1, 2015 to avoid running duplicative reports and upsetting the workflow of Department staff.</p> <p>6. Los Angeles County is the only jurisdiction that has adopted an inspection program to ensure California licensed firearms dealers are in compliance with the law.</p> <p>7. 167 dealers have been removed from the Centralized List since January 1, 2016.</p> <p>8. Since January 1, 2016, no gun dealers have been removed from the Centralized List for knowing or grossly negligent violations.</p> <p>9. Penal Code section 26715 provides that:</p> <p>(a) Except as otherwise provided in paragraphs (1) and (3) of subdivision (b), the Department of Justice shall keep a centralized list of all persons licensed pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (e), inclusive, of Section 26700.</p> <p>(b) (1) The department may remove from this list any person who knowingly or with gross negligence violates a provision listed in Section 16575.</p> <p>(2) The department shall remove from the centralized list any person whose federal firearms license has expired or has been revoked.</p> <p>(3) Upon removal of a dealer from this list, notification shall be provided to local law enforcement and licensing authorities in the jurisdiction where the dealer's business is</p>

PRA-2018-00563	Lalchand ani	Priti	3/22/2018	<p>negligence, as maintained pursuant to Penal Code section 26725. 9. The total number of dealers on the Centralized List of Firearm Dealers as of March 15, 2018. 10. The total number of Category 1 "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 11. The total number of Category 2 "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 12. The total number of Category 3 "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 13. The total number of Law Enforcement "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 14. The total number of Penal Code section 30515 (as revised since 2017) type "assault weapons" registered with the State of California as of March 15, 2018. 15. The total number of "assault weapons" registered with the state of California as of March 15, 2018.</p>			<p>located.</p> <p>(c) Information compiled from the list shall be made available, upon request, for the following purposes only:</p> <p>(1) For law enforcement purposes.</p> <p>(2) When the information is requested by a person licensed pursuant to Chapter 44 (commencing with Section 921) of Title 18 of the United States Code for determining the validity of the license for firearm shipments.</p> <p>(3) When information is requested by a person promoting, sponsoring, operating, or otherwise organizing a show or event as defined in Section 478.100 of Title 27 of the Code of Federal Regulations, or its successor, who possesses a valid certificate of eligibility issued pursuant to Article 1 (commencing with Section 27200) of Chapter 3, if that information is requested by the person to determine the eligibility of a prospective participant in a gun show or event to conduct transactions as a firearms dealer pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 26805.</p> <p>(d) Information provided pursuant to subdivision (c) shall be limited to information necessary to corroborate an individual's current license status as being one of the following:</p> <p>(1) A person licensed pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (e), inclusive, of Section 26700.</p> <p>(2) A person who is licensed pursuant to Chapter 44 (commencing with Section 921) of Title 18 of the United States Code, and who is not subject to the requirement of being licensed pursuant to subdivisions (a) to (e), inclusive, of Section 26700.</p> <p>Because of the limitations set forth in subdivision (c) on who may receive information compiled from the Centralized List, we are declining to respond to this request.</p> <p>10. As of March 15, 2018, 55,364 Category 1 assault weapons were registered.</p> <p>11. As of March 15, 2018, 89,113 Category 2 and Category 3 assault weapons were registered. The Department only maintains a report that includes both of these categories together.</p> <p>12. See response to number 11.</p> <p>13. As of March 15, 2018, 13,082 peace officer assault weapons were registered.</p> <p>14. As of March 15, 2018, there were 3,051 applicants for bullet button assault weapons. Please note that the Department only maintains a report that details how many applicants have applied to register their assault weapons in the ordinary course of business. To obtain the number of registered assault weapons, special programming would be required to generate the requested information, and the Department is allowed by law to charge for this programming. (See Gov. Code, § 6253.9, subd. (b); see also California Department of Justice Guidelines for Access to Public Records [When the Department must compile electronic data, extract information from an electronic record, or undertake computer programming to satisfy a request, the Department may require the requester to bear the full costs, not just the direct cost of duplication.])</p> <p>In this case, it will take a Systems Software Specialist II a minimum of 8 hours to compile this information at a cost of \$323.04. If you still desire this information, please make a check payable to the California Department of Justice in the amount of \$323.04 and send it to:</p> <p>California Department of Justice Public Records Coordinator 1300 I Street, Room 1710-6 Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>If we do not receive payment within 20 days, we shall consider the request for records withdrawn and the matter closed.</p> <p>15. See response to number 14.</p>	Im regards to your first request, we are providing you with the Dealer Record of Sale (DROS) statistics for 2010 through 2017. These statistics provide you a list of all firearm transactions. For your second and third requests, we would like to direct you generally to the OpenJustice website: https://openjustice.doj.ca.gov/resources/publications . There, you will find a great deal of information that may be pertinent to your research. If you have any further questions, please let us know.
----------------	--------------	-------	-----------	---	--	--	---	--

PRA-2018-00564	Wilson	Merlyn	3/22/2018	Center in Torrance, Los Angeles County, California. I am doing research for a study regarding firearms and would appreciate your help. The information I am requesting is flexible (in terms of year and location).	Felony convictions on Tony Junior Swearingen. Prison terms for this individual who now resides in Antioch, California. He has claimed in a recent deposition transcript that he has had 2 felony convictions. He now drives a transit bus for Tri Delta Transit based in Antioch, California. I am a retired CHP officer and a licensed Private Investigator PI 13828 for the State of California. He stated under oath that he was convicted of Felony Assault with a Deadly Weapon and served time in a California State Prison. Did he? What prison? Who arrested him and for what crime? How many felony convictions and specifically for what crime? I am now an expert witness involved in this matter named Parvin Pourkiani vs. Tri Delta Transit & Tony Junior Swearingen. Superior Court of California For the County of Contra Costa and the defense attorneys will not release his background investigation records, his driver qualification file, his post accident drug and alcohol tests, and the Tri Delta Transit Rulebook and Standard Operating Procedures.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 111076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. In regard to the prison terms, you may want to request this information from the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis; Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: https://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/record-review . The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00565	Skachenko	Eric	3/22/2018	Short Sale - 2012. I am trying to obtain the documentation that went with the short sale of my old home on [REDACTED]		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since it is indicated in your correspondence that you are seeking information on a specific property, you may wish to contact the local county assessor's office or recorder's office for the county in which the property resides.
PRA-2018-00566	Grady	Mary	3/22/2018	i would like copies of all of my criminal and court case records in santa cruz county ca.. please.... do i have any open cases? and any state mental health records as well from santa cruz ca.. mary christine grady [REDACTED] ssn birthday [REDACTED] thank you mary grady		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . To the extent that you seek court records, we were unable to locate litigation files with the information provided. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking court records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the case was heard. In regard to mental health records, Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure. You may wish to contact the mental health facility directly to access the records you are seeking.

PRA-2018-00618	White	Weston	3/22/2018	<p>1. The total number of temporary restraining orders (TRO) issued throughout the State of California for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 that were authorized under the statutory authority of Cal. CCP § 527.6, with each annual sum categorized by restrained males and females (without regard to age or ethnicity) and numerated by each respective year.</p> <p>2. The total number of civil/criminal restraining orders (CPO) issued throughout the State of California for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 that were authorized under the statutory authority of Cal. CCP § 527.6, with each annual sum categorized by restrained males and females (without regard to age or ethnicity) and numerated by each respective year.</p> <p>3. The total number of 'CH-800' court documents (as mandated by Cal. CCP § 527.9 et seq.) submitted throughout the State of California for 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, and 2017 numerated by each respective year.</p> <p>4. The total number of civil/criminal restraining orders (CPO) presently (e.g., based on the most current data available for either 2018 or else 2017) active throughout the State of California (regardless if on file with law enforcement agencies or not) that were authorized under the statutory authority of Cal. CCP § 527.6, with each sum categorized by restrained males and females (without regard to age or ethnicity.)</p>	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>Unfortunately, the Department of Justice (DOJ) is unable to comply with your request. The California state summary criminal history records as maintained by the Bureau of Criminal Information and Analysis are not public records. Pursuant to California Penal Code section 11076, access to criminal history records is restricted to agencies that are statutorily authorized to receive such records. The only exception to this rule is an individual requesting his or her own records. California Penal Code sections 11120 through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness.</p> <p>If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>However, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to ResearchRequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00707	Contreras	Joe	3/22/2018	<p>a. Trial and sentencing court transcripts of David Arenas Olvera, Case Number CR28041; and</p> <p>b. Volumes 7 and 8 of my trial transcripts, Joe Daniel Contreras, Case Number CR27580.</p>	Completed	Cynthia S. Lumley	<p>a. We do not have any responsive records.</p> <p>b. We only have one volume of reporter's transcript for Case Number CR27580, People v. Joe Daniel Contreras. I have included a copy of this transcript since you have indicated that the court was unable to provide the record. We have no other reporter's transcripts.</p>
PRA-2018-00568	Fletcher	Victoria	3/23/2018	I am looking to find out how many people have been convicted of Penal Code 289 (d) and 289 (e) in California from 2011 to the present day. If it is possible to be given the dates of the cases, the name of the cases and the sentence given, that would also be helpful.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved.</p> <p>The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00569	Strugar	Matthew	3/23/2018	Documents reflecting the most recent payment to the third-party vendor that processes the information that appears on the Megan's Law website (be that the most recent invoice from the third party vendor, a copy of the check sent to the third party vendor, or any other similar material reflecting payment). If no such documents exist reflecting the most recent payment, I am requesting a copy of the contract with the third-party vendor that processes the information that appears on the Megan's Law website.	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents.</p>
PRA-2018-00654	Stuart	Wayne	3/23/2018	I am requesting a copy of the 'Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018. 'I am also asking for a copy of any document you have authored by the	Completed	Emily Gargiulo	<p>Enclosed is a copy of Initiative 17-0044A1, "Reducing Crime and Keeping California Safe Act of 2018" and a copy of the fiscal impact estimate prepared by the Legislative Analyst and the Department of Finance.</p>

			<p>tit. 2, § 599.984:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Staffing needs; ii. Available funds or resources; iii. Employee status in department (e.g. permanent, part time intermittent, etc.); iv. Satisfactory employee performance in current position; v. Employee motivation and potential for advancement; vi. Relevance of training (relevance of upward mobility activity to employee's career goal); and vii. Specific department upward mobility objectives. <p>e. Number of employees in classifications and low paying occupations used by appointing authority; entry technical, professional, administrative classes targeted for UM and planned UM exams.</p> <p>4. Description of program elements for each of the three most current upward mobility plans</p> <p>a. Career counseling using individual professional, technical, and administrative staff who can serve as mentors, role models, etc.;</p> <p>b. Group career counseling;</p> <p>c. The department's workforce planning needs taking into consideration growing occupations or where the department's greatest needs are;</p> <p>d. Appropriate academic counseling;</p> <p>e. Training opportunities such as college programs related to special training</p> <p>i. Adult education centers;</p> <p>ii. Community college listings</p> <p>iii. California State University (CSU) / University of California (UC) campuses that provide training;</p> <p>iv. T&D assignments</p> <p>v. On-the-Job Training;</p> <p>vi. Job restructuring including job career ladders and lattices and modifications of requirements where employment barriers exist.</p> <p>vii. Academic release time at reduced or no time to employees and may be offered at other departments or academic institutions. Academic release time provides employees with time off to pursue educational goals related to their career development plan, per Government Code section 19401(c);</p> <p>viii. Training reimbursement per reference Cal. Code Regs., tit. 2, § 599.819; and</p> <p>5. Names of upward mobility program participants— Please provide the name, current position, employee status and anticipated upward mobility position for each participant represented by SEIU Local 1000 for the three most current annual upward mobility plans.</p>			<p>Rights and Resolution - Section 6: Upward Mobility Program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Department of Justice (DOJ) Administrative Manual - Chapter 7, Equal Employment Rights and Resolution - Section 5: Career Counseling Program <p>Response to request 4:</p> <p>The Equal Employment Rights and Resolution (EER&R) Office has an Upward Mobility Handbook (Handbook), which provides information on many of DOJ's UM Program elements.</p> <p>The Handbook was developed to provide employees in low-paying occupations with information and practical suggestions for achieving their career goals. Additionally, the Employee Development Plan and Legal Secretary Career Ladder are both available as resources to help employees identify and reach their career goals. The following documents are attached:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Upward Mobility Handbook • Employee Development Plan • Career Ladder - Legal Secretary Series <p>The EER&R Office uses individuals in the technical, professional and administrative classes as career counselors. In the past three years the EER&R Office has recruited and trained several new career counselors who meet with employees in low-paying occupations to assist them with their career goals. The following documents are attached:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Career Counselor Directory, 2014 • Career Counselor Directory, 2015 • Career Counselor Directory, 2016 • Career Counselor Directory, Current <p>The EER&R Office facilitates group career counseling in the form of Application and Interview (A&I) Workshops. The workshops provide information regarding preparing effective applicant documents, understanding how the hiring process works and tips and techniques for preparing for a hiring interview. A total of 253 employees attended the workshop during the three most current upward mobility plan cycles. A total of 71 employees have attended the workshop for the current plan cycle. The following document is attached:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Application and Interview Workshop Class Workbook <p>In December of 2016, DOJ partnered with American River Community College to make two courses specifically available to employees who work at DOJ. The classes offered were Introduction to Law and Legal Research. Both courses meet the requirement of the minimum qualifications for the Legal Assistant classification. The project was introduced to DOJ employees on a pilot basis in January 2017. However, the pilot program did not gain traction with employees. This may be in part because the classes were offered online, and employees were expected to attend by way of personal and private computer, at the lunch hour or after the work day. The following document is attached:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • American River College Legal Assisting brochure <p>On-the-job training and academic release time are available to employees participating in the UM Program on a case-by-case basis and contingent on the operational needs and available resources of the unit. The Chief of the Legal Support Operations (LSO) Unit within the Division of Administrative Support (now the Division of Operations) sent a memo to all LSO staff in 2014. The purpose of this memo was to provide clear information to staff regarding LSO's policy for reimbursement and release time for training and educational courses. In addition, the DOJ has a chapter in the DOJ Administrative Manual that speaks to training reimbursement. For trainings that fall in the UM category, employees may be reimbursed for expenses incurred for tuition, books and supplies, after successful completion of all class requirements, at the discretion of each division. The DOJ utilizes Training and Development (T&D) assignments at the discretion of hiring managers. T&D assignments are open to all DOJ employees who meet the criteria for appointment into a T&D assignment. The following documents are attached:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Memo to LSO Staff • DOJAM Ch 18, Training Policy <p>5. Names of upward mobility program participants- Please provide the name, current position, employee status and anticipated upward mobility position for each participant represented by SEIU Local 1000 for the three most current annual upward mobility plans.</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

PRA-2018-00570	Christie	Raymond	3/26/2018	Livestock Deputy of Humboldt County, Travis Mendes's publically used phone. I feel that the allegations violated my fourth amendment right. Do you need specific dates?		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>The EER&R Office maintains a list of employees who are currently participating in the UM Program. The list captures current participants and does not distinguish employee participation by plan year. The following document is attached:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> UM Program Participants <p>Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>The DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire state of California. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records related to a Humboldt County Deputy, you may wish to direct your request to the Humboldt County Sheriff's Office.</p>
PRA-2018-00571	Connolly	Joseph	3/26/2018	I am seeking any and all records of any costs incurred by the Office of the Attorney General in the Third District Court of Appeal cases C080256 and C083238. Those cases were decided on February 9, 2018 in my favor as the appellant. The Attorney General represented the public interest in each appeal, and on behalf of the El Dorado County Department of Child Support Services. The attorney of record for both appeals was Deputy Attorney General Ms. Jennevee deGuzman. Regardless of the expense category--administrative, legal representation, filing fees, etc.--I am seeking confirmation of the expenses incurred to contest each appeal. Because this is a time sensitive matter for matters remanded to the El Dorado County Superior Court, I am requesting an expedited response to this request.		Completed	Judy Wong	<p>In response to the requests above, the Attorney General's Office is providing records which detail the administrative and legal representation fees for these two cases, which are attached to this letter. The Attorney General's Office is exempt from filing fees pursuant to Government Code section 6103, and as such does not have any expenses relating to filing fees.</p>
PRA-2018-00572	Mojica-Hernandez	Juan	3/26/2018	hello, my Case Number is 03WM09917, is in orange county superior court		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p>
PRA-2018-00573	Sarsak	Ali	3/26/2018	Kustom Signals, Inc. Pro Laser III Operator's Manual. This manual is for the speed measuring device used by California Law Enforcement Officers in traffic enforcement.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. During research into the matter, I came across this web page, http://tipmra.com/kgb/WEB/general/ProLaser%20III_om.pdf, which may be the document you are looking for. If not, you may wish to contact the manufacturer, or one of the agencies that commonly enforces traffic laws throughout its regular course of business, such as the California Highway Patrol or local law enforcement.</p>
PRA-2018-00574	Plejdstrup	Valerie	3/26/2018	California Incarceration record for Thomas Elliot Buster Jr. Researching for the family of Thomas Elliot Buster Jr. Children were told various stories. That he died in a California prison, proven false, he died in a VA hospital in Minnesota. That he was released from prison because he was very ill. Highly unlikely that he was given compassionate release from a California prison in the late 1960s. Simply looking for true facts. Was Thomas ever in a California prison or jail? If yes, then when and where.		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We note that your request was sent to the Department of Justice, but seeks incarceration records. Your request does not identify records that are in the control or custody of the Office of the Attorney General/Department of Justice. The Department of Justice cannot produce records that are responsive to your request. We note that your public records request is directed to the Department of Justice, but seeks records from another government agency. We have no obligation or ability to disclose public records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c). If you wish to review records that are in custody or control of another government agency, we suggest that you direct your request to that agency. In this case, the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation. Information on how to contact them can be found at http://www.cdcr.ca.gov/News/CPRA.html.</p>
PRA-2018-00575	Munoz	David	3/26/2018	I would like all information I have rights too. Thanks		Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We were unable to conduct a search of our records based on the information provided, as your request is missing critical information or lacks specificity. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a</p>

PRA-2018-00576	Chabria (SacBee)	Anita	3/26/2018	<p>Pursuant to my rights under the California Public Records Act (Government Code Section 6250 et seq.), I ask to inspect/obtain a copy of the following, which I understand to be held by your agency:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The names of the missing people in records transferred from San Joaquin to state jurisdiction in 2010 (change of ORI) 2. The total number of records San Joaquin asked to transfer and names on all those records (change in ORI) 3. The total number of records actually transferred 4. All written policies regarding change in ORI between jurisdictions in the missing person database maintained by the California Attorney General in effect in 2010. <p>In a separate Public Records Act Request, I ask for the following:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. All correspondence and email between the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department and the California Attorney General's Office from Jan. 1, 2010 to Dec. 31, 2010 regarding missing persons records. April 9, 2018 follow-up: Hi Jaimie - Thank you for sending these documents in response to my CPRA request. However, these documents are not what I requested. These are internal action logs from staff within the AG's office. I requested "All correspondence and email between the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department and the California Attorney General's Office from Jan. 1, 2010 to Dec. 31, 2010 regarding missing persons records." 	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	<p>more directed search of the records within the care and custody of our office. In order to facilitate locating a record that is responsive to your interest, we suggest that you construct your request as specifically as possible. Some of the ways one may do this are as follows:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Providing the actual name or title of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the contents of the record. -Describing as closely as possible the subject matter of the record. -Stating the year, and month if possible, in which the record was created. -If related to a case, providing the case name or number. -Indicating the Section of the Attorney General's Office that created the record. -Indicating the city in which the record was created. <p>If you can provide more information regarding the records you are seeking, we can conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control.</p> <p>Jaimie Tackett sought clarification on April 2, 2018: In regard to the separate request in which you seek "All correspondence and email between the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department and the California Attorney General's Office from Jan. 1, 2010 to Dec. 31, 2010 regarding missing persons records", is it possible for you to narrow the scope of your request? As written, the request is very broad. The DOJ would be better able to respond to the request if it had a more narrow scope.</p> <p>April 3, 2018 response: The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.</p> <p>In regard to item (1), the following are the names of the missing people in records transferred from San Joaquin to state jurisdiction in 2010 (change of ORI):</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Cynthia Vanderheiden 2. Tracy Diane Melton 3. Joann Hobson 4. Kimberly Ann Billy 5. Phillip Lloyd Cabot Martin 6. Steven Mark Dadasovich 7. James Kenneth Patterson 8. Jose Esauro Dominguez 9. Gail Deann Skelton 10. Andrew Ross Stetler <p>In regard to item (2), the total number of records San Joaquin asked to transfer and names on all those records (change in ORI) is ten. For names, see response to item (1).</p> <p>In regard to item (3), the total number of records actually transferred is ten.</p> <p>In regard to item (4), by way of background, there are two systems of computerized missing persons information available to law enforcement agencies via the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System (CLETS): DOJ's Missing Persons System (MPS) and the Federal Bureau of Investigation's (FBI) National Crime Information Center (NCIC) Missing Person File. When a missing person record is entered in MPS, the record is also programmatically forwarded to the FBI's NCIC Missing Person File. Law enforcement and DOJ staff that process missing person record data use two manuals or guides that detail the technical process of entering, modifying/correcting, and cancelling a record in these systems: (1) DOJ's "Criminal Justice Information System Terminal Operator's Guide" (CJIS Guide) and (2) the FBI's "NCIC 2000 Operating Manual: Missing Persons File" (NCIS Manual). Neither of these are DOJ policies.</p> <p>Under the CJIS Guide relating to MPS, the originating agency (i.e., the agency with jurisdiction and responsibility for the investigation) or DOJ can cancel a missing person's record.</p> <p>Thus, if the originating agency decides to close an investigation and cancel a record in the system for any reason, the only way to preserve the missing person information in the two systems is for DOJ to reenter the data as a new record under DOJ's originating agency identifier (ORI). The relevant portions of the CJIS Guide are attached. The CJIS guide does not specifically address recovery of only partial body remains, nor does it impose any rule limiting the circumstances under which a record</p>
----------------	------------------	-------	-----------	---	-----------	----------------	--

								originator may cancel a record. Similarly, the NCIC Manual does not limit the circumstances under which a record originator may cancel a record (except certain limits on cancelling records regarding children removed from the United States). In its "Record Retention Period" section, however, the NCIS Manual permits, as a matter of discretion, keeping a record open after recovery of partial remains. It says, in pertinent part: A missing person record is retained indefinitely until action is taken by the originating agency to cancel or clear the record or until a locate is placed against the record ... When a missing person has been positively identified by partial body parts, the entering agency may maintain the NCIC record for future identification in the event additional body parts are recovered. Thus, much like the CJS Guide, the NCIC Manual generally does not limit an agency's discretion to cancel a record. April 5, clarification offered: When we spoke earlier this week, you asked me to clarify with the appropriate program how/when missing persons records are transferred between ORIs. When an agency requests to have a missing persons record transferred to DOJ's ORI, the DOJ re-enters the information as a new record under DOJ's ORI. When that is complete, the DOJ then deletes the original missing persons record from the requesting agency's ORI. April 10, 2018 response to follow up: Unfortunately, we do not have any email correspondence between the California Attorney General's Office and the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department or internally between California Attorney General's Office employees regarding the 10 missing persons records that were transferred from the San Joaquin County Sheriff's Department to the California Attorney General's Office ORI in 2010. As noted in the response, the requests and subsequent correspondence occurred via telephone. In an attempt to be as transparent as possible, we provided the action logs contained within each individual's record/file that document the request/transfer.
PRA-2018-00577	Vines	James	3/26/2018		I'm sending you this message under the guise of the Freedom of Information Act. I am requesting the following information concerning one of your new programs, the licensing of people that sell ammunition in California. I would like to know the following, how many people do you have currently processing license request? How many license request have you had since January of this year? by State Law & policy how long do you have to process a license request? You can send this information to me via mail at the address above or my email to the email address above. expect to hear some type of response within 30 working days.	Completed	Robert Wilson	The Department has one individual processing license requests. There have been 285 license requests since January 1, 2018. State law does not dictate how long the Department has to process a license request.
PRA-2018-00578	Glorioso	Sandra	3/26/2018		NANDEEP SINGH SOOCH Case Number: 23611MMNS DATE: 07/18/2011 Criminal Court	Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-00579	Kaste (NPR)	Martin	3/26/2018		Hello, This is a public record request for all communications regarding the acquisition and operation of the NEC facial recognition operated by the California Department of Justice. This is the system for which the DOJ continues to pay for annual software maintenance (please see purchase document # 16IT-0647). Thank you, Martin Kaste Law Enforcement Correspondent National Public Radio. We are making this public records request at the suggestion of state employee Andrea	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The redactions on pages 16, 18, and 19 of the attachment were made by the vendor prior to submitting the documentation to the DOJ. This is a common practice for vendors, as the documentation contains the information of other customers and is presented as example only. Cal-Photo has been in place since the late 1990s as an investigative tool to assist

PRA-2018-00580	Hewlett	Iris	3/26/2018	BanuelosDavis, who is listed as the contact person for the state's acquisition and maintenance of the NEC facial recognition system.	Per Gov. Code Sec. 6250 et seq., I seek records which supports CA DOJ/ AG rely on SEC Edgar System to investigate cases that are in violation of The California Blue Sky Laws and per the Corporate Securities Law of 1968.	Completed	Daniel O'Donnell II	<p>California law enforcement agencies (LEAs). The DOJ contracted with NEC to provide services for the implementation of an integrated criminal justice image system that encompassed the modernization of multi pie image systems into a single system, the Cal-Photo Image Vault (CPIV). As with the original Cal-Photo system, LEAs have an interface that enables them to own and manage their criminal justice photos and data, as well as access and search those of other LEAs, create lineups and mugbooks, and generate reports.</p> <p>The NEC package includes a discounted license to allow facial recognition capability of images in the CPIV maintained by the DOJ. The DOJ has never implemented the facial recognition capability of the package, which will expire in May 2018.</p> <p>An Extension was sent on 04.05.18 by Daniel P. O'Donnell from Corporate Fraud Section.</p> <p>On April 19, 2018, our office responded: It is unclear what records you are seeking. As you are likely aware, the SEC EDGAR system is a publically available database. To the extent you may be asking whether DOJ has a policy regarding the use of the SEC EDGAR system as an investigatory tool, the DOJ does not have a record responsive to your request. If, however, you are seeking specific documentation of whether DOJ staff reviewed the SEC EDGAR system when investigating possible securities law violation, we would consider such records to be confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) exempts from disclosure investigative and security files of the Attorney General, including complaints. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court [1993] 5 Cal. 4th 337, 354-55. Note: the time limitation on the exemption for investigative files under Williams is impossible to reconcile with the language and history of section 6254(f)). Thus, the Attorney General's Office will not produce documents subject to the exemption for confidential law enforcement records.</p>
PRA-2018-00590	Moreno	Abraham	3/26/2018	Mr. Moreno seeks a certified copy of a Press Release generated by our office on February 9, 2012 regarding JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and others regarding a settlement.	Mr. Moreno seeks a certified copy of a Press Release generated by our office on February 9, 2012 regarding JP Morgan Chase, Bank of America, Wells Fargo, and others regarding a settlement.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Called Mr. Moreno and directed him to our website for the press release, and to our public inquiry unit to submit a complaint.</p>
PRA-2018-00629	Anderson	Kristopher	3/26/2018	Thank you for your reply to my PRA request. More specifically, Katherine M. Porter (DOB: [REDACTED]) was appointed as California's monitor of the nationwide housing settlement by then AG Kamala Harris in March 2012. If no personnel file exists, any records pertaining to compensation or other expenditures directed to or claimed by Ms. Porter would be appropriate and responsive to my request.	Thank you for your reply to my PRA request. More specifically, Katherine M. Porter (DOB: [REDACTED]) was appointed as California's monitor of the nationwide housing settlement by then AG Kamala Harris in March 2012. If no personnel file exists, any records pertaining to compensation or other expenditures directed to or claimed by Ms. Porter would be appropriate and responsive to my request.	Completed	Paul Stein	<p>On April 5, 2018 an Extension was sent by Paul Stein from Gov Law Section.</p> <p>On April 19, 2018, Paul Stein responded: We have conducted a diligent search for responsive records and are providing copies as electronic files (.pdfs) to you at no charge (the bulk of the records are included as attachments to the e-mail that accompanies this letter).</p> <p>We are providing the following: (1) two contracts (including a series of five amendments to one of them); (2) a vendor payment report; and (3) 24 invoices sent to the Department of Justice pursuant to the contracts. We are withholding three of the invoices until they can be redacted. These invoices contain billing information that would reveal litigation strategy and/or legal consultation. Such information is exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act, and we decline to provide it. (See County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264, 1274-1275 [holding that such information "lies in the heartland of attorney-client privilege"]; internal quotations and citation omitted, italics in original); Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954 [attorney-client privilege]; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030, subd. (a) [attorney work product doctrine].) We expect to be able to provide you the three redacted invoices by the end of next week (April 27) if not sooner.</p> <p>May 2, 2018 response dated May 1, 2018: I am writing in (further) response to your request under the Public Records Act (Gov. Code, § 6250 et seq.) seeking "any records pertaining to compensation or other expenditures directed to or claimed by Ms. [Katherine M.] Porter." On April 19, 2018, we sent you the responsive documents in our possession. However, we withheld certain documents (three invoices) which contain privileged and confidential information, so that the documents could be properly reviewed and redacted. We are now providing you the invoices in redacted form. Billing information which is subject to protection under the attorney-client privilege and/or the attorney work product doctrine has been blacked out. (See County of Los Angeles Board of Supervisors v. Superior Court (2017) 12 Cal.App.5th 1264, 1275 [billing information which reveals litigation strategy or legal consultation is protected from disclosure under the Public Records Act]; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); Evid. Code, § 954; Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030.) In order to protect personal</p>

PRA-2018-00637	Drevaleva	Tatyana	3/26/2018	"I am looking for the public records that are related to the process of investigation of my: 1) retaliation and unlawful termination complaint, and 2) wage claim performed by the Department of Industrial Relations, Division of Labor Standards Enforcement. The case numbers are: 1) Retaliation and unlawful termination claim No. 32741-SFRCI 2) Wage claim No. 07-77947 BS."		Completed	Erin Nickless	We note that your request is directed to the Department of Industrial Relations, but was sent to the California Department of Justice. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. Our research into the matter shows that the records you seek may be in the custody or control of another state agency. We suggest that you direct your request to the Department of Industrial Relations. Information on how to request Public Records from them can be found on their website at https://www.dir.ca.gov/pra_request.html .
PRA-2018-00665	Bates	Daniel	3/26/2018	I'm a journalist with the Daily Mail and am doing some research into two nonprofits based in CA. I wanted to find out if you have ever had any complaints about them or investigated them in any way. They are: The Kinnoull Foundation The Sylvanus Charitable Trust Both are registered at 913 Blanco Cir Salinas, CA 93901 If this helps: Kinnoull EIN 94-6186982 Sylvanus EIN 98-0032428 If you have had complaints or investigated either of these nonprofits can you please provide details and documents.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 ["While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f)."])
PRA-2018-00584	Munoz	Jose	3/27/2018	Birth certificates. In search of birth certificates for me and my 2 kids.		Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx .
PRA-2018-00585	Wagman	Jake	3/27/2018	All correspondence between the AG's Charitable Trusts Section and the charity URBAN VISION COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION INC (FEIN 454339519) Please include any complaints against the charity, and any "memos to file" regarding the charity, as well as any failure to file letters or similar notices. Comments: Please send info electronically to jake@shieldresearch.com or jake@shieldresearch.com		Completed	Tania M. Ibanez, SAAG	This officer received your public records request for all correspondence between the Attorney General's Charitable Trust Section and Urban Vision Community Development Co (FEIN 454339519). You can download correspondence sent to Urban Vision by visiting our website at oag.ca.gov/charities , under Resources & Tools, click on Registry Verification Search. Type in the FEIN number and click on the charity's name. That will direct you to the charity's page. Click on all correspondence sent to the charity.
PRA-2018-00588	Geis	Everett	3/27/2018	Orange County Sheriff/Coroner's Death in Custody letters to the DOJ dating back to January 1, 2013. Per CA Gov't Code Section 12525 the Orange County Sheriff/Coroner is required to report in writing any death in custody within 10 days of the date of death. We need copies of these reports dating back to January 1, 2013		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. The attached Excel file reflects the records that have already been processed, and the attached PDF file reflects the two forms for 2018 submissions that have not yet been processed. Note, the DOJ only receives one form for Death in Custody reporting, which is entered into a database and not retained.
PRA-2018-00589	K'hundkar (APPS)	Imran	3/27/2018	1. Any and all documents regarding the data behind Department of Justice's 2017 SB 140 (Armed and Prohibited Persons System) Legislative Report Number	Michel & Associates	Completed	Robert Wilson	As a preliminary matter, we note that under the Public Records Act government records are open and subject to inspection by and disclosure to the public, unless they are "exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law." (Gov. Code, § 6253, subd.

			<p>Four.</p> <p>2. Any and all documents regarding procedures and policies the Department of Justice employs to find and target individuals who may be prohibited from possessing firearms.</p> <p>3. Any and all documents regarding the percentage of APPS investigations that actually result in prosecution by law enforcement.</p> <p>4. Any and all documents regarding the number of persons who have had actual notice that they are active subjects in the APPS database.</p> <p>5. Any and all documents or information regarding the alleged violators of Welfare and Institutions Code section 8103 named in the 2017 SB 140 (Armed and Prohibited Persons System) Legislative Report Number Four</p>		<p>(b.) Section 6254, subdivision (k), incorporates confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law.</p> <p>1. As currently drafted, your request for information in this instance is overly broad and unduly burdensome. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond.</p> <p>General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (Rogers v. Superior Court (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.) Specifically, "the data" is vague and does not provide guidance as to what data within the report the requestor is referencing. Also, California Penal Code section 30000, subdivision (b) provides that "The information contained in the Prohibited Armed Persons File shall only be available to those entities specified in, and pursuant to, subdivision (b) or (c) of Section 11105, through the California Law Enforcement Telecommunications System, for the purpose of determining if persons are armed and prohibited from possessing firearms."</p> <p>Consequently, any "data" in the Armed Persons File is exempt from production in response to a PublicRecords Act request.</p> <p>The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents and information would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code§ 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) If there is a specific data point within the SB 140 report that you are referencing, let us know and we can refine the search to determine if there are responsive documents. (Gov. Code, section 6253.1.)</p> <p>2. Here, the records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p> <p>Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 (While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).))</p> <p>3. - 5. As you are aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in a limitless search for such documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Consequently, we will be unable to provide you with any records under the request as it is currently cast.</p> <p>5. California law restricts the disclosure of the information you seek to specific court proceedings and for determining the eligibility of the person to own, possess, control, receive, or purchase a firearm. (Cal. Welfare and Institutions Code§ 8103, subd. (f).) Some of the records that you are seeking in this category are exempt from disclosure pursuant to the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the</p>
--	--	--	---	--	--

PRA-2018-00591	Ferreira	William	3/27/2018	<p>Accusations and Petition for Interim Suspension Orders filed on behalf of Bureau of Automotive Repair against ARD, Smog Station, Smog Check Technicians (EO/EI licenses) and Brake and Lamp licenses from March 1 to March 27, 2018.</p> <p>I just need license numbers. If this requires a report and a fee to compile, just access to these records to inspect is sufficient and I will send someone to pull the license numbers.</p> <p>Follow up question dated April 20, 2018: Can I get a list of Accusations from March 27-April 20th?</p>	Completed	Molly E. Selway	<p>Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning (specify subject matter) are confidential communications pursuant to the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>The attorney work product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code, section 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.) Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court {1991} 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>The Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) Pursuant to Civil Code section 1798.14 each agency shall maintain in its records only personal information which is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required or authorized by the California Constitution or statute or mandated by the federal government.</p> <p>In general, agencies cannot disclose any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed, as follows:</p> <p>(a) To the individual to whom the information pertains.</p> <p>(b) With the prior written voluntary consent of the individual to whom the record pertains, but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent.</p> <p>(c) To the duly appointed guardian or conservator of the individual or a person representing the individual if it can be proven with reasonable certainty through the possession of agency forms, documents or correspondence that this person is the authorized representative of the individual to whom the information pertains. (Civ. Code, § 1798.24, subds. (a) through (c).)</p> <p>For all these reasons, we will be unable to provide you with any records under request number 5 as it is currently cast.</p>
							<p>By telephone, you narrowed your search to just the ARD numbers listed on the pleadings.</p> <p>You stated that you did not need the actual Accusations or Petitions for Interim Suspension Order. In response, we are providing a list of the Respondents and ARD numbers for the Accusations and Petitions for Interim Suspension Order served between March 1, 2018, and March 27, 2018.</p> <p>At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p> <p>May 1, 2018 response: In response, we are providing a list of the Accusations served between March 27, 2018, and April 20, 2018.</p> <p>At the outset, we note that your request is directed to the Department of Justice but may refer to or include documents in the possession of other agencies, such as the Bureau of Automotive Repair. If you wish to review records that are in the possession of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>

PRA-2018-00592	Clift	Gerald	3/27/2018	I would like a list of every law enforcement agency in California that provided you with data indicating that a homicide was committed with a rifle or a shotgun in the last three years		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The California Department of Justice (DOJ) responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency. The DOJ has complied with your request and attached the responsive documents. Note, the 2017 list will not be available until the California Attorney General releases the Criminal Justice Statistics Center Publications in July of this year.
PRA-2018-00664	Rogers	Jeremiah	3/27/2018	Statewide statistics on workplace violence and crimes against businesses as well as crimes committed at private schools. I am trying to obtain this information on behalf of a security company, the owners of which are adamant that we have collected all available information on these topics so that we can provide accurate and information to potential clients.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov. Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOI responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00485	Smith	Leslie	3/28/2018	Please send me a copy of the arrest warrant for Leslie Smith, or Leslie Gordon Smith, issued by the Tehama County Superior Court. The date is approx. Sep 2012. Also include all other information available regarding the arrest warrant.		Completed	Jaimie Tackett	After consulting with various programs within the California Department of Justice (DOJ), the California Justice Information Services Division has determined that it has no responsive records for this request. You may want to contact, in addition to the Tehama County Superior Court, the arresting law enforcement agency for this information. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.
PRA-2018-00593	Inuman	Carmen Lesley	3/28/2018	Official Publication of the Divorce Law in California, USA. Please expedite requirements. Documents is requested by Atty to file Divorce Recognition outside United States. Thank you		Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking copies of codified law that does not generally fall under our office's purview. To the extent that you are seeking legal advice, the DOJ is not in a position to perform legal research or to provide legal advice to private individuals. You may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options regarding your records request.
PRA-2018-00594	Mullen	Grace	3/28/2018	Searching for judgments, liens, garnishments, court orders or civil matter against me.		Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking civil case records, you may wish to direct your request to the court in which the cases would have been filed. For liens and garnishment information, you should contact the agency which imposed the lien or garnishment.
PRA-2018-00595	Gilbreath	Margaret	3/28/2018	I am requesting records for myself, Margaret Alice Marie Gi breath. I am a US citizen and was born in San Jose, California. I am requesting a copy of my court records, and if there is one, criminal record. In February 2014, I was cited as a minor with a blood alcohol content of 0.02%. This is a civil offense, but due to repercussions I faced, I believe this may have been recorded on a criminal record by mistake. I was arrested due to a (wrongful) warrant regarding this case in July 2015. A judge later that summer dismissed the charge but I am wondering if the arrest is on any record. It also appears as though I may have been charged with a violation of California VC 23140, instead of California VC 23136. So,		Completed	Erin Nickless	We have searched our legal indices and found no responsive records. Since you are seeking records filed with a court, you may wish to direct your request to the court's office. To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .

PRA-2018-00641	Spera	Adam	3/28/2018	"[C]an the DOJ identify any persons arrested, their physical description, time and date of arrest, etc. pertaining to any investigation regarding the contractor known as STEMAR RESTORATIONS, INC. (Contractors State License Board License No. 911989), located at 8161 Commercial Street, La Mesa, California 91942?"	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-00661	Risley	Dawnmarie	3/28/2018	CDCR has played naive that they have any records on me at all. They refuse to cooperate. Please help.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>As stated in our initial response, we are unable to produce documents that we do not have. When the Legislature enacted the Public Records Act in 1968, it provided several judicial remedies for persons who believed that they had been wrongfully denied records to which they were entitled. These remedies include the right to seek injunctive or declaratory relief or a writ of mandate in the Superior Court. (See Government Code sections 6258-6259.) Absent special circumstances, the Legislature did not envision an enforcement role for the Attorney General in connection with noncompliance under the Act. However, you may wish to consult with a private attorney to evaluate your options under the judicial remedies discussed above.</p>
PRA-2018-00669	Lockie	Robert	3/28/2018	I am seeking any and all DOJ information pertaining myself for the purpose of self representation in a supreme court appeal. Any and all Employment, Criminal, Address, marital status and credit information histories applicable to your office.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>

PRA-2018-00735	Calderon Andre	3/28/2018	Pursuant to the California Public Record Act, Code 6250 et seq, I would like to request for inspection and a copy of the following categories of documents which includes, without limitation to emails, letters, reports, memoranda, minutes, notes or other entries. Referring to Case No. 741955 establishes by Andre Calderon to the HOA association at Queen View Commons and property management company Paragon Equities. Referring to, or related to communications with your agency, Mr. Marvin Scott, or between your agency (including your employees and representatives) and any other person or entities in request for documents and records from Paragon Equities and Queens View Commons.	Completed	Melissa Weikel	<p>Pursuant to your request, attached please find the following documents: Attachment one: Your first correspondence to our Office, dated March 16, 2017 Attachment two: Our first correspondence to you, dated April 27, 2017 Attachment three: Your second correspondence to our Office, dated May 24, 2017 Attachment four: Our second correspondence to you, dated August 17, 2017 Attachment five: Our second correspondence to you, resent via e-mail on August 25, 2017 Attachment six: The HOA's response to your complaint, undated, received in our Office on October 26, 2017 Attachment seven: Your third correspondence to our Office, dated October 31, 2017 Attachment eight: Our third correspondence to you, sent via e-mail on November 1, 2017</p> <p>These attachments comprise all of the correspondence between you and our Office. In regards to your request for "reports, memoranda, minutes, notes or other entries" and "communications with your agency, Mr. Marvin Scott, or between your agency (including your employees and representatives) and any other person or entities in request for documents and records from Paragon Equities and Queens View Commons" for the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request.</p> <p>The records that you seek are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See, Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).].)</p>
PRA-2018-00605	Carter James	3/29/2018	Any and all cases on my record.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office. If these cases were handled by the district attorney's office, or by a private law firm, you would need to contact their office directly.</p> <p>In the event that you are seeking criminal history records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as criminal records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105, Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record.</p> <p>If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request to the Record Review Unit. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p>
PRA-2018-00606	Zabala (NBC) Liberty	3/29/2018	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> o A database, list or any equivalent document of active 5150, 5151 and 5152 holds reported to the California Department of Justice each fiscal year since 2012 from San Diego County facilities. Those facilities should include, but not be limited to the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ■ Alvarado Parkway Institute, 7050 Parkway Dr, La Mesa, CA 91942 ■ Aurora Behavioral Health, 11878 Avenue of Industry, San Diego, CA 92128 ■ Bayview Behavioral Health Services, 330 Moss St, Chula Vista, CA 91911 ■ San Diego County Emergency Screening Unit, 4309 Third Ave, San Diego, CA 92103 ■ Las Colinas Detention Facility, 451 Riverview Parkway, Santee, CA 92071 ■ Naval Medical Center San Diego, 34800 Bob Wilson Dr, San Diego, CA 92134 ■ Palomar Health, 15615 Pomerado Rd, Poway, CA 92064 	Assigned	Robert Wilson	<p>On April 10, 2018, a fees letter was sent.</p>

				<p>■ Paradise Valley Hospital, 2400 E 4th St, National City, CA 91950</p> <p>■ Pomerado Hospital: Gero-Psychiatric Unit, 15615 Pomerado Rd, Poway, CA 92064</p> <p>■ Rady Child and Adolescent Psychiatry Services with Rady Children's Hospital San Diego, 3020 Children's Way, San Diego, CA 92123</p> <p>■ San Diego Central Jail, 1173 Front St, San Diego, CA 92101</p> <p>■ San Diego County Psychiatric Hospital, 3853 Rosecrans St, San Diego, CA 92110</p> <p>■ Scripps Mercy, 4077 Fifth Ave, San Diego, CA 92103</p> <p>■ Sharp Grossmont Hospital, 5555 Grossmont Center Dr, La Mesa, CA 91942</p> <p>■ Sharp Mesa Vista Hospital, 7850 Vista Hill Ave, San Diego, CA 92123</p> <p>■ Tri City Medical Center, 4002 Vista Way, Oceanside, CA 92056</p> <p>■ UCSD Medical Center, 200 W Arbor Dr, San Diego, CA 92103</p> <p>■ Veteran Affairs Medical Center San Diego, 3350 La Jolla Village Dr, San Diego, CA 92161</p> <p>April 25, 2018 follow up for Liberty Zabala by Amy Wolf: I am writing on behalf of NBC San Diego ("KNSD") to ask the California Department of Justice ("the Department") to reconsider its position on a request for records pursuant to the California Public Records Act submitted by KNSD reporter Liberty Zabala.</p> <p>On March 28, 2018, Ms. Zabala submitted a request to the Department for a "database, list or any equivalent document of active 5150, 5-151 and 5152 holds replied to the California Department of Justice each fiscal year since 2012 from San Diego County facilities." On April 10, 2018, the Department stated that it does not maintain the requested information in the ordinary course of business and special programming would thus be required to generate it.</p> <p>Accordingly, the Department asked Ms. Zabala to pay \$454.24, the cost for an Information Technology Specialist to compile the information sought. Copies of Ms. Zabala's request and the Department's response are attached as Exhibits A and B, respectively.</p> <p>We respectfully disagree with the Department's decision to require a fee. The Department explicitly stated that the fee was to generate the requested information in the first instance. While an agency may require a requester to pay for the cost to compile already existing electronic data or to extract information from an electronic record, an agency may not charge a fee for expenses associated with the initial gathering of the information or conversion of that information into an electronic format. See Ops. Cal. Atty. Gen. 04-1105 (2005). Requiring a fee to generate the records requested by Ms. Zabala therefore directly contradicts the Attorney General's clear prohibition against charging fees for the initial gathering of records.</p> <p>For the reasons stated above, we respectfully request that the California Department of Justice grant our request for the requested materials without a fee.</p>			
--	--	--	--	---	--	--	--

PRA-2018-00607	Bradford	Taylor	3/29/2018	<p>My name is Taylor Bradford and I am a student at Gordon College (Massachusetts). I am working on a project that is working on researching those who voted in the Santa Barbara and surrounding city/towns for the Primaries and General elections for 2000, 2008, 2016. This project requires me to collect the list of registered voters with party for each year and the "who voted list" for each year (with party, if possible).</p> <p>If possible, I would like to attain the following information: For Primaries and General Elections of 2000, 2008, 2016 (1) The list of registered voters with party for each year (2) The "who voted list" for each year (with party, if possible).</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since voter registration is done through the California Secretary of State's Office, you may wish to direct your request to their office. Information on how to contact their office can be found online at http://www.sos.ca.gov/administration/contact-information/.</p>
PRA-2018-00640	Ruiz	Joseph	3/29/2018	<p>Pursuant to the Public Records Act, please send me copies of the file of Catherine Brown of the AG's office concerning my allegations that the CalPERS Board of Administration violated Constitutional, Bagley-Keene Open Meeting & other state laws at their August & September 2017 meetings about me.</p> <p>Please send all letters she sent to CalPERS CEO Marcie Frost, independent counsel Chirag Shah & CalPERS attorney Margo Seabourn and other CalPERS members. Supervising Attorney General Stepan Haytayan sent me an email on December 29, 2017, that he would respond to my emails after a review.</p> <p>Please send me copies of the inquiries made by those staff members to CalPERS. Include copies of the letters those staff members made to City of Industry pursuant to Government Code Section 20085 & Penal Code Section 115 of that certain state document identified as the CalPERS Employment Relationship Questionnaire of July 2011 about me.</p> <p>This is not a request for legal advice but identifiable alleged violations committed against me by members of two (2) public agencies pursuant to the Attorney General's "Liberty and Justice under Law" motto.</p>	Completed	Catherine Brown	<p>To the extent you have requested records containing your personal information, we have treated your request as one made under the Information Practices Act (Civ. Code, § 1798 et seq.).</p> <p>We decline to provide responsive records in our possession that are exempt from disclosure under the following exemption in the Public Records Act. The attorney work product exception, which protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories, is incorporated into the Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Code Civ. Proc., § 2018.030; Gov. Code, § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819.) Records prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office that are subject to the work product exception are exempt from disclosure under the Act.</p> <p>We have identified responsive records that are not subject to the foregoing exemption. These records are attached in pdf format to the e-mail message transmitting this letter. This concludes our response to your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00662	Olfati (March 2018)	Parvin	3/29/2018	<p>1. Any and all communications, payments and/or emoluments created, transmitted, and/or received on any DOJ computer or other medium on or after January 1, 2018 that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any tampering, touching, alteration, vandalism, unauthorized entry to any automobile, house, yard, sidewalk strip, curb, parking location, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any placement of any nerve agent, substance, toxic, poison, or any substance/toxic/poison that works as or the effect of a nerve agent, or that is intended, known, or has the effect of injuring any portion of the human body (including but not limited to the spine or the neck, rib cage, joints, and/or eye) and/or increasing blood pressure, in any automobile, house, residence and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any Honda or Ford automobile parked in the curb or driveway at [REDACTED] and/or any residence believed to be used at any time of the day or night by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>2. Any and all communications, payments, and/or emoluments that constitute, mention, refer to, and/or relate to any communications regarding any placing or</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Other than correspondence from you, we have no responsive records.</p>

PRA-2018-00675	Natale	Katrina	3/29/2018	<p>hiding of any heavy meals, nerve agent, poison, substance, or toxic, and/or any substance/toxic/poison/heavy metals that works as or has the effect of a nerve agent or causing injury to the neck, spine or other part of the human body, and/or increasing blood pressure in any automobile, house, residence, yard, curb, sidewalk strip, parking location and/or property believed to be used by Parvin Olfati, including but not limited to any Honda or Ford automobile parked in the driveway of or at the curb of [REDACTED] and/or any residence believed to be used at anytime of the day or night by Parvin Olfati.</p> <p>Since our students on this project concluded their work, I am just now making my way through all the documents that we have received from various agencies involved in providing services to victims of crime.</p> <p>In reviewing the information that you provided, a copy of the VSU's grant application and progress report for the CalOES grant provides highly useful information responsive to several of the items in our original request. Thank you for providing this information through to us.</p> <p>Concerning the progress report referenced above, though it is the final report for the grant period (10/1/16-9/30/17), the statistics on pages 4-5 appear to reflect just the 4th quarter. I say this because the numbers on pages 4-5 seem to reflect the 4th quarter total for new victims (671) and not the total number of new victims (3009) for the grant period, both listed on page 3. In light of this and our original request seeking data on multiple years, I would like to request the CalOES grant applications and quarters 1-4 progress reports for the CalOES grant for each of the following fiscal years:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2012/13 (grant application and quarters 1-4 progress reports), • 2013/14 (grant application and quarters 1-4 progress reports), • 2014/15 (grant application and quarters 1-4 progress reports), • 2015/16 (grant application and quarters 1-4 progress reports), and • 2016/17 (missing only progress reports for quarters 1-3). <p>Based on the final page of the FY 2016/17 CalOES grant application, it appears that the VSU received CalOES funding in each of these fiscal years. Though we originally requested documents for the year 2000 to present, by this request, we are limiting our request to only the previous 5 complete fiscal year periods.</p> <p>If I am mistaken in my reading of these documents or there are other matters that we should discuss, I would be happy to speak with you by phone. I can be reached at (510) 642-4139 at your convenience, or we could arrange a specific time for a call. As well, if our request for this additional information requires any copying fees, I am happy to pay those, or you may send the documents through in soft copy, as you did before.</p> <p>April 17, 2018 follow up: Thank you for sending the attached records (item # 5 below: FY 2016/17 Progress Reports Q.s 1-3). Unfortunately, I did not receive the first email (and batch of records) that you indicate you sent</p>	U.C. Berkeley School of Law	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>E-mail #1: Attached please find documents that are responsive to your request. We will be sending this information in two e-mails, as there are many attachments.</p> <p>E-mail #2: Attached please find the rest of the documents that are responsive to your request. This is the second of two e-mails.</p> <p>On April 17, 2018, Public records resent the attachments from E-mail #1 as Ms. Natale contacted the Public Records Unit to say she did not receive them.</p> <p>April 17, 2018 response to follow up: Here is what the Victim Services Unit told me: We provided only two progress reports for FY 12-13. Q1 is for the first six months (basically, Q1 and Q2) and the Final Report was for the last six months (basically Q3 and Q4). During FY 12-13, they only required two progress reports and during other fiscal years, they changed to four progress reports per year. The current fiscal year went back to requiring two progress reports.</p> <p>On April 30 and May 1, our office re-sent the files to Ms. Natale.</p>
----------------	--------	---------	-----------	--	-----------------------------	-----------	---------------	--

PRA-2018-00695	McRae	Courtney	3/29/2018	<p>(noting that in this email you say that "[t]his is the second of two emails"). If that email contained all the other documents that I requested in this round, I am guessing that the file size might have been too large to send. Could you please resend the records indicated in items #1-4 below?</p> <p>April 17, 2018 follow up: Thank you. I have received these documents. Are these all the FY 2012/13 documents? I was hoping to have progress reports for all quarters (1-4), but only Q.1 appears in the attachments. On April 29, 2018, she followed up again to have us resend the larger files.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See <i>Dick Williams v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)</p>
PRA-2018-00730	Gregory	Gina	3/29/2018	<p>March 29, 2018 request: I am Gina D. Gregory and I represent the following individuals and entities: Matthew G. Gregory, Matthew J. Gregory, Danella J. Gregory, Gina D. Gregory, Central Coast Equine Rescue and Retirement, Wounded Warriors Support Group, and Gregory Motorsports. Firstly, I hereby request notice of whether the Department of Justice ("DOJ" hereinafter) and the Registry of Charitable Trusts maintains any index-related records about the above-listed individuals and entities. Secondly, I hereby request exact copies of all records maintained by DOJ and The Registry of Charitable Trusts, relating to any index record pertaining to the above-listed individuals and entities. Thirdly, an accurate accounting of the date, nature, and purpose of each disclosure by the DOJ and the Registry of Charitable Trusts of any index-related information pertaining to the aforementioned individuals, and entities. This request is intended to encompass all disclosures and disbursement of information to other individuals, third parties, agencies, governments, and entities. Response to April 3, 2018 clarification request: Thank you for your email. I prefer all communications be written and mailed to my mailing address. I can give you an "off-the-cuff" explanation in a quick email only while expressly reserving the right to add or amend the definition as my clients learn more facts and information about their CLAIMS.</p> <p>By the terms you listed, the parties listed in the PRA request ("CLAIMANTS" hereinafter) mean any records by any means about CLAIMANTS including but not limited to any information on the REGISTRY OF CHARITABLE TRUSTS' database: any information, records, or communications about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS both internal and external to the REGISTRY OF CHARITABLE TRUSTS; any information, records, or communications about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS both internal and external to the DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE ("DOJ"); any</p>	Completed	Julianne Mossler	<p>On April 3, 2018, Julianne Mossler contacted requestor requesting clarification of the terms "index-related records," "index records," and "index-related information." April 12, 2018 response: Information that is a matter of public record regarding the Wounded Warriors Support Group and Central Coast Equine Rescue and Retirement, including financial information filed by them in their IRS Forms 990, and not subject to exemption, is available for your viewing at the public pages of the Registry of Charitable Trusts website. The link to the website is: http://irct.doj.ca.gov/Verification/Web/Search.aspx?facility=Y. You can also search by using the "Organization Name" field, and typing in "Wounded Warriors Support Group," or "Central Coast Equine Rescue and Retirement," or by using the "State Charity Registration Number" field, and typing in "0213442" or "O 183312". Press releases and publicly available documents regarding People v. Wounded Warriors Support Group, et al. Alameda County Superior Court case number RG17856929 can be accessed at https://oag.ca.gov/searchresults/?query=wounded+warriors+support+group. Unspecific and unfocused requests for government records under the Public Records Act are contrary to the purposes of the Public Records Act, and requestors should provide specific, focused requests in order to give agencies a reasonable opportunity to respond. General, unfocused requests compel agencies to deny them thereby leading to litigation. The request to the agency must itself be focused and specific. (<i>Rogers v. Superior Court</i> (1993) 19 Cal.App.4th 469, 481.)</p> <p>As you are aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. The Offices of the Attorney General are located in six different cities. In addition to these six offices, there are other law enforcement divisions. In order to respond to your request, each division of the Department of Justice, including each section, branch, unit or bureau would have to be canvassed, requiring each individual deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to review each and every individual matter assigned to that deputy attorney general or the head of each section, branch, unit or bureau, or staff thereof, to determine if they have any documents responsive to your request. Further, such materials may not be maintained, indexed or categorized according to a single title or subject matter description, thus, requiring a more extensive search for such documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.) Nevertheless, in a good faith effort to respond to your request, we searched our</p>

			<p>information, records, or communications both internal and external to any of the Offices of the Attorney General of California about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS; any records, information, or communications both internal and external about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS in any communication by any governmental actors and/or any state actors to any third parties including but not limited to the media, various reporters, the press, the public at large, other governmental and/or state agencies, other Attorneys Generals outside of the state of California, any organizations, any entities, any businesses, and anyone at all; any records, information, or communications both internal and external about or pertaining to CLAIMANTS in any communication by any municipality, governmental actor, and/or any state actor to or by FRESNO COUNTY to any third parties including but not limited to the media, the DOJ, any Attorneys General, any Offices of the Attorney General of California, the REGISTRY OF CHARITABLE TRUSTS, various reporters, the press, the public at large, other governmental and/or state agencies, other Attorneys Generals outside of the state of California, any organizations, any entities, any businesses, and anyone at all. It also encompasses any communications about CLAIMANTS to any third parties by any governmental actor, state actor, or municipality to anyone at all including but not limited to communications, records and databases that exist between governmental actors/agencies, state actors/agencies, municipalities/municipality level agencies or any combination thereof. This explanation is intended to be interpreted broadly to protect the rights of CLAIMANTS. Once again, CLAIMANTS expressly reserve the right to add more to or amend this explanation as more information unveils a need to amend or expand this explanation.</p>			<p>records and are producing: (1) emails to and from Lake County News; (2) emails to and from LA Times; (3) emails to and from KBAK/KBFX; and (4) an email from USF with attachments consisting of your complaint/claim, and USF's Nonprofit Ethics Case Study.</p> <p>To the extent your request seeks records that are subject to the below-listed exemptions, we must decline your request. Moreover, under the Public Records Act, government agencies are not obligated to provide a privilege log or list of every record withheld along with a statutory justification for withholding. (Haynie v Superior Court (2001) 26 Cal.4th 1061, 1074 [where the California Supreme Court noted that public agencies must articulate the specific PRA exemption pertaining to records withheld. "What section 6255 does not require, however, is for the agency to go further and describe each of the documents falling within the statutory exemption."])</p> <p>Investigative Records</p> <p>The records you seek are confidential complaints to, and investigatory records of, the Attorney General's Office. California law, under Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f), expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigatory records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Williams, supra, at p. 355 [While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).])</p> <p>Official Information Privilege</p> <p>Some of the records are additionally exempt from disclosure under the official information privilege contained in sections 1040 and 1041 of the Evidence Code. Evidence Code sections 1040 and 1041 are incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption through Government Code section 6254, subdivision (k). Any information and documents acquired in confidence during the course of an Attorney General investigation, and are not normally made available to the general public, are privileged where there is necessity for preserving the confidentiality of the information and documents.</p> <p>Attorney-Client Privilege</p> <p>Some of the records that you are seeking are exempt from disclosure under the attorney-client privilege. Confidentiality privileges set forth elsewhere in law, including the attorney-client privilege contained in Evidence Code section 954 which protects confidential communications between the attorney and the client, are expressly incorporated into the Public Records Act. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); Roberts v. City of Palmdale (1993) 5 Cal.4th 363.)</p> <p>In the present case, the attorneys in our department provide legal advice to the Attorney General and his designees. Accordingly, all communications between the Attorney General or his designees and the department's attorneys concerning Matthew G. Gregory, Matthew J. Gregory, Danella J. Gregory, Gina D. Gregory, Central Coast Equine Rescue and Retirement, Wounded Warriors Support Group, and Gregory Motorsports are confidential communications under the attorney-client privilege, and are exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Attorney Work-Product Exemption</p> <p>The attorney work-product exception protects the confidentiality of any writing that reflects an attorney's impressions, conclusions, opinions, legal research or legal theories that is maintained as confidential. (Code Civ. Proc. section 2018.030.) This confidentiality provision is incorporated into the Public Records Act as an exemption from disclosure. (Gov. Code § 6254, subd. (k); County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 833.)</p> <p>Records such as confidential analyses, draft language and memoranda prepared by the attorneys employed with the Attorney General's Office are subject to the work-product exception and are consequently exempt from disclosure under the Public Records Act.</p> <p>Pending Litigation Exemption</p> <p>Agencies may withhold the disclosure of records pertaining to pending litigation to which the public agency is a party until the pending litigation has been finally adjudicated or otherwise settled. (Gov. Code. § 6254 subd. (b).)</p>
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

							<p>The pending litigation exemption does not duplicate the attorney work product exemption but is broader and covers documents prepared by the agency in anticipation of, or for use in, litigation. (County of Los Angeles v. Superior Court (Axelrad) (2000) 82 Cal.App.4th 819, 831; Fairley v. Superior Court (1998) 66 Cal.App.4th 1414, 1422.)</p> <p>Deliberative Process Privilege</p> <p>The deliberative process privilege exempts from disclosure materials that would expose an agency's decision making process in such a way as to discourage candid discussion within the agency and thereby undermine the agency's ability to perform its functions. Even if the content of a document is purely factual, it is nonetheless exempt from public scrutiny if it is actually related to the process by which policies are formulated or, if it is inextricably intertwined with policymaking processes. (Times Mirror Co. v. Superior Court (1991) 53 Cal.3d 1325.) Records that reveal deliberative processes are protected through application of Government Code section 6255. In the instant case, records such as analyses, draft language, and memoranda concerning the strengths and weaknesses of a particular proposal or issues in the case would be covered by the deliberative process privilege and, therefore, absent special circumstances would be exempt from disclosure under Government Code section 6255.</p> <p>Requests for Another Agency's Records</p> <p>We note that your information request is directed to the Department of Justice but may seek records from other departments or agencies. Absent a request for representation by another agency, the Department of Justice will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other departments or agencies. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency.</p>
PRA-2018-00852	Parsons (Merced Sun-Star)	Rob	3/29/2018	<p>Copies of every communication and/or email to and from the Merced County District Attorney's Office in connection with the July 7, 2017, search and arrest of Ryan O. Attebery (DOB: [REDACTED]).</p> <p>On April 12, Mr. Parsons followed up: Thank you. Please add the following names to my original requests: Leon Attebery, Ryan Attebery, Isaiah Cardoza and Ethan Brown Morse in connection with the arrests on July 7, 2017, at [REDACTED] including correspondence on subsequent investigations and conflicts of interest. Please let me know if you have any questions on my amended request. Thank you.</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>On April 9, 2018, our office responded: We have conducted a search of the DOJ's legal indexes, knowledgeable persons, and logical places and have been unable to locate any responsive records. If you have additional information on the documents you are seeking, we can conduct a search of our records within the care and custody of our office.</p> <p>On May 8, 2018, our office responded: To the extent that your request seeks records of an investigative file, we must decline your request. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.)</p>
PRA-2018-00609	Williams	Branden	3/30/2018	<p>Court Cases/Rulings</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>We must decline your request as it is too broad and unspecific. As you may be aware, the Attorney General is the head of the Department of Justice. There are Offices of the Attorney General in six different cities and additional offices for DOJ's law enforcement divisions. A search for records responsive to your request as it is currently cast would require each division of the DOJ (including each section, branch, unit or bureau) to be canvassed, requiring staff or each individual deputy attorney general to review every individual assigned matter produce documents. The expense and inconvenience of engaging in such a limitless search of documents would not be in the public interest. (Gov. Code, § 6255; American Civil Liberties Union Foundation v. Deukmejian (1982) 32 Cal.3d 440, 452-453.)</p> <p>If you can provide more specificity, such as a case name, party names, case number, approximate dates, or other identifying information, we may be able to conduct a more directed search of the records that are within our custody and control. However, as it is currently cast, we must decline your request.</p>
PRA-2018-00610	Sutton	Anne	3/30/2018	<p>I am searching for any records that pertain to the following person: Jesse Duncan</p> <p>Date of Birth: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Last 4 of Social: [REDACTED]</p> <p>Previous California Address: [REDACTED]</p>	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).)</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the</p>

							custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-00612	Agudo	Wilma	3/30/2018	Any record about me	Completed	Erin Nickless	Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx . They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought. In the event that you are seeking criminal records, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request. Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security .
PRA-2018-00615	Fricker	Mary	3/30/2018	Any complaints filed against Sonoma West Medical Center in Sebastopol, CA, since June 30, 2017. The hospital in our town, Sonoma West Medical Center, has agreed to do toxicology lab work for a Florida company. Our hospital charges much higher fees than a regular lab would charge, and we are wondering if any patients, medical people, insurers or others are complaining. If you are not the right agency for this request, can you refer me to the right agency?	Completed	Erin Nickless	To the extent that your request seeks consumer complaint records or investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Complaints and investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)
PRA-2018-00616	Jones	Paula	3/30/2018	dk15616b, mental health. MY CASE WAS HANDEL WRONGFULLY	Completed	Erin Nickless	You appear to be seeking medical records. Therefore, we must decline your request for the foregoing reasons. Records Not in the Possession of the Department of Justice DOJ is not a depository for records for the entire State. We only maintain records that fall within our own Department's purview. We have no obligation or ability to disclose

PRA-2018-00619	Mann	Matthew	3/30/2018	Any and all records related to individual named Petter Asteris, including but not limited to criminal records, gun registrations, gun purchase history, firearm information, arrest history, witness statements, all records associated with Case Number LC VA141478-01, March 1, 2016 (including but not limited to investigation notes, investigatory statements, witness statements, charges, offenses, exculpatory evidence, other evidence, documents, victim information, victim restitution information, firearm evidence, videos, photographs, criminal attorney information (i.e. names and contact information)). Any other arrest information and information related to Petter Asteris and history of violence, arrest history and firearm/registered gun information.	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>records that are not in our custody, but are instead in the custody of another agency. Government Code §6253(c).</p> <p>If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking medical records, you may wish to direct your request to the doctor or hospital from which treatment was received.</p> <p>While our office may at times receive medical records as evidence related to cases, these are usually unique and rare occurrences and would either be considered discovery, or fall under the exemption cited below for medical records. In discovery during civil litigation unrelated to the Public Records Act, Evidence Code section 1040 governs and precludes our office from disclosing medical records that are part of discovery proceedings.</p> <p>Medical Records</p> <p>Government Code §6254(c) provides that "Personnel, medical, or similar files, the disclosure of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy" are exempt from disclosure.</p> <p>For the reasons set forth below, we must decline your request.</p> <p>Absent a request for representation, DOJ responds solely on its own behalf and not on behalf of other agencies. We have searched our records and legal indices and found no records responsive to your request. You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) If this case was handled by a district attorney's office, you would need to contact them or the local court for the court case records that you are seeking.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. The scope of our records related to individual citizens is limited to matters that fall within our Department's purview. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. For example, vital records such as birth, death, marriage, and divorce records may be available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx. They may also be available through the County Recorder or County Court, depending on the nature of documents sought.</p> <p>Criminal records</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking criminal records and arrest history, the Public Records Unit is unable to confirm or deny the presence of such records as they are exempt from disclosure pursuant to Penal Code section 11105. Penal Code section 11105 expressly authorizes the Attorney General to disclose state summary criminal history information to law enforcement agencies for law enforcement purposes only, or to the person who is the subject of the record. If an individual wishes to review their own criminal history records, they would have to submit a personal request.</p> <p>Information relevant to obtaining Criminal History Records may be reviewed on the Attorney General's website at: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security.</p> <p>Firearms records</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking firearms history, we must decline your request. Penal Code Section 11106 requires DOJ to retain certain firearms information, including copies of fingerprints, copies of CCW permits, and "information reported to the Department of Justice pursuant to Section 26225." DOJ must disclose this information "upon proper application . . . to the officers referred to in Section 11105." (Pen. Code § 11106 (a).) California courts have consistently held that data subject to the disclosure limits in Penal Code Section 11105 may be disclosed only to the individuals authorized by that section to receive the information. For example, the California Supreme Court has noted that Penal Code Section 11105 "prohibits furnishing such information to persons other than those listed therein 'or as provided by law.'" (Hill v. Superior Court (1974) 10 Cal.3d 812, 818.) Therefore, information protected by Penal Code Section 11105 is not subject to public disclosure in response to a PRA request. (Younger v. Berkeley City Council (1975) 45 Cal. App. 3d 825, 832.) The "restrictions upon release of such information are so carefully set out in the</p>
----------------	------	---------	-----------	--	-----------	---------------	---

							<p>Penal Code sections 11106, 11081 and 11105 . . . that it is inconceivable that the general terms of the Public Records Act were intended to render them void.” (Ibid.) State law specifies both the persons who are authorized to obtain firearms records, and the purposes that justify the DOJ’s retention and disclosure of those records and therefore impliedly prohibits the release of such information to other persons, or for other purposes. The maxim of statutory construction “expressio unius est exclusio alterius” applies: the express inclusion of some things in a statute necessarily means the exclusion of other things not expressly included. (Fischer v. Los Angeles Unified School Dist. (1999) 70 Cal.App.4th 87, 97.) Firearms records retained by the DOJ as described in Section 11106 are subject to disclosure only to the individuals listed in Section 11105.</p> <p>Furthermore, the Department of Justice is subject to the Information Practices Act of 1977. (People v. McCray (2006) 144 Cal.App.4th 258.) Pursuant to Civil Code section 1798.14 each agency shall maintain in its records only personal information which is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required or authorized by the California Constitution or statute or mandated by the federal government. In general, agencies cannot disclose any personal information in a manner that would link the information disclosed to the individual to whom it pertains unless the information is disclosed, as follows:</p> <p>(a) To the individual to whom the information pertains.</p> <p>(b) With the prior written voluntary consent of the individual to whom the record pertains, but only if that consent has been obtained not more than 30 days before the disclosure, or in the time limit agreed to by the individual in the written consent.</p> <p>(c) To the duly appointed guardian or conservator of the individual or a person representing the individual if it can be proven with reasonable certainty through the possession of agency forms, documents or correspondence that this person is the authorized representative of the individual to whom the information pertains. (Civ. Code,§ 1798.24, subds. (a) through (c).)</p> <p>Investigative records</p> <p>To the extent that your request seeks investigative files, we must decline your request as we are unable to confirm or deny if such records exist. Investigative records are confidential law enforcement records of the Attorney General. Government Code section 6254, subdivision (f) expressly exempts from disclosure investigatory and security files of the Attorney General including complaints about unlawful practices. (See Dick Williams v. Superior Court (1993) 5 Cal.4th 337, 354.) Investigative records do not lose their exempt status due to a failure to prosecute, or the close of an investigation. (Id. at p. 355 [“While there may be reasons of policy that would support a time limitation on the exemption for investigatory files, such a limitation is virtually impossible to reconcile with the language and history of subdivision (f).”].)</p> <p>To the extent that you are seeking birth, death, marriage, or divorce records, we must deny your request. The records you have requested are not in the custody or control of the DOJ. In general, certified copies of divorce decrees are available from the Superior Court in the county where the decree was granted. Some birth, death, marriage, or divorce records are available through the Department of Public Health, Office of Vital Records (OVR). Please review the information at the OVR website to determine if the record you need is registered with the OVR and if the request processing time frames meet your needs. The OVR website is located at: https://www.cdph.ca.gov/Programs/CHSI/Pages/Birth%2C-Death%2C-Fetal-Death%2C-Still-Birth--Marriage-Certificates.aspx.</p>
					Completed	Erin Nickless	
PRA-2018-00620	Duarte Reyes	Edgar Humberto	3/30/2018	I would like to ask for a copy of my original birth certificate. The birth certificate I own is a copy due to the reason it was stolen when I was a little kid. However I am looking to further my career			
PRA-2018-00621	Baidya	Narayan	3/30/2018	Requesting documents and/or communication (email, letter, and facsimile) (2007) by the Department of Consumer Affairs (DCA), California to notify the US Department of Education (USDE) the step DCA took to approve and to regulate Private Postsecondary institutions in CA. This was required by the USDE in order to give Financial aid to the California students and institutions. We are working on a research project to understand the effects of the Sunset of Bureau of Private Postsecondary and Vocational Education (BPPVE), a regulatory agency, and subsequently taking over the	Completed	Erin Nickless	<p>You appear to be seeking records that are not in the control or custody of the California DOJ. We have no obligation or ability to provide public records that are not in our custody. (Gov. Code, § 6253(c).) Please note that, absent a request for representation from another agency, DOJ will respond solely on its own behalf, and not on behalf of other agencies.</p> <p>Please note that the DOJ is not the depository for records for the entire state of California. If you wish to review records that are in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request to that agency. Since you are seeking records from the Department of Consumer Affairs, you should direct your request to them. Information on how to contact the Department of Consumer Affairs can be found on their website at http://www.dca.ca.gov/about_us/contactus.shtml.</p>

			enforcement exemptions, and numerated by each respective year.				through 11127 afford an individual the opportunity to obtain a copy of his or her own California state summary criminal history information to review for accuracy and completeness. If you have further questions or concerns regarding a record review, please direct your correspondence to the Bureau of Criminal Information & Analysis, Record Review Unit at P.O. Box 903417, Sacramento, CA 94203-4170. For additional information, the following is the Record Review website: http://oag.ca.gov/fingerprints/security . However, the DOJ discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the DOJ's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to ResearchRequest@doj.ca.gov . Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.	
PRA-2018-00701	Barnes	Rachel	3/30/2018	Statistics on teen drinking and driving with injury or death in the city of San Luis Obispo.	Cuestion	Completed	Jaimie Tackett	The Department of Justice (DOJ) discloses statistical data to bona fide research bodies and public agencies pursuant to Penal Code sections 11105 and 13202. If you are a research body, then your request would fall under the Department's research request process. In order to request the data through this process, complete the attached packet regarding policies and procedures that relate to accessing criminal offender record information. The document, Conditions for Release of Criminal Offender Record Information, must be reviewed and signed by the project lead/requester. When completed, email all required documentation to Researchrequest@doj.ca.gov . Once the packet is received, it will be reviewed and you will be notified if it is approved. The DOJ responds to this request only with regard to its own records. If you wish to review records in the custody or control of another state or local agency, you should direct your request for records to that agency.